The elusive determinants of replication origins

Despite their central role in maintaining genetic integrity during cell division, there is still considerable uncertainty about how DNA sequences are selected to be replication origins in eukaryotes (DePamphilis, 1999; Gilbert, 2001; Machida et al., 2005). The budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae uses a limited set of efficient origins, each of which contains a conserved sequence motif and are used fairly reproducibly in different cell cycles. By contrast, the replication origins of Xenopus and Drosophila early cleavage embryos are located randomly with respect to the DNA sequence, although mechanisms might exist to ensure that they are spaced apart (Blow et al., 2001; Hyrien et al., 2003). The somatic cells of metazoans organize their replication origins in yet another way, which resembles aspects of those of both budding yeast and cleavage embryos. Many—perhaps most (Mesner et al., 2006)—initiation events occur in 'initiation zones' that are up to several kilobases in size; these zones contain numerous inefficient initiation sites that are used in different cell cycles. Origins differ in the efficiency with which they are used; therefore, some sites are utilized more frequently than others. As yet, no consensus DNA sequence has been found at metazoan initiation origins.

The complement of proteins responsible for the assembly of functional replication forks is well conserved among eukaryotes (Difflley, 2004; Nishitani & Lygerou, 2004; Blow & Dutta, 2005). Binding of the mini chromosome maintenance 2–7 (Mcm2–7) complex to origin DNA during late mitosis and G1 phase ‘licenses’ the origin for use in the subsequent S phase. The loading of Mcm2–7 onto DNA requires the origin recognition complex (ORC), and together they form part of the ‘pre-replicative complex’ (pre-RC). With the exception of the S. cerevisiae ORC, pre-RC proteins bind DNA with little or no sequence preference; therefore, it is unclear how the pattern of origins seen in other organisms is established. One possibility is that some aspect of chromatin or chromosome structure directs pre-RC assembly. Another possibility is that licensed origins are widely distributed over chromosomal DNA, but that only a few are selected for use during S phase. Consistent with this idea, the number of Mcm2–7 complexes assembled onto DNA is approximately 10 times the number of origins typically used in a normal S phase (Hyrien et al., 2003; Blow & Dutta, 2005).

Several recent papers have addressed how replication origins are distributed in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe, which is a unicellular eukaryote that is only distantly related to the budding yeasts. In addition to work by Patel and colleagues, and Feng and colleagues (Patel et al., 2006; Feng et al., 2006), two new fission yeast microarray-based genome-wide studies, one by the Paul Nurse group (Heichinger et al., 2006) and the other by the Hisao Masukata group (Hayashi et al., 2007), have been published in the 1 November 2006 and 7 March 2007 issues of The EMBO Journal, respectively. Furthermore, in another paper published in the same March issue, the cell biology of DNA replication in S. pombe is examined by Susan Gasser and colleagues, who show how replication sites are spatially and temporally organized in the nucleus (Meister et al., 2007).

Heichinger and colleagues exploited the increase in DNA content that occurs during replication to map replication origins (Fig 1C). They observed 401 strong origins used during a normal S phase, although they estimated that, on average, each origin is used in only approximately 30% of cell cycles. Treatment of cells with the DNA synthesis inhibitor hydroxyurea (HU) revealed an additional 503 inefficient origins. By slowing fork progression, HU might allow inefficient origins—which are usually inactivated by passive replication from a nearby origin—to fire.

Hayashi and colleagues identified replication origins by incorporation of the thymidine analogue bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) in the presence of HU (Fig 1D), and correlated this with the chromatin binding of the pre-RC proteins Orc1 and Mcm6 (Fig 1A). They identified 307 early replication origins at which pre-RC proteins and BrdU incorporation co-localized. Another 153 late or inefficient origins that bound pre-RC proteins, but did not incorporate BrdU, were also found. Hayashi and colleagues identified 78% of the early/efficient origins published by Heichinger and colleagues, which included 80–90% of those characterized in other studies (Segurado et al., 2003; Dai et al., 2005; Feng et al., 2006).

As predicted by previous analyses (Segurado et al., 2003; Dai et al., 2005), the origins identified by Heichinger and colleagues, and Hayashi and colleagues, do not display a consensus sequence but have a high average A+T content (greater than 70%), similar to that of intergenes in fission yeast. (A+T)-rich sequences are the only common element found in the few origins of replication that have been characterized in metazoans (Aladjem & Fanning, 2004).

The average inter-origin distance found in the different genome-wide studies mentioned here is 30–40 kb; however, if the 503 inefficient origins described by Heichinger and colleagues are included, the average origin spacing is reduced to 14 kb. These authors suggest that the inefficient origins might be used only in a small percentage of cell cycles to bridge large gaps between more efficient origins, to guarantee complete genome replication. However, given that the weak origins were not identified in the other genome-wide studies, they might have no physiological relevance. Another possibility is that they represent some of the numerous additional sites where Mcm2–7 complexes are loaded at low efficiency, which do not clearly show up in the chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments of Hayashi and colleagues. The large zones containing weak origins alone have some resemblance to the initiation zones of somatic mammalian cells. There are approximately 10 times more Mcm2–7 complexes on DNA than ORC molecules or active origins (Blow & Dutta, 2005). All of the chromatin-bound Mcm2–7 complexes are likely to be able to initiate replication (Woodward et al, 2007).
although the reason for this discrepancy is that the majority of late-firing origins are defective and replicated late in S phase, many in subtelomeric regions. They also become single-stranded in the presence of HU (Fig 1B). By contrast, Heichinger and colleagues found that only 7% of origins replicated late after HU, indicating that these sites are either inefficiently used or are late origins that are suppressed by HU. In S phase checkpoint kinase cds1Δ mutant cells, BrdU was incorporated into some, but not all, of these late/inefficient origins as well as large sections of subtelomeric DNA. A similar increase in origin firing in cds1Δ cells has been reported (Feng et al., 2006); these authors mapped origins by looking for regions of DNA that become single-stranded in the presence of BrdU. By contrast, Heichinger and colleagues found that only 7% of origins replicated late in S phase, many in subtelomeric regions. They also detected only a small increase in origin firing when checkpoint-defective rad3 mutant cells were treated with HU. One possible reason for this discrepancy is that the majority of late-firing origins in fission yeast do so only by virtue of being inefficiently—and stochastically—used throughout S phase. It is also possible that although rad3Δ is thought to act upstream of cds1, the different mutants affected late-origin firing to different extents.

Meister and colleagues provided new data on the dynamics of DNA replication by examining S. pombe cells carrying proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) tagged with the green fluorescent protein to mark sites of replication (Meister et al., 2007). This revealed that, as in other eukaryotes, DNA replication in S. pombe occurs in discrete structures called replication foci or factories, each containing an average of 14 replication forks. As in metazoan cells, the fission yeast factories showed reproducible changes in number and subnuclear distribution during S phase.

The DNA replicated in a single replication factory is thought to represent a contiguous block of chromosomal DNA (Berezney et al., 2000; Kitamura et al., 2006). Interestingly, the origin mapping studies of Hayashi and colleagues indicate that early/efficient origins and late/inefficient origins tend to distribute separately into large chromosome regions, potentially providing a basis for their replication in different factories throughout S phase (Fig 2). Hayashi and colleagues swapped the chromosomal location of an early/efficient origin with a late/inefficient origin and showed that the origin efficiency changed according to the location. This implies that the efficiency or timing of origin firing is dependent on local chromatin or chromosome structure. Molecular combing and observation of individual fission yeast DNA molecules have revealed considerable variability between the location of origins in different cell cycles, confirming the idea that many origins are inefficient (Patel et al., 2006; Rhind, 2006). Although the inefficiency of many fission yeast origins implies a significant degree of randomness in the location of replication origins, the specific patterns observed by whole-genome studies (Feng et al., 2006; Hayashi et al., 2007; Heichinger et al., 2006) indicate that non-random factors are also at work.

The grouping of replication forks into factories might provide an environment in which newly replicated DNA can be assembled into specific chromatin states, thereby maintaining the epigenetic information that is otherwise disrupted by replication (Gilbert, 2001; Taddei et al, 2004). Meister and colleagues show that replication foci are highly dynamic, and can fuse, split and travel across the genome microarray. Immediately after initiation, origin DNA is present at twice the level of flanking DNA. (D) Newly synthesized DNA is labelled with bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU), which is then separated on density gradients.
nucleus. These rapid movements might be driven by interaction with other nuclear components, which could be involved in assembling specific chromatin structures. cds1Δ mutant cells showed unusual patterns in which foci characteristic of both early and late S phase were seen together. This might be a manifestation of the firing of a subset of late/inefficient origins that are normally repressed by the checkpoint system during early S phase (Hayashi et al., 2007). When replication was slowed by HU, a relatively normal, although slower, progression of replication patterns was maintained. Treatment of cds1Δ mutant cells with HU, however, led to the complete dismantling of replication foci, although HU only slowed the normal progression of patterns in wild-type cells. The loss of foci seen in cds1Δ cells treated with HU might be attributable to the collapse of replication forks expected to occur under these conditions (Lopes et al., 2001; Tercero & Diffley, 2001; Cobb et al., 2005).

The papers of Heichinger and colleagues, Hayashi and colleagues, and Meister and colleagues provide a glimpse of the unexplored intricacies of how eukaryotic genomes are organized for replication. They show that the efficiency of origin usage is likely to be an important factor in explaining overall patterns of genome replication. They also imply that higher-order chromatin organization has a role in the spatial and temporal organization of replication. In metazoans, such as Xenopus, nuclear reprogramming is accompanied by changes in chromatin loop size and replication rates, indicating a functional link between the two (Lemaître et al., 2005). A challenge now is to understand how this interplay between chromatin organization and replication comes about.
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