Single-cell transcriptomes reveal characteristic features of human pancreatic islet cell types
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Abstract

Pancreatic islets of Langerhans contain several specialized endocrine cell types, which are commonly identified by the expression of single marker genes. However, the established marker genes cannot capture the complete spectrum of cellular heterogeneity in human pancreatic islets, and existing bulk transcriptome datasets provide averages across several cell populations. To dissect the cellular composition of the human pancreatic islet and to establish transcriptomes for all major cell types, we performed single-cell RNA sequencing on 70 cells sorted from human primary tissue. We used this dataset to validate previously described marker genes at the single-cell level and to identify specifically expressed transcription factors for all islet cell subtypes. All data are available for browsing and download, thus establishing a useful resource of single-cell expression profiles for endocrine cells in human pancreatic islets.
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Introduction

Located within the pancreas, the islets of Langerhans are composed of endocrine cells expressing glucagon (alpha cells), insulin (beta cells), somatostatin (delta cells), pancreatic polypeptide (PP cells), and ghrelin (epsilon cells). Furthermore, they are heavily vascularized and innervated, and in contact with the surrounding acinar and ductal cells of the exocrine pancreas. Pancreatic islets function as highly specialized micro-organs that monitor and maintain blood glucose homeostasis. While damage to beta cells causes diabetes, the other pancreatic cell types may also contribute to pathogenesis in ways that are not well understood. Recent studies showed that both alpha [1] and delta cells [2] have the potential to replenish beta cell mass in animal models.

Development of diabetes correlates with global changes in the transcriptome of pancreatic islets [3]. These gene expression changes could reflect alterations in the cell subtype composition of the islet and/or changes in the transcriptomes of beta cells or other individual cell types. Analyzing islet cell-specific gene expression changes has the potential to shed light on the etiology of diabetes. Recently, alpha and beta cell purification protocols from human [4–6] and mouse islets [7,8] have yielded initial maps of cell type-specific transcriptomes. The available transcriptome datasets further comprise primary mouse and human alpha cells, beta cells, and delta cells, a number of rodent alpha and beta cell lines, and one human beta cell line [4,9–12]. Despite the rapid progress in this field, a comprehensive transcriptome database for individual human islet cell types is still missing, and no transcriptome data are currently available for PP cells.

Recent advances in next-generation sequencing and library preparation enabled for the first time the transcriptome characterization of single cells from primary tissue. For example, this approach was successfully used to establish transcriptome profiles and dissect cell type heterogeneity for primary tissue obtained from the lung [13], the spleen, and the brain [14,15].

Here, we used single-cell RNA-seq to establish a comprehensive transcriptome database for the cell types that are present in primary human pancreatic islets. Principal component analysis in combination with visualization as biplots identified alpha cells, beta cells, delta cells, PP cells, acinar cells, and pancreatic duct cells directly from the single-cell transcriptome profiles. We illustrate the utility of this resource by discovering novel cell type-specific marker genes, and we identified human-specific expression patterns in alpha and beta cells. All data are readily available for user-friendly online browsing and download to foster research on pancreatic islet biology and diabetes-related mechanisms in human.
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Results and Discussion

Single-cell transcriptomes recapitulate pancreatic endocrine cell types

Primary human pancreatic islets of Langerhans were dissociated into single cells, and these cells were sorted into individual wells of a 96-well plate by FACS [16]. The Smart-Seq2 protocol [17] was then applied to obtain single-cell transcriptomes. Following the generation and amplification of cDNA, we determined the levels of beta-actin expression by qRT-PCR and selected all cell-containing wells for library preparation and next-generation sequencing (Fig 1A). Seventy cells were sequenced in total, of which 64 cells passed quality control (see Materials and Methods) and were included in the analysis (Fig EV1A and B, and Dataset EV1). We obtained an average of 12.7 million high-quality reads per single cell, of which 62.9% aligned to the human reference genome. RNA expression levels were calculated using the BitSeq software which uses RPKM normalization and corrects for non-uniform read distribution among the transcripts (e.g., 3-prime bias) [18]. Data quality was validated by assessing the relation between expression level and transcript length in native RNA (Fig EV1C) as well as ERCC spike-in controls (Fig EV1D). While transcript length and expression level were not correlated in the ERCC spike-in controls, we detected a negative correlation ($r = -0.405$) in the native RNA which was in the range of what had been previously reported as biologically significant finding [19]. However, a potential bias due to transcript length normalization cannot be completely excluded; therefore, comparing expression levels of different transcripts genes should be performed with caution. To define global similarities among the single cells and the marker genes that drive these similarities, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) on the transcriptome dataset and displayed the results as biplots. PCA on the full dataset separates a group of 18 cells based on high glucagon (GCG) and transthyretin (TTR) expression and a group of 9 cells expressing pancreatic polypeptide (PPY) from a heterogeneous group of 37 cells (Fig 1B). In a second PCA on the 37 yet undefined cells, we identified a group of 12 cells with high insulin (INS) expression, a group of 11 cells characterized by PRSS2, CTRB2, REG3A, REG1A, and REG1B and a group of two somatostatin (SST)-expressing cells. In a third PCA on the remaining 12 undefined cells, a group of 8 cells was characterized by keratin18 (KRT18) and keratin8 (KRT8). Based on the expression profiles of the identified marker genes, we were able to uniquely assign 60 out of 64 single-cell transcriptomes to the alpha, beta, delta, PP, acinar, or ductal cell type (Fig 1C).

As an additional validation of our cell type classification, we visualized the global transcriptional similarity of individual pancreatic cells by multidimensional scaling (MDS), where each single-cell transcriptome was colored by the cell type derived from PCA (Fig 1D). When mapped upon the MDS plot, the known cell type-specific marker genes INS, GCG, PPY, SST, REG1A, and KRT8 show the expected expression patterns, with different amounts of variability within the subgroups (Fig 1E). The validity of our single-cell RNA-seq dataset was further confirmed in direct comparison to an external dataset consisting of bulk RNA-seq data for whole islet, beta, and acinar cells [20]. Using MDS, we show high transcriptional similarity between the corresponding cell types of both datasets (Fig EV1E). The expression information of individual cells and merged expression values for each cell type is available in Dataset EV2.

To rule out technical reasons as a major source of gene expression variability, we identified presumably pure alpha and beta cells among the assessed single cells (Fig EV2A). Their transcription profiles were used to simulate transcriptomes with defined percentages of alpha and beta cell contribution (Fig EV2B). Individual alpha and beta cells were then compared to these virtual transcriptomes to estimate upper limits for potential cross-contamination (Fig EV2C-E). All beta cell transcriptomes were found to be free from any alpha cell contribution, whereas beta cell profiles could explain a small proportion (< 3%) of the variance observed in 8 of the 18 alpha cells studied. However, given that these alpha cells further show higher unexplained variance, it is likely that they are characterized by high inherent variability rather than cross-contamination from beta cells. We conclude that the differences between alpha and beta cell heterogeneity are in line with biological rather than technical effects which supports the hypothesis that alpha cells might be more plastic than beta cells [4].

The heterogeneity within the different cell types was further explored by separate PCAs for each cell type (Appendix Fig S1). Particularly for endocrine cells, heterogeneity was mainly driven by expression differences of marker genes as identified in the initial cell type classification by PCA, suggesting that these cell types are characterized by a spectrum of marker gene expression levels. While this analysis provides evidence for transcriptional heterogeneity, more cells are needed to thoroughly characterize subgroups within the different cell types.

A transcriptome resource to reveal marker genes of human pancreatic cell types

To maximize the utility of our dataset for the identification of cell type-specific expression patterns, we generated a resource of genome browser tracks for all individual cells as well as cumulative tracks for the cell type clusters identified by PCA (http://islet-transcriptome.computational-epigenetics.org/). One interesting use of...
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this resource is the analysis of master regulatory transcription factors, which are fundamental for the development and the maintenance of different pancreatic cell types based on animal models and human genetics. The genome browser tracks illustrate the beta cell-specific expression of PDX1, a master regulatory transcription factor directly controlling insulin expression. In contrast, the alpha lineage-defining factor ARX is expressed in both alpha and some PP cells (Fig 2A and Appendix Fig S2). Other transcription factors that are important for pancreas development have different degrees of cell type-specific expression in mature human islets, including endocrine (PAX6), beta cell-specific (PAX4), and duct/delta (HHEX) patterns (Fig 2B). While MAFA is transcribed in beta cells specifically, we observed robust MAFB expression in alpha, beta, and delta cells. Half of the beta cells studied expressed MAFA and MAFB concomitantly. In addition to these previously described factors, we also observed cell type-specific expression for transcriptional regulators, which have not yet been extensively characterized in the endocrine pancreas. For example, MORF4L1 shows a similar pan-endocrine pattern to the canonical islet cell marker NEUROD1 (Fig 2C). A subset of alpha cells express IRX2 (Fig 2D), some beta cells show high expression of polycomb ring finger oncogene (BMI1) (Fig 2E), and PP cells can be characterized by the transcription factors ETV1 and MEIS1 (Fig 2F).

We further performed pairwise correlation analysis on transcript level to identify genes, of which the expression profiles correlate highly ($r > 0.9$) with those of the endocrine marker genes INS, GCG, SST, and PPY (Fig EV3). While several highly correlated genes could be identified for INS and SST (e.g., zinc transporter SLC39A4 and Notch pathway component DLK1 for INS and transcription factors NKX6-3, ZNF430 for SST), the expression profiles of GCG and PPY did not show high correlation with any other genes.

To extend our analysis beyond transcription factors and known marker genes, we performed pairwise comparisons of cell type-specific transcriptomes by gene set enrichment analysis (Dataset EV3). Interestingly, we observed strong enrichment of a gene set containing the REST-binding motif in all endocrine cell types compared to acinar and ductal cells (Fig 3A). Most genes that contain the REST motif in their promoters are expressed in alpha, beta, delta, and PP cells, whereas they are repressed in ductal and acinar cells (Fig 3B). The transcriptional repressor REST targets the REST-binding motif. In line with the target gene expression pattern, REST is specifically expressed in ductal and acinar cells (Fig 3C and Appendix Fig S3).

Finally, based on pairwise differential expression analysis between the pancreatic cell types, genes with highly specific expression patterns were identified (Fig EV4 and Appendix Fig S4, Datasets EV4 and EV5). We then used these data to assess islet cell type-specific expression in two areas of high relevance for diabetes research—diabetes risk genes and mouse–human species differences.

Genomewide association studies (GWAS) have identified genomewide loci conferring increased risk for the development of diabetes. We examined whether any of the diabetes-related genes predicted by GWAS were specifically expressed in one of the pancreatic islet cell types and genes differentially expressed between the endocrine and exocrine cell types (Fig EV5A). For both type 1 and type 2 diabetes, we identified GWAS genes with beta cell- and endocrine-specific expression. Other genes show broader expression patterns, emphasizing the complexity of functional annotation of diabetes GWAS results. Furthermore, key MODY (Mature Onset of Diabetes in Young) [21] genes PDX1, PAX4, INS, HNF1A, GC are predominantly specific to beta cells (Fig EV5B).

To investigate species-specific differences of alpha and beta cell transcriptomes, we assessed the degree to which the previously identified differentially expressed mouse genes [7,9] are also differentially expressed in human islets and vice versa (Appendix Fig S5). We found that the human alpha cell-specific gene group-specific component (vitamin D binding protein) GC and the human beta cell-specific gene DLK1 (Fig 3D) displayed opposite expression patterns as to what had been reported in mouse islet cells. To confirm the cell type-specific expression of DLK1 and GC, we performed immunofluorescence staining on both human and mouse pancreatic tissue sections. In human islets, DLK1 was specifically expressed only in insulin-positive cells (Fig 3E), whereas this protein was observed in glucagon-positive cells in mouse tissue (Fig 3F). Similarly, GC expression showed alpha cell specificity in human tissues (Fig 3G), whereas it was co-expressed with insulin in mouse tissues (Fig 3H). These results suggest that two of the most differentially expressed cell type-specific marker genes for human alpha and beta cells have opposite expression patterns in mouse islets.

Pancreatic islets comprise different cell types with characteristic transcriptomes, which confounds transcriptome studies that focus on whole pancreatic islets in physiological and pathological conditions. Lineage-labeled transgenic mice have made it possible to obtain transcriptomes for highly pure alpha and beta cell populations in mouse. For human islets, however, cell type-specific enrichment strategies depend on the availability of specific antibodies. Efforts have been made to measure the transcription of individual genes in single human islet cells by qRT–PCR [22], but our dataset is the first to provide genomewide transcriptional information of human islets at single-cell resolution. Using single-cell data, we also for the first time defined the transcriptomes of human delta cells and PP cells, thereby providing reference transcriptomes for all major endocrine cell types in human pancreatic islets.

We illustrated the practical utility of our resource and dataset by three case studies. First, after confirming the cell type specificity of the major transcription factors involved in pancreatic endocrine lineage determination, we identified transcripts encoding transcription factors expressed in islet cells. These include the pan-endocrine marker MORF4L1, alpha cell-specific IRX2, beta cell-specific BMI1, and PP cell-specific MEIS1 and ETV1. These data can provide the basis for future functional studies in the roles of these transcription factors in the pancreas and in diabetes.

In a second example, we analyzed cell type-specific enrichment of previously characterized gene sets. The specific expression of REST-motif-containing genes in the endocrine cell types led us to identify the specific expression of the transcriptional repressor REST in the exocrine pancreas. REST recruits a large complex of chromatin regulators, including many factors that allow pharmacological modulation like histone deacetylases and the histone demethylase LSD1. REST repression in non-endocrine cells activates the promoters of important beta cell transcription factors, including PAX4 and PDX1 and is a key step in reprogramming to insulin-producing cells [23–26]. Future studies will show whether REST is critical in restricting ductal differentiation potential and may be a target for inducing beta cell neogenesis from duct cells.
Figure 2. Expression of cell type-specific transcription factors at single-cell resolution.

A. Merged UCSC Genome Browser tracks for the PDX1 and ARX loci. The respective tracks for all single cells are presented in Appendix Fig S2.

B. Relative expression (scaled RPKM value) of important transcription factors represented by bubble size and projected onto the MDS profile.

C–F. Cell type-specific expression of pan-endocrine (C), alpha cell (D), beta cell (E), and PP cell (F) transcription factors (red bar: mean expression). The statistical significance of the differential gene expression is presented in Appendix Fig S6.
Figure 3. Single-cell transcriptomes reveal unique features of human islets.

A  Heatmap displaying the P-values obtained by pairwise Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) for the REST-binding motif.
B  Relative expression (scaled RPKM value) of genes contained in the REST-binding motif gene set.
C  Merged UCSC Genome Browser tracks for REST. The respective tracks for all single cells are presented in Appendix Fig S3.
D  Expression of DLK1 and GC in human islet cell types (red bar: mean expression). The statistical significance of the differential gene expression is presented in Appendix Fig S6.
E–H  Co-staining of DLK1 (E, F) or GC (G, H) with insulin and with glucagon in representative human (E, G) and mouse (F, H) islets.
Finally, in a third example, we focused on differences between mouse and human islets. Previous studies have noticed such differences regarding the overall architecture and specific physiological properties [7,27]. Our human islet single-cell transcriptomes confirm that the expression of hormones and canonical transcription factors is conserved between human and mouse. However, two genes—GC and DLK1—that are among the most characteristic for human alpha and beta cells, respectively, are expressed in opposite patterns in the mouse. Both DLK1 and GC are relevant to diabetes [5,28], and further research is necessary to dissect their roles in both human and mouse islet biology.

These examples highlight the utility of the current single-cell transcriptome database for islet biology. In addition, we expect future growth of our resource with the addition of single-cell expression data from diabetic donors and from islets treated with drugs and metabolites ex vivo, contributing to the utility of the presented resource for studies on all aspects of human islet biology. In summary, our study establishes a transcriptional dataset for all the cell types in human pancreatic islets with single-cell resolution and defines distinctly human features in the patterns of alpha and beta cell-expressed genes.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

Antibodies used in this project are directed against insulin (Sigma I8510), glucagon (Abcam ab92517), DLK1 (R&D MAB1144-100), and GC (Abcam ab81307). The sequences of primers for actin have been published recently [29]. All the fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies were purchased from Life Technologies Corporation. The reagents used for the Smart-seq2 protocol for cDNA synthesis, amplification, and sequencing library preparation have been published recently [17].

Cell culture

Human islets were provided through the JDRF award 31-2012-783 (ECIT: Islet for Research program). They were from a 37-year-old male donor whose BMI was 22. Islets were cultured in CMRL medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Islets were collected following overnight culture after receiving them. To disassociate islets into single cells, islets were incubated in Accutase (Life Technologies) in 37°C for 20 min, neutralized by CMRL medium. Purification of single cells was performed by flow cytometry cell sorting on a MoFlo AstriosEQ (Beckman Coulter, Miami) as previously described in [16].

Immunofluorescence

The human pancreatic histology slides were ordered from Abcam (ab4611). The mouse pancreatic histology slides from 129SV mice were gifts from Patrick Collombat. The staining followed a published protocol [30]. Briefly, the paraffin was removed from the tissues. Afterwards, rehydration and antigen retrieval was performed. The tissues were blocked by 3% BSA for half an hour and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies in 1:1,000 dilutions. After washing with PBST, tissues were incubated with secondary antibodies and Hoechst 33342 for half an hour. Finally, the slides were mounted and sealed with nail polish and images were taken with Leica CRTr6000.

Single-cell RNA-seq sample and sequencing library preparation

cDNA synthesis and enrichment were performed following the Smart-seq2 protocol as described Picelli et al [17]. ERCC spike-in RNA (Ambion) was added to the lysis buffer in a dilution of 1:1,000,000. Library preparation was conducted on 1 ng of cDNA using the Nextera XT library preparation kit (Illumina) as described Picelli et al [17]. Sequencing was performed by the Biomedical Sequencing Facility at CeMM using the 50 bp single-read setup on the Illumina HiSeq 2000/2500 platform.

qRT–PCR

After the cDNA was synthesized and amplified from single cells, quantitative PCR was performed with Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) on a LightCycler 480 qPCR instrument (Roche).

Single-cell RNA-seq data processing

The raw sequencing data were processed using a custom bioinformatics pipeline which consists of the following main steps: (i) trimming of contaminating sequencing adapter sequences, (ii) alignment of the trimmed reads to the human transcriptome as well as genome, (iii) calculation of expression estimates for each transcript, differential expression analysis and visualization as genome browser tracks.

Trimming of adapter sequences was performed with trimmomatic (v 0.32). Only reads with a minimum length of 25 bp after adapter trimming were included in the downstream analysis. Alignment of the trimmed reads to the human transcriptome (hg19 GRC37 ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-74/fasta/homo_sapiens/ cdna/Homo_sapiens.GRC37.74.cdna.all.fa.gz) was performed with bowtie1 (v 1.1) [31] recording up to 100 different mapping positions for each read which takes into account that one read might originate from any of the different transcripts of one gene. Alignment to the human genome (hg19/GRC37) was performed using TopHat (v 2.0.13) [32]. These genomic alignments were purely performed for the purpose of visualization in genome browser tracks. Conversion of the alignment files to the files needed to display the data as genome browser tracks (bigWig) was performed with RSeQC (v 2.3.9) bam2wig.py followed by UCSC tools' wigToBigWig. Calculation of normalized transcript-wise expression estimates (rpkm values) as well as differential expression analysis was performed based on the transcriptome alignments using the R (v 3.1.2) package BitSeq (v 1.10.0) [18]. In order to correct for potential biases in the read distribution, the BitSeq function getExpression() was run with the “uniform” option disabled.

Quality filtering

The minimal number of reads needed to obtain reliable RPKM values as estimates of gene expression was determined by taking
advantage of a synthetic RNA mix consisting of 92 RNAs covering a 10^6-fold concentration range (ERCC spike-in controls) that had been carried along through the entire library preparation and sequencing process with each single cell. Starting from ~25 reads per transcript, we observed the expected linear relationship between ERCC transcript abundance and measured RPKM values (Fig EV1B). For the purpose of noise reduction, we defined transcripts covered by less than 25 reads as “not expressed” and set their RPKM values to a minimal value. Furthermore, 6 samples showed less than 500 (arbitrary cutoff) reliably covered transcripts and were excluded from the analysis (Fig EV1A).

Grouping the single cells based on their gene expression profiles

In order to determine groups of cells with similar expression profiles and at the same time identify the primary defining genes for each group, we performed a stepwise principal component analysis (PCA) based on the quality-filtered expression values. PCA was performed using the function prcomp() in R. The results were displayed as a biplots showing samples (cells) as dots and the most highly loaded variables (transcripts) as vectors. Biplots were constructed using a slightly modified version of the R function ggpbiplot() (https://github.com/vqv/ggpbiplot).

External data

External RNA-seq raw data (next-generation sequencing reads) for bulk samples of human acinar cells, beta cells, and islet cells were obtained from ArrayExpress (E-MTAB-1294: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/experiments/E-MTAB-1294/samples/) [20]. We used the samples H101 (islet), H125 (islet), H32 (islet), H1E1 (beta cells), H1E2 (beta cells), and acinar tissue donor (acinar cells). External data were processed using the same pipeline as the single-cell data. For the comparison of external and single-cell as well as 500 cell data by multidimensional scaling, batch effect correction was performed using the function ComBat() of the R package sva.

Defining cell type-specific gene expression profiles

Cell type-specific gene expression profiles were defined by performing pairwise differential expression analysis between all previously defined groups of cells. Differential expression analysis was performed using the function estimateDE() of the R package BitSeq. For each cell type in each comparison, the specificity of the expression of each transcript was deduced under consideration of effect size (absolute difference and log2 fold change) as well as statistical significance (probability of positive log ratio, PPLR) of the measured differential expression. Technically, for each comparison, all transcripts were ranked by absolute difference in gene expression, log fold change of gene expression, and probability of positive log ratio and a combined rank for each transcripts was produced by selecting the worst (i.e., highest) of these three ranks as a representative rank. Finally, the representative ranks from all comparisons for each cell type were again combined by selecting the worst rank for each transcript (Appendix Fig S7). Therefore, the lower the combined rank, the more specific the expression of the respective transcript for the assessed cell type. To identify the cell type for which the expression of a given gene is most specific, we compared the assigned combined ranks between all cell types and selected the cell type that showed the lowest combined rank for this gene.

Assessing cross-contamination between cell types

We assessed potential cross-contamination between two cell types using a four-step approach: (i) selection of cell type-specific genes (profile genes), (ii) selection of the purest single cells for each cell type (profile cells), (iii) calculation of pure and increasingly contaminated gene expression profiles in silico (mix profiles), and (iv) identification of the mix profiles that best match the expression profile of each single cell.

As profile genes, we selected all genes among the top 500 cell type-specific genes for each of the two cell types that showed an absolute mean expression difference of greater than 0.5 and a relative mean expression difference of at least twofold. This selection resulted in 233 profile genes for alpha cells and 252 profile genes for beta cells.

To identify the purest cells of each cell type, we calculated a weighted mean of scaled expression values (sample-wise, scale 0 to 1; lower percentile: 0.05, upper percentile: 0.95) for both groups of profile genes for each single cell (profile scores). We used a rank-based weighting system in order to give more power to more cell type-specific profile genes. All single cells were then plotted according to their profile scores, and per cell type, the three cells with the most cell type-specific profile scores (highest distance to the diagonal) were selected as profile cells (Fig EV2A).

Pure expression profiles consisting of both groups of profile genes were calculated as the mean expression values of the three profile cells. We then used these two cell type-specific profiles to artificially construct expression profiles that represented different degrees of contamination by computationally mixing the two profiles in different ratios. Specifically, we calculated weighted means of the two pure expression values for each profile gene, with the weight increasing from 0 to 100 in steps of 1 for one of the pure profiles and at the same time decreasing from 100 to 0 for the other pure profile. This resulted in 100 profiles, two pure (cell type specific) and 98 mixed profiles (Fig EV2B).

We then calculated the Pearson correlation of each of the artificial 100 profiles with the actual expression profiles of each of the single cells (Fig EV2C) and selected the highest correlating mix profile for each single cell. These selected mix profiles represent the fraction of variance in profile gene expression that is explained by either of the two cell type-specific profiles as well as the fraction of variance that remains unexplained (Fig EV2D and E).

Gene set enrichment analysis

Binding motif analysis was done with Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) [33,34]. For each single cell, the most highly expressed transcript was selected as representative for the respective gene. Finally, gene expression values for each cell type were found by calculating the median across all cells of a particular cell type. These median expression values were used as input for GSEA. Genes that were not found to be expressed in any of the cell types were removed from the input dataset. Pairwise comparisons were done among all six
assigned cell types except the “undefined” amounting to 30 comparisons in total. The REST-binding motif was significantly enriched ($P < 0.05$, FDR $< 25\%$) in all of the comparisons between endocrine cell types and exocrine cell types.

**GWAS analysis**

GWAS results relevant for diabetes (search for “diabetes”) were downloaded from the GWAS catalog (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/). We categorized the reported traits into type 1 and type 2 diabetes according to whether “1” or “2” appeared in the trait description. Each gene that was identified as significant in a GWAS (reported gene) was assigned to the cell type for which it was identified as most specific (see “Defining cell type-specific gene expression profiles”). Because in this analysis specificity among the endocrine cells (alpha cells, beta cells, delta cells, PP cells) and among the exocrine cells (acinar cells, duct cells) was not paramount, cell type specificity was determined only in comparison with cell types of the other group. This approach was chosen in order to not dismiss genes as unspecific if they are endocrine or exocrine specific but not necessarily cell type specific. The eight MODY genes were taken from [21].

**Data deposition**

Sequencing datasets described in this work have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository under accession number GSE73727.

**Expanded View** for this article is available online.
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