Potent degradation of neuronal miRNAs induced by highly complementary targets

Manuel de la Mata\(^1\), Dimos Gaidatzis\(^{1,2}\), Mirela Vitanescu\(^{1,†}\), Michael B Stadler\(^{1,2,3}\), Corinna Wentzel\(^{4,‡}\), Peter Scheiffele\(^4\), Witold Filipowicz\(^{1,3,*}\) & Helge Großhans\(^{1,**}\)

Abstract

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) regulate target mRNAs by silencing them. Reciprocally, however, target mRNAs can also modulate miRNA stability. Here, we uncover a remarkable efficacy of target RNA-directed miRNA degradation (TDMD) in rodent primary neurons. Coincident with degradation, and while still bound to Argonaute, targeted miRNAs are 3' terminally tailed and trimmed. Absolute quantification of both miRNAs and their decay-inducing targets suggests that neuronal TDMD is multiple turnover and does not involve co-degradation of the target but rather competes with miRNA-mediated decay of the target. Moreover, mRNA silencing, but not TDMD, relies on cooperativity among multiple target sites to reach high efficacy. This knowledge can be harnessed for effective depletion of abundant miRNAs. Our findings bring insight into a potent miRNA degradation pathway in primary neurons, whose TDMD activity greatly surpasses that of non-neuronal cells and established cell lines. Thus, TDMD may be particularly relevant for miRNA regulation in the nervous system.
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Introduction

MicroRNAs constitute a large family of ~21-nucleotide-long RNAs that silence mRNAs in animals and plants. They function by binding to imperfectly complementary sequences present largely in the 3'-untranslated regions (UTRs) of target mRNAs, causing mRNA translational repression, deadenylation, and degradation [1]. As posttranscriptional regulators, they are well suited for induction of rapid and spatially localized changes in gene expression. Consistently, miRNA maturation can be controlled at various steps to provide tight control over the levels of individual or groups of miRNAs [1]. However, dynamic changes in target gene activity in response to alterations in miRNA biogenesis rates require mechanisms of active and regulated miRNA turnover [2]. Indeed, although miRNAs are generally highly stable in various cell types, with half-lives extending to days [3–6], they are substantially less stable in neurons [7–9]. Moreover, viral infection and cell cycle status were found to destabilize certain miRNAs [10–14].

miRNAs function in association with Argonaute (AGO) proteins, the core components of the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). Argonautes contain specific protein pockets that bind, and thus essentially shield, the 5’- and 3’-termini of miRNAs. Consequently, selective recognition, release, and degradation of a specific miRNA represent a mechanistic challenge. The recent discovery that binding of highly complementary RNAs may destabilize miRNAs [15] may provide a solution to this issue. This and other studies [3,12] demonstrated that target mRNAs or non-coding RNAs trigger 3'-end “tailing,” that is, addition of non-templated nucleotides; 3'-to-5' trimming; and decay of highly complementary miRNAs. In the following, we will refer to this process as target RNA-directed miRNA degradation or TDMD.

The mechanisms underlying, and the constraints acting on, TDMD remain largely unknown. For instance, it is unknown whether tailed and trimmed miRNA species are true intermediates of miRNA degradation or the outcomes of independent, parallel processes, and whether these processes occur on RISC or subsequent to unloading of miRNAs from Ago. Moreover, the fate of the TDMD-inducing RNA has not been investigated and may or may not involve co-degradation with the targeted miRNA. Co-degradation would limit target availability and the ability of TDMD to clear abundant miRNAs.

Certain viruses employ TDMD to degrade host miRNAs, some of which have antiviral activities [10,12,13,16], but physiological functions of TDMD remain to be identified. Because dynamic miRNA regulation seems crucial for the nervous system [17], we explored the
occurrence of TDMD in rodent neurons. We find that TDMD is not only operational but also particularly effective in primary neurons. We provide detailed characterization of the TDMD process and discuss its potential importance for regulation of miRNAs in neurons.

Results

Target mRNAs induce efficient decay of cognate miRNAs in neurons

Because neurons appear to make extensive use of miRNAs and their dynamic regulation, we reasoned that they might constitute a good model to investigate TDMD. To test this possibility, we constructed lentiviruses expressing target mRNAs with binding sites for miR-124 and miR-132, respectively, two miRNAs that are endogenously expressed in neurons [7]. Target mRNAs encode GFP and contain a 3′-untranslated region (UTR) with four binding sites (4× target) that pair extensively with the seed and 3′-end of the miRNAs but contain a central bulge. As a control, we generated an identical set of targets (referred to as mut targets), in which we mutated the seed-binding region (Fig 1A). Use of the neuron-specific human synapsin (Syn) promoter excludes transgene expression from glial cells, which are present in small amounts in primary hippocampal cell cultures.

We transduced lentiviruses at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1–5 into dissociated rat hippocampal neurons at day 9 of in vitro culture (DIV9) and extracted total RNA at 6 days post-infection (DIV15). When measuring miRNA levels by reverse transcription–quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT–qPCR), we found that neurons expressing the 4× target displayed significant, up to eightfold reduction in the cognate miRNA levels relative to cells expressing a mut target or no target (Fig 1B). As thoroughly addressed below, miR-132 levels decreased more than miR-124 levels. We confirmed the downregulation of miR-132 by Northern blotting (Fig 1C) and sequencing (Fig 1D; see below), ruling out that the miRNAs remain present but are inaccessible to amplification by RT–qPCR.

To test the specificity and robustness of the effect, we sequenced small RNAs isolated from infected neurons. This revealed that the miR-132 target affected exclusively miR-132 (Fig 1D). Moreover, we found that the effect is context-independent since another miR-132 target bearing unrelated coding and 3′-UTR sequences also drove miRNA degradation (Supplementary Fig S1A and B; Materials and Methods). Finally, to eliminate the possibility that reduced accumulation of mature miRNA was a consequence of changes in transcription and/or maturation of targeted miRNAs, we measured levels of corresponding pri-miRNAs and miRNAs* (passenger strands). The levels of both remained unchanged by the target mRNAs, thus confirming that the reduction in the guide strand occurs after Dicer-mediated processing (Fig 1D–F).

Taking all these data together, we conclude that target mRNAs effectively and specifically decrease the levels of their cognate miRNAs in hippocampal neurons through a TDMD-like process.

Target-induced decay is highly correlated with tailing and trimming of targeted miRNAs in neurons

To determine whether miRNA 3′-to-5′ trimming and 3′-end tailing occurred in neurons as it does in other instances of TDMD [3,12,15], we used small RNA sequencing to identify miR-132 isoforms in neurons transduced with the targets described below. We focused on miR-132 for two reasons. First, it exhibits more effective TDMD relative to miR-124 (Fig 1B and see below). Second, tailing was previously reported to involve mostly the addition of adenosines (A) and uridines (U). Because the first two nucleotides 3′ of the mature miR-124 are AA in all three Mir124 loci, a distinction between templated and non-templated 3′ A-additions would not be feasible.

We performed isoform-speciﬁc quantiﬁcation for miR-132 by counting the number of occurrences of each unique sequence matching the first 18 nt of miR-132. This conﬁrmed that the most abundant species, corresponding to the annotated mature isoform [18], decreased tenfold after transduction of the 4× target (Supplementary Fig S1C), consistent with measurements obtained by RT–qPCR and Northern blot (Supplementary Fig S1D and E). Interestingly, we also detected species with 3′ non-templated tails, some of which accumulate in the presence of a 4× target for miR-132 but not in the presence of either a mut target, seed-only pairing target, or a target specific for miR-124 (Supplementary Fig S1C). Most of the detected tailed species contain adenosines and/or uridines, with fewer cytidines and guanosines being added. Trimmed species were also detected, although most of them were reduced and did not accumulate upon expression of a 4× target.

To achieve a better understanding of the dynamics of tailing, trimming, and miRNA decay, we performed a time-course analysis. To this end, we used an inducible promoter to drive expression of a 4× target of miR-132. Sequencing of RNA samples extracted after different times of target induction followed by isoform-speciﬁc quantiﬁcation revealed a rapid initiation of TDMD in that mature miR-132 levels already declined by 8–24 h of induction (Fig 2A), reﬂecting similar target RNA induction dynamics (Supplementary Fig S2E). Whereas the levels of the mature miRNA declined progressively, other less abundant isoforms displayed apparently distinct expression trends (Fig 2A and C). Thus, tailed species started to accumulate gradually as early as 8 h after target induction, correlating with the decrease in mature miRNA levels (Fig 2A). Depending on tail length and composition, maximum accumulation was detected starting at 24 h with levels staying strongly elevated at 72 h. By contrast, trimmed species as well as a subset of species with mostly short tails tended to exhibit expression patterns that were comparable to that seen for mature miR-132, that is, mostly stable expression during the ﬁrst 8 h, followed by a detectable decline in levels at 24 and 72 h. We quantiﬁed the association between the change in isoform expression and tailing by calculating the Spearman rank correlation between the isoform rank in Fig 2A and the corresponding isoform length. The correlation was 0.85 (P = 1.3e-51). From this result, we conclude that expression of tailed species shows a strong temporal correlation with the decay of the mature miRNA, consistent with the idea that they could be true intermediates of the miRNA decay pathway.

Target mRNAs induce miRNA tailing on Ago2

The fact that highly complementary RNA targets induce 3′-tailing and degradation of miRNAs could be explained by a sequence of events where targets first induce unloading of miRNA from Argonaute proteins [19] followed by tailing and degradation of released
Figure 1. Target miRNAs transcribed from the synapsin promoter induce efficient decay of cognate miRNAs in rat primary hippocampal neurons.

A Schematic illustration of the vectors used in this work. Lentiviral transgenes express GFP-PEST, followed by a fragment of the CaMKII 3'-UTR, and 1 or 4 miRNA binding sites against candidate miRNAs. SYN, human synapsin promoter; TREp, tetracycline-inducible promoter; WPRE, woodchuck hepatitis virus posttranscriptional control element; LTR, long terminal repeat. Seed-pairing region is highlighted in gray. Unpaired nucleotides are depicted in red. **N** indicates variable nucleotide sequence among individual target sites.

B RT-qPCR was used to determine the levels of the indicated miRNAs in neurons in the presence of the indicated targets. Shown are means ± s.e.m.; n = 11 (for miR-124) and n = 12 (for miR-132). **P < 0.01 (P = 5e-7 for miR-124, P = 1e-16 for miR-132; calculated by an independent two-sample t-test).

C miR-132 levels determined by Northern blot analysis in non-infected neurons (ni) or neurons infected with targets bearing 4× WT or seed-mutant binding sites. Relative miRNA levels are indicated below the lanes.

D Scatter plot from small RNA sequencing data comparing log2 expression values in neurons infected with WT versus mut targets of miR-132. The transgenes affect only the targeted miRNA without any non-specific effect on non-related miRNAs.

E, F RT-qPCR was used to determine the levels of the indicated (E) pri-miRNAs and (F) passenger strand miRNAs (miRNA*) in neurons in the presence of the indicated targets. *non-inf.* are non-infected control cells. U6 RNA levels were used to normalize miRNA levels. Shown are means ± s.e.m.; n = 4 in (E), n = 4 in (F).

Source data are available online for this figure.
miRNAs. Alternatively, 3′-tailing could be induced while miRNAs are still loaded on Ago and this in turn could lead to unloading and/or degradation of miRNAs. To distinguish between these possibilities, we co-transduced neurons with lentiviral transgenes expressing FLAG/HA-Ago2 together with an inducible 4× target against miR-132. A time-course analysis was then performed by inducing transcription for different times, followed by anti-FLAG immunoprecipitation (IP) of Ago2 with associated RNA. By Western blot analysis, we detected Ago2 but not Actin in the IP fraction, while RT-qPCR analysis confirmed that miRNAs but not U6 RNA were present in the Ago2 IP material (Supplementary Fig S2A–C). To analyze the miR-132 isoform profiles in the input and Ago2 IP.
fractions, we performed small RNA sequencing in both fractions (Fig 2B). Notably, expression of the tagged Ago2 together with the 4× target did not appreciably alter the miR-132 isoform patterns in input samples relative to neurons infected with the 4× target alone (Fig 2A and B), despite an eightfold increased abundance of FLAG/HA-Ago2 over endogenous Ago2 mRNA. Moreover, we observed a striking similarity in the miR-132 isoform pattern between total RNA (input) and Ago2-associated RNA. In both fractions, a variety of 3′-tailed species accumulate in a highly dynamic fashion and with different kinetics in response to target induction. Most tailed species contain non-templated A/U nucleotides with some trimmed and apparently re-tailed species also present. When considered together with the transient enrichment of the target RNA on Ago2 (Supplementary Fig S2E), these results indicate that target mRNAs trigger tailing of miRNAs while miRNAs are bound by Ago proteins. Hence, it appears that the miRNA degradation machinery can act in close proximity to, or in association with, RISC, perhaps explaining the rapid and efficient miRNA turnover that we observe.

**Canonical miRNA target sites cannot induce nor compete with TDMD**

Natural miRNA binding sites in animals generally base pair with limited 3′-proximal complementarity to their cognate miRNAs [20]. In vitro, TDMD requires extensive but not full complementarity, and conventional miRNA binding sites do not alter miRNA stability [15]. To define the base-pairing requirements that mediate TDMD in neurons, we generated target mRNAs carrying miRNA binding sites with various pairing architectures (Figs 1A and 3A). Since a single target site suffices for efficient degradation of miR-132 (see below), we utilized targets with only a single site (1× targets). This analysis revealed that sites with central bulges of up to five nucleotides triggered efficient TDMD of miR-132, whereas a further increase in the bulge size to seven nucleotides abolished the effect (Fig 3B). TDMD activity relied also extensively on base-pairing to the 3′-end of the miRNA: A two-nucleotide mismatch to the 3′-end of the miRNA reduced the extent of TDMD from tenfold to threefold, and a total of four mismatches completely abolished TDMD, when assayed in the context of a target with a 4 nt bulge (Fig 3B).

Collectively, these results imply that typical miRNA binding sites, which lack extended complementarity to the miRNA 3′-end, would not be efficient triggers of TDMD. Consistent with this notion, none of three different 3′-UTRs from genes reported to be natural targets of miR-132-induced TDMD [21–23] (Supplementary Fig S3A and B). Similarly, five bioinformatically predicted miR-132 binding sites with canonical architecture [24] were unable to trigger TDMD when inserted together into the target reporter 3′-UTR expressed in hippocampal neurons (Supplementary Fig S3C and D).

Although the canonical targets thus appear to be unable to induce TDMD, it seemed feasible that they might compete with the extensively complementary target for miRNA binding. To test this possibility, we co-expressed TDMD-inducing 1× or 4× targets (Fig 3C and E) bearing highly complementary binding sites with targets containing mimics of endogenous canonical sites (canonical targets). Unexpectedly, TDMD efficiency was either not affected by co-expression of a canonical target (Fig 3C and D) or the effect of the canonical target was comparable to that of the mutant control (Fig 3E and F).

We conclude from these results that extensive 3′-end pairing to the miRNA is required to induce efficient TDMD and that canonical miRNA binding sites can neither induce miRNA decay themselves nor compromise TDMD induced by highly complementary targets.

**miRNA abundance affects a balance between TDMD and miRNA-mediated target degradation**

Since the above results suggested that competition between endogenous and highly complementary targets could not account for the differences in TDMD activity toward miR-124 versus miR-132, we explored whether miRNA abundance was instead a critical factor. In order to avoid technical biases inherent to relative quantification methods, we utilized synthetic miRNA standards to achieve absolute quantification; that is, determine the number of miR-124 and miR-132 molecules in a given amount of total RNA. This revealed that miR-124 was approximately one order of magnitude more abundant than miR-132 (Fig 4A; Materials and Methods). Consistent with this finding, miR-124 but not miR-132 silenced a target reporter very effectively (Supplementary Fig S4A and B).

To test whether the differences in miRNA levels could indeed explain the differences in the TDMD effect, we generated highly complementary 4× targets against four additional neuron-specific miRNAs, which we had selected to cover a broad range of expression levels based on absolute quantification. To relate these levels to concentrations of TDMD-inducing target miRNAs, we also quantified the number of mut target mRNA molecules (as a measure of baseline levels of both WT and mut targets) per hippocampal neuron (Materials and Methods). Three of the six selected miRNAs are expressed at lower molar concentrations relative to this miRNA level, whereas three are expressed at higher molar concentrations. We refer to these as low-abundance miRNAs (miR-134, miR-212, and miR-132) and high-abundance miRNAs (miR-138, miR-128, and miR-124), respectively (Fig 4A).

When determining the relative effects of the lentiviral targets on TDMD and miRNA-mediated mRNA decay for all six miRNAs, we found that TDMD appeared to be generally more efficient for low- than for high-abundance miRNAs (Fig 4B, 4× targets, lower left quadrant). One exception was miR-134, which, for unknown reasons, was less susceptible to TDMD than anticipated based on its low abundance. To examine the influence of miRNA abundance on TDMD under more defined conditions, we tested the consequences of increasing miR-132 concentration beyond its normally moderate endogenous level. To this end, we transduced a pri-miR-132 construct at increasing MOIs to achieve amounts comparable to the more abundant miRNAs (Fig 4C). Consistent with the findings for endogenous miRNAs of varying abundance, increasing miR-132 levels caused decreasing TDMD effects (Fig 4D, lower left quadrant).

We further examined the extent of target mRNA degradation induced by endogenous miRNAs of varying abundance and miR-132 supplied at different levels. We observed consistently that low-abundance miRNAs induced virtually no mRNA decay, whereas high-abundance miRNAs triggered efficient decay of the target mRNAs (Fig 4B and D, upper left quadrants). The extent of TDMD is thus inverse to the extent of miRNA-mediated mRNA decay. These results argue against a model where the miRNA and its target are co-degraded in TDMD. Instead, TDMD and target degradation appear to be two independent processes whose balance can be shifted by alterations in miRNA abundance.
Figure 3.

**A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bulge size</th>
<th>3b</th>
<th>5b</th>
<th>7b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3' end pairing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-132-3b</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>target 5'-CGCAUUGGC</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-132-5b</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>target 5'-CGCAUUGGC</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-132-7b</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>target 5'-CGCAUUGGC</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bulge size</th>
<th>3b</th>
<th>5b</th>
<th>7b</th>
<th>4b</th>
<th>4b+2</th>
<th>4b+4</th>
<th>4b+6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3' end pairing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-132-3b</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>target 5'-CGCAUUGGC</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-132-5b</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>target 5'-CGCAUUGGC</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-132-7b</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>target 5'-CGCAUUGGC</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
<td>GCGGCUAACG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C**

miR-132 1x target

| miR-132 | GCGGCUAACG |
| target 5'-CGCAUUGGC |

mimic of endogenous miR-132 target

| miR-132 | GCGGCUAACG |
| target 5'-CGCAUUGGC |

non-specific control target (miR-134_mut)

| miR-132 | GCGGCUAACG |
| target 5'-CGCAUUGGC |

**D**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1x target</th>
<th>1x target + mimic</th>
<th>1x target + control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fold TDMD (WT vs. mut)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**E**

miR-132 4x target

| miR-132 | GCGGCUAACG |
| target 5'-CGCAUUGGC |

miR-132 4x target seed-match

| miR-132 | GCGGCUAACG |
| target 5'-CGCAUUGGC |

miR-132 4x target seed-mutant (control)

| miR-132 | GCGGCUAACG |
| target 5'-CGCAUUGGC |

**F**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4x target</th>
<th>4x target + 4x WT</th>
<th>4x target + 4x seed mut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fold TDMD (WT vs. mut)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.
Multiple target sites support cooperative degradation of the target mRNA but not the miRNA

The experiments presented above involved targets with four binding sites, and it was previously shown that multiple miRNA binding sites induce a cooperative effect on miRNA-mediated repression [25–28]. Whether such effects play a role in determining TDMD efficacy is not known. We therefore asked which process, TDMD or mRNA repression, would predominate if we prevented cooperativity by using targets with only a single miRNA binding site (1× targets). In clear contrast to the 4× target results, 1× targets induced a significant (threefold to sevenfold) TDMD for all six miRNAs tested, irrespective of the miRNA abundance (Fig 4B, lower right quadrant). Conversely, virtually no mRNA decay for the respective 1× targets was induced by any of the tested miRNAs (Fig 4B, upper right quadrant). Similarly, a 1× target of miR-132 induced a robust TDMD response across a range of miR-132 levels, whereas neither mRNA decay (Fig 4D, upper right quadrant) nor translational repression (Supplementary Fig S4C and D) of the target occurred at even the highest miR-132 level. We conclude that TDMD and miRNA-mediated target silencing and degradation are independent processes and that only the latter is strongly dependent on cooperativity. Hence, eliminating cooperativity by reducing target site number shifts the balance toward TDMD, permitting extensive clearance of even abundant miRNAs.

TDMD appears to be a multiple turnover process in neurons

To quantify better the efficiencies of TDMD and mRNA decay, we estimated the absolute average numbers of miR-132 and target molecules degraded per cell in the different conditions (Fig 4E and F; Materials and Methods). We determined these numbers, ΔmiR and Δtarget, by calculating the difference in the amount of respective molecules in cells expressing a mut versus a wild-type target. As we increased the pri-miR-132 concentration, ΔmiR also increased. This was true for both the 1× and the 4× targets at increasing pri-miR-132 concentrations (Fig 4E), despite the fact that the fold TDMD changes decrease with increasing miR-132 levels for the 4× target (Fig 4D, lower left quadrant). This apparent inconsistency is explained by the fact that the fold TDMD is a relative measure of efficacy (i.e. a ratio). Strikingly, at high miRNA levels, the number of miRNA molecules degraded exceeded the initial number of target mRNA molecules expressed in the neurons (Fig 4E), such that for instance ~3,300 1× target mRNA molecules sufficed to induce degradation of ~16,000 miR-132 molecules. Similarly, a starting amount of 3,300 4× targets sufficed for degradation of ~12,000 miR-132 molecules, which is even more remarkable when considering that miR-132 eliminates >90% of the targets under these conditions (Fig 4D, lower left quadrant). (Note that miR-132 initial levels in this experiment greatly surpass the endogenous levels of an abundant miRNA such as miR-124.) Hence, the true potency of the miRNA degradation machinery in neurons is likely even higher than estimated in these experiments. Collectively, these results suggest that an individual mRNA molecule can induce decay of more than one miRNA molecule. Because miR-132 and its artificial mutant target appear to be made, and also destroyed, at approximately similar rates (Supplementary Fig S5), we conclude that TDMD in neurons exhibits the hallmarks of a multiple turnover process.

TDMD is particularly active in primary neurons

The strong activity of TDMD in neurons prompted us to examine its efficacy in other cell types. To that end, we transduced or transfected...
Figure 4.
a transgene with 4× sites against miR-132 into primary rat hippocampal neurons, primary mouse cerebellar granule neurons, undifferentiated or differentiated human SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells, HEK-293T cells, and primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF). In the case of HEK-293T cells, which lack endogenous miR-132, pri-miR-132 was expressed from a co-transfected plasmid. In each case, the target was present in excess of the miRNA in order to allow for a direct comparison between cell types. TDMD was significantly more efficient in the primary rat hippocampal neurons and the primary mouse cerebellar granule neurons than in the other cell types (Fig 5A). This was not due to lower miR-132 abundance in the primary neurons (Fig 5A). Indeed, TDMD remained poorly active in HEK-293T cells even in the presence of more than tenfold excess of target and irrespective of the use of 1× or 4× targets (Supplementary Fig S6). Further comparison of TDMD in primary rat hippocampal neurons and primary mouse cerebellar granule neurons revealed very similar responses for all four different miRNAs tested (Fig 5B). Even though the abundance of the different miRNAs does not follow the same order in both types of neurons, the relative efficiency of TDMD was anticorrelated with miRNA levels (Fig 5B).

From these results, we conclude that miRNA degradation induced by highly complementary targets is highly effective in primary neurons but much less so in non-neuronal cells or established neuronal cell lines. This is most striking considering that primary neurons are post-mitotic cells, implying that the measured effect is not an indirect result of the dilution of miRNAs due to cell division.

**Discussion**

The size and dynamic activity of neurons necessitate rapid and local regulation of gene expression [29]. Hence, miRNA function in the brain is of particular interest [30]. However, because long half-lives limit the suitability of miRNAs for dynamic gene expression changes [2], mechanisms are needed for their destabilization. Here, we have shown that neurons can initiate a potent and specific miRNA degradation response upon expression of highly complementary target miRNAs. The efficacy of this TDMD process appears to be a consequence of multiple turnover activity. The ability of individual target mRNA molecules to induce decay of multiple miRNA molecules also...
rules out mechanisms that involve co-degradation of the miRNA and its target, and this conclusion is corroborated by our observation that TDMD induced by single-site targets occurs without appreciable target RNA decay.

Although TDMD and miRNA-mediated target silencing both require base-pairing between a miRNA and its target, we find target site cooperativity only for mRNA silencing but not for TDMD. Thus, targets with either one (1×) and four (4×) miRNA binding sites induce TDMD, but mRNA silencing occurs only in response to 4× targets. An important implication is that, somewhat counterintuitively, depletion of abundant miRNAs is more, rather than less, effective when only a single instead of multiple miRNA sites is present in target RNA. This knowledge may thus guide the harnessing of TDMD to knock down miRNAs in experimental settings.

A second, unrelated implication concerns the nature of cooperativity of miRNA silencing, which, in principle, might operate at the level of either miRNA binding or miRNA function [28]. The distinction between TDMD and mRNA silencing when quantified here in the same experiment, with the same targets, is inconsistent with binding cooperativity, suggesting instead functional cooperativity. Although the mechanisms remain to be elucidated, it is conceivable that the presence of multiple miRISC complexes on a single target facilitates interaction with GW182 or other silencing proteins acting downstream of Argonautes.

The potency of TDMD in directing miRNA elimination combined with the precedence of viruses using TDMD to degrade host cell miRNAs further suggests strongly that TDMD is also a physiologically relevant process in neurons. The mechanistic insights gained through the present study and listed below may help to guide future identification of relevant situations and TDMD-inducing RNAs. First, similar to other instances of TDMD [3,10,12,13,15,16], inducers require incomplete but extensive target complementarity. Thus, a central bulge of ≤ 5 nt and a maximum of two mismatches to the 3′-end of the miRNA are the minimal base-pairing requirements for efficacious TDMD. Speculatively, the high efficacy of TDMD observed in neurons might have shaped evolution of miRNA binding sites by restricting their complementarity [31], explaining why TDMD-type miRNA binding sites occur with such low frequency in animals [32]. In line with this idea, canonical targets with limited complementarity are not only incapable of eliciting TDMD themselves but also fail to compete efficiently with highly complementary targets to attenuate TDMD. Second, TDMD-inducing RNAs need to resolve a conundrum, namely that miRNA-mediated regulation is most relevant for the abundant, active miRNAs in a cell [33,34], but that these are also the ones that will destroy TDMD inducers. Structures resistant to degradation, such as the recently reported endogenous circular RNAs [35–37], or the highly structured designer Tough Decoys RNAs [38,39] may offer a potential solution. Alternatively, and as demonstrated here, a target with a single rather than multiple binding sites can escape silencing by the miRNA without compromising TDMD activity. Third, although abundant TDMD inducers may be at an advantage when degradation of highly abundant miRNAs such as mir-124 is sought, the multiple turnover activity provides significant miRNA decay even when inducers are present at substoichiometric levels.

Although target-directed tailing of miRNAs has been observed in several systems [3,12,15], its role in TDMD has remained unknown. Thus, although adenylation and uridylation have been linked to miRNA stability in both plants and animals [40–44], perfectly complementary targets of siRNAs sufficed for ejection of these miRNA-like molecules from Ago without a need for tailing in vitro [19]. Similarly, MCMV-infected NIH-3T3 cells exhibit enhanced tailing of miR-27 in total RNA, but not on Ago2-loaded miRNAs [12], consistent with tailing occurring subsequent to miRNA eviction from Ago, or happening on Ago2-bound miRNAs but leading to their immediate release from RISC. By contrast, we find tailing during TDMD to occur on Ago-bound miRNAs and to coincide with degradation. Moreover, it appears that the mature (untailed) miRNA isoform is more extensively depleted from the Ago-associated than the total RNA pool (Fig 2B and Supplementary Fig S2D). Hence, it seems possible that tailing of Ago-bound miRNAs is a first step in a pathway that ultimately leads to ejection and degradation of the miRNA. Whether the discrepancy to the earlier work that reported the preferential induction of tailed miRNAs outside Ago [12] reflects true biological differences remains to be determined. At any rate, tailed species of small RNAs have been detected on Argonaute proteins from plants and animals [45–47], demonstrating that, consistent with our observations, tailing does not require dissociation of the miRNA from Ago.

Finally, the differences that our comparative study revealed for TDMD activity among different mammalian cells were unexpected and striking. Whereas TDMD is highly effective in two types of primary neurons, rat hippocampal neurons and mouse cerebellar granule cells, little activity was observed in either a neuroblastoma (even following differentiation) or an embryonic kidney cell line. Although the latter two are neither primary cells nor of rodent origin, these distinctions fail to explain the difference in TDMD activity since primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) displayed equally muted TDMD activity. Hence, it appears to be some feature of being a primary neuronal cell that promotes effective TDMD. Although the molecular basis of this observation remains to be determined, it might reflect the general increase in miRNA metabolism in these cells [7–9]. Conversely, elevated TDMD activity in neurons appears well matched to the extensive reliance of the nervous system on highly dynamic and spatially localized regulation [29]. Thus, TDMD emerges as a suitable mechanism for selective recognition, release, and degradation of specific miRNAs in neurons.

Materials and Methods

Procedures are detailed in the Supplementary Information.

Plasmid construction

Lentiviral vectors bearing the human Syn promoter are based on pRLRSIN.cPPT.PGK-GFP.WPRE (Addgene plasmid 12252). Lentiviral vectors with the tetracycline-inducible promoter (TREP) are based on the plasmid SYN-TetoFF-GFP [48]. Plasmids expressing pri-miR-132 and pri-miR-182 are based on miRNASelect™ pEGP-mmu-mirna expression vectors (Cell Biolabs). The lentivector driving expression of FLAG/HA-Ago2 (human) from the Syn promoter (pLV-FLAG-HA-Ago2) was generated by amplifying FLAG/HA-Ago2 from pRESneo-FLAG/HA-Ago2 (Addgene plasmid 10822).
Design of artificial miRNA binding sites of target constructs

The miRNA binding region consists on extensively complementary sites with bulged nucleotides at positions 9–12 to prevent cleavage of the RNA. Sequences of spacers and bulges were optimized to have random nucleotide composition and to keep the miRNA binding region unstructured.

Lentivirus production

Lentiviral particles were produced in HEK-293T cells with the packaging vectors pMD2.G (Addgene plasmid 12259) and pCMV8.74 (Addgene plasmid 22036).

Neuronal cultures

Primary rat hippocampal neurons and mouse cerebellar granule cells were prepared as previously described [49,50].

RT–qPCR quantification

Mature miRNA, miRNA+ and U6 levels were determined by using TaqMan microRNA Assays (Applied Biosystems).

Small RNA sequencing and data analysis

Small RNA libraries were prepared using Illumina TruSeq Small RNA kits (Cat # RS-200-0024). miRNA expression levels were calculated and normalized as described previously [51] using rat miRBase (http://www.mirbase.org/) release 20 as a reference [18].

Accession numbers

All sequencing data generated for this study have been deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus [52] and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE57663.

Supplementary information for this article is available online: http://embor.embopress.org
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