Kinesin-2 motors adapt their stepping behavior for processive transport on axonemes and microtubules
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Abstract

Two structurally distinct filamentous tracks, namely singlet microtubules in the cytoplasm and axonemes in the cilium, serve as railways for long-range transport processes in vivo. In all organisms studied so far, the kinesin-2 family is essential for long-range transport on axonemes. Intriguingly, in higher eukaryotes, kinesin-2 has been adapted to work on microtubules in the cytoplasm as well. Here, we show that heterodimeric kinesin-2 motors distinguish between axonemes and microtubules. Unlike canonical kinesin-1, kinesin-2 takes directional, off-axis steps on microtubules, but it resumes a straight path when walking on the axonemes. The inherent ability of kinesin-2 to side-track on the microtubule lattice restricts the motor to one side of the doublet microtubule in axonemes. The mechanistic features revealed here provide a molecular explanation for the previously observed partitioning of oppositely moving intraflagellar transport trains to the A- and B-tubules of the same doublet microtubule. Our results offer first mechanistic insights into why nature may have co-evolved the heterodimeric kinesin-2 with the ciliary machinery to work on the specialized axonemal surface for two-way traffic.
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Introduction

One of the most visible hallmarks of eukaryotes is the employment of motor proteins that ferry cargo around the cell on tracks provided by the cytoskeleton. Many of these motors were shown to be processive, meaning that they can take many consecutive steps without dissociating from their filaments in vitro [1–6]. The homodimeric kinesin-1 was central for the molecular understanding of how processivity is achieved. Overwhelming evidence favored the so-called hand-over-hand stepping mechanism for this motor [7–11]. Such a mechanism predicts one head domain of the double-headed motor to move twice the distance (16 nm) of the center of mass (8 nm). Tracking the displacement of one head domain at subpixel resolution using FIONA (Fluorescence Imaging with One Nanometer Accuracy) provided direct evidence for the hand-over-hand stepping mechanism [12].

In contrast to homodimeric kinesin-1, several members of the kinesin-2 subfamily form heterodimeric motors that further associate with a non-motor subunit to function as heterotrimeric motors in vivo [13]. Previous work on heterodimeric kinesin-2 revealed marked differences in processivity and force-dependent behavior when compared to kinesin-1 [14–17]. In contrast to kinesin-1, the kinesin-2 processivity precipitated under load, but in load-free fluorescence-based assays, kinesin-2 processivity matched that of kinesin-1 [16,17].

Kinesin-2 is argued to have co-evolved with the eukaryotic cilium [18–21]. Indeed, kinesin-2 dependent anterograde transport of cargo to the tip of the cilium, the so-called intraflagellar transport (IFT), is a prerequisite to the construction of cilia in all eukaryotes [18,19,22–24]. The retrograde IFT back to the base of the cilium is accomplished by the dynein-2 motor [25–29].

Intrflagellar transport (IFT) takes place on axonemes, an elaborate microtubule structure that consists of nine peripherally arranged doublet microtubules. Each doublet microtubule is formed by the fusion of a B-tubule to an A-tubule [30–32]. What are the functional consequences of having such an elaborate axonemal track for IFT? Previous work in Trypanosoma brucei for example showed that only a subset of doublet microtubules is available for IFT [33]. Structural studies on the ciliary pore complex from Tetrahymena pyriformis revealed nine large pores that were proposed to accommodate the IFT trains on the B-tubule [34]. Indeed, kinetics and force production by dynein inner arms were shown to be affected by post-translational modifications (PTMs) on the B-tubule [35,36]. If, however, PTMs also control antero- as well as retrograde IFT is not yet known [37–40].

In a remarkable recent study, doublet microtubules were found to serve as bi-directional double-track railways in vivo...
[41]. Specifically, anterograde IFT trains selectively moved on the B-tubule, whereas the retrograde transport was restricted to the neighboring A-tubule. This partitioning in turn enabled collision-free bi-directional transport of large IFT trains. However, the underlying mechanisms of such directional track assignment remained elusive.

Intriguingly, some heterotrimeric kinesin-2 motors have been adapted by evolution to also work on singlet microtubules in the cytoplasm [19–21]. One of the best studied examples of kinesin-2-dependent transport in the cytoplasm occurs in Xenopus laevis melanophores where the KLP3A/B motor participates in the dynamic redistribution of pigment granules on the singlet microtubule network [42,43].

Given this evolutionary specialization of heterodimeric kinesin-2 motors to both, ciliary and cytoplasmic transport processes, here we hypothesized that kinesin-2 may have adapted its stepping mechanism to axonemes and microtubules, respectively. By studying KLP11/20 that drives anterograde IFT trains on axonemes in Caenorhabditis elegans [44] and the KLP3A/B, we show that these kinesins differently utilize the microtubule and the axoneme lattice to processively move forward. We further show that processivity of the heterodimeric kinesin-2 is significantly affected by one of its distal C-terminal tail domain. The molecular features unmasked in this study provide first mechanistic clues into why nature may have co-evolved the heterodimeric kinesin-2 to work on the axonemal lattice for two-way traffic in vivo.

**Results and Discussion**

Tracking the displacement of one of the two head domains during the catalytic cycle of motor proteins such as kinesin-1, myosin-V, and -VI by FIONA technology provided strong support for the hand-over-hand stepping mechanism [12,45–47]. Here, we applied FIONA to two different kinesin-2 motors, the KLP11/20 from C. elegans and the KLP3A/B kinesin-2 from X. laevis, and compared how they stepped on microtubules and axonemes.

The two distinct subunits of the heterodimeric kinesin-2 offer the unique opportunity to label only one subunit with a fluorophore with exquisite specificity. To obtain labeled motors, we N-terminally fused a Halo-tag and a SNAP-tag to the full-length KLP11 and the KLP3A/B subunits, respectively. Co-expression of the tagged KLP11 and KLP3A/B subunits with their respective partner subunits KLP20 and KLP3B and subsequent fluorophore labeling resulted in heterodimeric motors that were fluorescently labeled with close to 100% specificity at only one subunit (Appendix Fig S1). Photobleaching studies of the N-terminally labeled motors confirmed that single motors were being assayed (Appendix Fig S2).

**KLP3A/B and KLP11/20 motors are highly processive**

Properties of single KLP11/20 from C. elegans have previously been studied in optical tweezers assays [14]. In these experiments, full-length KLP11/20 that was attached to micron-sized polystyrene beads displayed significantly shorter run lengths than kinesin-1 under comparable load [14,48].

Here, we employed total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy to investigate the transport parameters of the full-length KLP3A/B kinesin-2 from X. laevis (Fig 1A–C) and KLP11/20 from C. elegans (Fig 1D–F). An advantage of this setup is that the motors do not have to be coupled to macroscopic beads. At saturating ATP concentrations, we found that KLP11/20 and KLP3A/B were highly processive, traveling several micrometers before dissociating from the microtubule (Fig 1C and F, and Appendix Figs S3 and S4). The processivity of the full-length KLP11/20 and KLP3A/B motors also exceeded that of a C-terminally truncated KIF3A/B motor from mouse in previous TIRF assays [17]. The discrepancies between the fluorescence- and optical tweezers-based assays prompted us to search for possible explanations next.

**The distal C-terminal random-coil tail is necessary for full processivity of kinesin-2**

In contrast to the optical tweezers-based assays where the motors are attached to micron-sized beads with their C-terminus, both C-termiini of the heterodimeric kinesin-2 motors remained free in our assays. We therefore asked whether a free C-terminus was necessary for full processivity at the example of the KLP3A/B motor. To this end, we cut off the predicted C-terminal random-coil domains from KLP3A and, separately, from KLP3B. It is important to note that heterodimerization of the kinesin-2 motors is initiated at the C-terminal end of the predicted coiled-coil domains [49,50]; the C-terminal truncations of the kinesin-2 motor were thus limited to the predicted random-coil domains (or tail domains; Appendix Fig S5A). We therefore truncated the KLP3A and KLP3B subunits at the highly conserved proline residues that demarcate the end of the predicted coiled-coil and the start of the random-coil domains (Appendix Fig S5B). Co-expression of the truncated constructs with their full-length partner subunits created two heterodimeric kinesin-2 motors lacking the C-terminal random-coil regions on either subunit (Appendix Fig S6A). As done for the full-length KLP3A/B motor, the truncated constructs were labeled specifically at the KLP3A subunit via an N-terminal SNAP-tag (Appendix Fig S6A). Photobleaching studies confirmed that we detected single motor molecules (Appendix Fig S6B).

Deletion of the C-terminal tail domain of the KLP3A subunit marginally affected speed and processivity of KLP3A/B (Figs 1B and C, and 2A). Intriguingly, however, deletion of the corresponding region in the KLP3B subunit reduced the run length by ~50% without substantially affecting the velocity of the motor (Fig 2B). To probe if the absence of the C-terminal B-tail curtails the processivity of the motor because the A-tail can more efficiently auto-inhibit the two head domains [51], we removed both C-termiini of the heterodimeric KLP3A/B motor. Simultaneous removal of both random-coil domains did not impact the processivity of the construct when compared to the removal of the B-random coil alone (Fig 2B and C). Indeed, the run length of a truncated heterodimeric KIF3A/B from mouse that lacked its respective C-terminal random-coil domains was consistent with that of KLP3A$^{\text{N-Snap}}$ 1-597/B$^{\text{1-592}}$ motor also lacking its C-termiini (Fig 2C) [17]. These results indicate that the tail domain of KLP3B, but not KLP3A, has the ability to modulate the processivity of the motor. It will be interesting to see whether the C-terminal random-coil domain of the KLP3A also has an assigned role to modulate the motor’s kinetics other than its processivity.

Taken together, our results argue that the C-terminal tail of KLP3B augments processivity, possibly by tethering the motor to the
microtubule [52], which prevents premature dissociation. Attaching the motor at its C-terminus to beads in previous optical tweezers studies therefore likely curtailed the processivity of the kinesin-2 motor.

Kinesin-2 adapted its steps specifically to axonemes and microtubules

It is well established that kinesin-1 moves on microtubules according to the hand-over-hand stepping mechanism by swinging the trailing head domain forward, ahead of the other, by ~16 nm [12,53]. These steps occur exclusively along one protofilament, restricting the kinesin-1 motor to a straight path on the microtubule lattice in vitro [53,54]. In stark contrast to kinesin-1, beads coated with multiple kinesin-2 motors spiraled around microtubules with a characteristic, left-handed pitch, suggesting that single kinesin-2 motors may possess an intrinsic propensity to switch protofilaments [55]. Indeed, a single, truncated kinesin-2 motor from mouse was shown to take sidesteps on the microtubule lattice when it encountered roadblocks in vitro [56].

These observations provoked the question how kinesin-1 and kinesin-2 motors accomplish efficient intracellular transport on microtubules, which are known to be decorated with many factors such as microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) in vivo [57–59]. For example, the direct comparison between kinesin-1 and kinesin-2 motors showed that Tau, a MAP known to heavily decorate axonal microtubules in vivo, affected kinesin-1’s but not kinesin-2’s processivity in vitro [60]. Even though kinesin-1 can also overcome permanent obstacles in vitro [61], kinesin-2 appears to do so more efficiently via its higher propensity to switch protofilaments when compared to kinesin-1 [56,60].

The proposed capability of kinesin-2 to take off-axis steps predicts the step size of the motor to significantly differ from the 16 nm on-axis steps, which kinesin-1 for example displays. Here, we directly tested this hypothesis by specifically tracking one head domain of two heterodimeric kinesin-2 motors. To this end, the N-terminally fluorophore-labeled KLP11 and KLP3A subunits of the respective heterodimeric motors were tracked with nanometer resolution. Limiting ATP concentrations were used to sufficiently slow down the motors. Under these conditions, displacements of individual head domains could be resolved.

The respective step sizes of the KLP11/20 and KLP3A/B motors centered around ~13 nm on microtubules and thus significantly deviated from kinesin-1’s ~16 nm on-axis steps (Figs 3A and B, and EV1, and Appendix Fig S8) [12,53]. A ~13 nm
displacement is inconsistent with protofilament tracking because it does not reflect the ~8 nm periodicity of the microtubule track. Instead, these results implicate that the two kinesin-2 motors take sidesteps to the neighboring protofilaments (Appendix Fig S8). The respective step sizes are consistent with a hand-over-hand mechanism, as is the double-exponential decay of the observed dwell times. The dwell time distribution indicates the existence of two substeps, one from the fluorescently labeled and the other from the unlabeled and thus “hidden” head (Fig 3E and F) [12,46]. We conclude that individual KLP11/20 and KLP3A/B kinesin-2 motors possess an inherent propensity to take sidesteps to the neighboring protofilament as previously suggested from multiple-motor assays [55].

Next, we assessed whether KLP11/20 and KLP3A/B motors walk differently on axonemes than on single microtubules. Remarkably, the mean displacements of individual motors increased from ~13 to ~16 nm when allowed to walk on axonemes (Fig 3C and D). This step size is consistent with efficient on-axis protofilament tracking and a hand-over-hand stepping mechanism. The dwell time distribution again displayed a double-exponential decay for both motors on axonemes further supporting an hand-over-hand stepping mechanism (Fig 3G and H).
The observed stepping behavior implicates that single kinesin-2 molecules have an intrinsic propensity to take sidesteps by switching protofilaments on singlet microtubules; on axonemes, however, they faithfully track one protofilament following a straight path. If true, these respective stepping modes should be reflected in the trajectories of the motors moving on microtubules versus axonemes.

Figure 3. Kinesin-2 motors differentiate between the microtubule and axoneme surface to take processive steps.
Tracking of the fluorescently labeled head domains KLP3A and KLP11 of the heterodimeric kinesin-2 motors at limiting ATP concentrations. Note the corresponding colors in upper and lower panels.
A, B The step size distribution centered around ~13 nm when walking on microtubules. Together with the double-exponential decay of the dwell times (E, F), these results support a hand-over-hand type stepping of the respective motors.
C, D Tracking on axonemes increased step size distribution to ~16 nm which is consistent with protofilament tracking.
E, F Double-exponential decay of the dwell times and raw stepping data with detected steps.
G, H Double-exponential decay of the dwell times again argues for a hand-over-hand stepping mechanism on axonemes.

Data information: (E–H) Steps are shown with the detected stepping pattern in red and the calculated step size in nm. Scale bars are 5.04 s (10 frames) wide and 10 nm high. The respective step sizes are fit to a normal distribution (x is mean, and µ is width of the distribution). Dwell times are fit to a double-exponential distribution (± confidence interval). See Fig EV1 for more step data and Appendix Fig S7 for the respective kymographs. A two-sample t-test has confirmed the statistical significance of the difference between the step size distributions on microtubules versus axonemes (P-values of 3e-5 for KLP11/20 and 0.05 for KLP3A/B, respectively).
Following KLP11/20 over time on axonemes indeed resulted in straight trajectories consistent with the 16 nm on-axis displacements (Figs 4A and EV2A, and Appendix Fig S9). In contrast, on singlet microtubules with rotational symmetry, the motors followed sinusoid-like paths consistent with sidestepping (Figs 4B and EV2B, and Appendix Figs S8 and S10). These correspond to the projection of a helical motion of an individual motor around the tube-shaped microtubule lattice.

In fact, the sole assumption of a 50% probability of sidestepping was sufficient to recapitulate the experimentally determined step size of the motor (Figs EV3, and 3A and B). Remarkably, this assumption alone sufficed to also recapitulate the motors characteristic trajectories observed above (Figs EV3 and 4B). Taken together, these results strongly argue for an adaption of the motor’s trajectory dependent on the respective track employed.

Why do the motor’s stepping patterns differ on the two respective tracks? The nine peripheral microtubule doublets of the axoneme are connected among others via densely packed nexin and dynein arms [30–32,62,63]. We suggest that kinesin-2’s inherent ability to side-track on the microtubule lattice (Fig EV3) in a left-handed manner [55] will eventually restrict the motor next to these impenetrable structural demarcations on the axoneme surface forcing the motor to take on-axis ~16-nm steps (Fig 5). This process ensures that all kinesin-2-powered intraflagellar trains segregate to one side of the doublet microtubule, the B-tubule, during anterograde transport toward the tip of the cilium [41]. Kinesin-1, in contrast, would fail to segregate to one of the two tubules because it cannot directionally switch protofilaments [12,54,55,61]. Instead, it would walk straight ahead and eventually collide with dynein-powered trains coming from the tip of the cilium. Our results therefore offer a simple mechanistic explanation for how step adaptation of kinesin-2 motors enables collision-free bi-directional transport on axonemes [41]. We speculate that dynein-2, like kinesin-2, may also exhibit an intrinsic left-handed bias during retrograde transport toward the base of the cilium (Fig 5). In doing so, it would efficiently avoid collisions with kinesin-2 powered trains by spatially partitioning them to the opposite side of the doublet microtubule. Taken together, this work provides first mechanistic insights into why heterodimeric kinesin-2 has specifically co-evolved with the IFT machinery to work on axonemes [19–21].

**Materials and Methods**

**DNA constructs and design**

The full-length heterodimeric kinesin-2 constructs from *X. laevis* (KLP3A/B) and *C. elegans* (KLP11/20) were obtained as described previously [55]. DNA manipulations were done according to standard procedures and as instructed by manufacturers. The truncated constructs were
All constructs contained a C-terminal FLAG- or His-tag, respectively, for affinity-tag purification and were subjected to DNA sequencing to guarantee that no secondary mutations were introduced. Recombinant Baculids and first virus generations were generated according to standard protocols provided by the manufacturer (Thermofisher). Subsequent viral amplification for protein sequencing to guarantee that no secondary mutations were introduced.

**Protein expression, purification, and fluorescent labeling**

All proteins were expressed using the Baculovirus Expression System (Thermofisher) in insect cells [Spodoptera frugiperda (Sf9)] according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Heterodimeric motor proteins were Flag-tagged (DYKDDDDK) at one of the C-terminal ends to facilitate purification. The following protocol refers to 50 ml suspension culture at 2 × 10^6 cells/ml. For protein purification, virus-infected cells were pelleted after 48-h incubation at 28°C by centrifuging for 10 min at 2,600 g. Cells were carefully lysed in lysis buffer [50 mM PIPES, pH 6.9, 300 mM potassium acetate, 1 mM MgCl_2, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM ATP, 5% Triton X-100, Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche)]. Lysed cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min at 40,000 g. The supernatant was incubated with 50 μl ANTI-Flag M2 Affinity Agarose gel (Sigma) for 90 min. The beads were washed three times with 1 ml wash buffer 1 (80 mM PIPES, pH 6.9, 500 mM potassium acetate, 1 mM MgCl_2, 1 mM DTT, 5 μM ATP, 0.1% Tween-20, 1 mM EGTA) and three times with 1 ml wash buffer 2 (80 mM PIPES, pH 6.9, 200 mM potassium acetate, 1 mM MgCl_2, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM ATP, 0.1% Tween-20, 1 mM EGTA). Subsequently, the beads were incubated on a rotator for 40 min at 4°C with 100 μl wash buffer 2 containing either 1 mM HaloTag® AlexaFluor®660 ligand (for the labeling of the Halo-tagged KLP11/20 protein) or 1 mM SNAP surface® Alexa Fluor®647 ligand (for labeling of the SNAP-tagged KLP3A/B protein). The protein was eluted in 100 μl elution buffer [80 mM PIPES, pH 6.9, 200 mM potassium acetate, 1 mM MgCl_2, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM ATP, 0.1% Tween-20, 1 mM EGTA, 0.5 mg/ml 1× Flag Peptide (Sigma)] for 1 h. This protocol leads to a typical range of protein yields of 0.1–0.2 mg/ml.

**Photobleaching experiments**

In order to verify that single molecules were measured in the microscopic assays, bleaching step analysis was performed on all constructs. Kinesin was adsorbed to coverslips via non-specific interactions, and fluorescence was observed in TIRF illumination. Spots for analysis were chosen for all constructs by intensity, and the intensity values for a 3 × 3 pixel window were summarized. Performing a gliding t-value test with a corresponding threshold identified the bleaching steps [65].

**Single-molecule assays**

**Transport parameters at saturating ATP concentrations**

Tubulin isolated from porcine brain [66] was polymerized and incubated at 35°C in BRB80 (80 mM PIPES, pH 6.9, 2 mM MgCl_2, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT) containing 1 mM GTP. Taxol (5 μM) was added after incubation for 30 min, and microtubules were kept at 35°C [67]. Axonemes were isolated from sea urchin sperm as described previously [68].

A flow chamber (V = 5 μl) was created by fusing a Parafilm cut-out between a slide and a cover slip. For experiments on microtubules, the chamber was coated with 1 mg/ml biotinylated BSA, 1 mg/ml streptavidin (Sigma), and biotinylated, fluorescently (Alexa Fluor®555 dye, Thermo Fisher) labeled microtubules, respectively, with intervening 2-min-long incubation and subsequent washing steps using BRB80 buffer (80 mM PIPES, pH 6.9, 2 mM MgCl_2, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 5 μM paclitaxel) containing 7 mg/ml BSA. For experiments on axonemes, the chamber was coated via unspecified binding of the filaments and subsequently washed using BRB80/BSA to block the surface. Finally, a motility buffer (see below) containing a desired concentration of motor proteins was perfused into the flow chamber.

The fluorescently labeled KLP3A/B proteins were recorded over time (cycle time 206 ms) using an objective-type Leica DMi6000 B TIRF microscope (Leica, Germany), equipped with a plan objective lens (100×, N.A. 1.47 Oil), and a back-illuminated Andor iXon897 EMCCD camera (Andor, UK). Excitation was achieved with the help of solid-state laser at 561- and 635-nm wavelength, and frames were recorded and analyzed with AF 6000 software (Leica, Germany). The motility buffer used was as follows: BRB80 buffer, pH 6.9, 10 mM ATP, 0.4% glucose, 20% sucrose, 10% glycerin, 0.2% Tween-20, 0.145 mg/ml glucose oxidase (Sigma), 0.0485 mg/ml catalase (Sigma), 5 μM paclitaxel, 100 mM potassium acetate.

Experiments on KLP11/20 were conducted with a cycle time of 206 ms on a custom-built setup. A 642-nm laser is coupled into an Apo N 100× HOTIRF objective (Olympus K.K) off-axis for total internal reflection illumination. Gathered light is split from the laser light with a Laser Quad Band Filter (89901 Chroma Technology GmbH), and residual laser light is removed by a notch filter (Semrock Inc). The light is then focused on an iXon888 Ultra (Andor Technology Ltd) emCCD camera with a system of lenses of 150- and 250-mm focal length (Qioptiq Photonics GmbH), yielding a pixel size of 93 nm. Fused silica coverslips are used with this setup (V-A Optical Labs Inc.). The motility buffer used was as follows: BRB80 buffer, pH 6.9, 2 mM ATP, 0.145 mg/ml glucose oxidase, 0.0485 mg/ml catalase, 0.4% glucose, 100 mM potassium acetate.

The velocities and run lengths were analyzed with custom-written programs using the MATLAB software (Mathworks Inc.). Runs were considered processive with a minimal run length of 1 μm. The run length data were fit to a truncated (x_0 = 1 μm) single-exponential distribution. Parts of the distance over time data were considered for speed calculation if a linear fit of at least six frames resulted in a r^2-value > 95%.

Statistical significance between datasets was determined by a two-sample t-test performed in R. Random resampling of the data by bootstrapping (R = 1,000) resulted in P-values for comparison of the means. P-values of < 0.01 were used to reject the null hypothesis [69].
Step detection at limiting ATP concentrations

For step detection experiments, the ATP concentration was reduced to 0.4 μM, in order to reduce the speed of motors and enable detection of single steps. The lactate dehydrogenase/pyruvate/phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP: 3.2 mg NADH, 6.2 mg PEP & 6.8 μL LDH in 133 μL BRB80) system guaranteed stable ATP concentrations [14,70]. Movies were recorded with an exposure time of 500 ms resulting in a cycle time of 505 ms. The electron-magnifying gain was set to 118 from the first register, and data were digitalized at 30 MHz with the 16 bit analogue to digital converter. 500 frames were recorded before changing the position in the sample.

Data analysis of the step size distributions

The experiments for step size detection were performed on the custom-built setup as described above. Movies were analyzed by a custom routine, implemented using MATLAB. A least-squares fit procedure was used to fit a Gaussian profile to the data, with a starting point deduced from the initial detection of the brightest pixel. This fit provided a subpixel accuracy position of the spot which was stored for every frame. The distance of the spots in the subsequent frames was then calculated with respect to the position in the first frame. The gliding t-value approach was used in order to detect steps [65]. The individual sizes of steps were calculated from the mean distances before and after each step. The threshold for the step detection algorithm was 1.7 for the “MinPeakProminence” parameter of the “findpeaks” function in MATLAB, that is performed directly on the t-values. The window size was adjusted to the speed of the motors and was 13 frames for 11/20 on AX, 11 for 11/20 on MT, 11 for 3A/B on AX and 25 for 3A/B on MT. Dwell times were calculated by calculating the times between the occurrences of steps.

Path detection at intermediate ATP concentrations

For the detection of motor path, an ATP concentration of 1 μM was used as a tradeoff between length of the runs and detection accuracy. Buffer conditions, ATP regeneration system, and camera settings were used as described for the step detection experiments. Microtubules and axonemes were labeled with an Atto 488 dye, and imaged after movies of the HaloTag® AlexaFluor®660 labeled motors were recorded. The position of the filaments was detected with an accuracy below the size of a pixel performing a Gaussian fit on the lateral profiles of the filaments. A moving average filter with a window size of 5 pixels (465 nm) was performed on the position data to account for the persistence length of the filaments. Walking paths and filaments were positioned along the filament axis according to the position on the camera; the lateral position was set to the x-axis of the plot. Kymographs were prepared using a standard kymograph algorithm with a line width of 3 pixels implemented in MATLAB.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.
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