Mitochondrial–nuclear co-evolution leads to hybrid incompatibility through pentatricopeptide repeat proteins
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Abstract

Mitochondrial–nuclear incompatibility has a major role in reproductive isolation between species. However, the underlying mechanism and driving force of mitochondrial–nuclear incompatibility remain elusive. Here, we report a pentatricopeptide repeat-containing (PPR) protein, Ccm1, and its interacting partner, 15S rRNA, to be involved in hybrid incompatibility between two yeast species, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Saccharomyces bayanus*. *S. bayanus*-Ccm1 has reduced binding affinity for *S. cerevisiae*-15S rRNA, leading to respiratory defects in hybrid cells. This incompatibility can be rescued by single mutations on several individual PPR motifs, demonstrating the highly evolvable nature of PPR proteins. When we examined other PPR proteins in the closely related *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* yeasts, about two-thirds of them showed detectable incompatibility. Our results suggest that fast co-evolution between flexible PPR proteins and their mitochondrial RNA substrates may be a common driving force in the development of mitochondrial–nuclear hybrid incompatibility.
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Introduction

A critical step of speciation is the emergence of reproductive isolating barriers between diverging populations. These barriers are classified into two major forms: (i) prezygotic isolation caused by mating discrimination or unsuccessful gamete recognition, and (ii) postzygotic isolation caused by hybrid inviability or sterility. Identifying the molecular mechanisms underlying reproductive isolation would allow us to deduce the driving force of speciation. Yeasts have proven to be an excellent model organism for studying postzygotic isolation, helping to demonstrate the involvement of anti-recombination induced by DNA sequence divergence, chromosomal rearrangements, and genetic incompatibility [1–5]. Apart from DNA sequence divergence, which can occur simply by mutation accumulation, development of postzygotic isolation is often deemed a by-product of adaptive selection [6]. In the classical genetic incompatibility model, environmental adaptation is hypothesized to be an important force driving fixation of diverging alleles in different populations [7]. However, many known instances of genetic incompatibility seem to be caused by susceptibility to mutation pressure and invasion of pathogens or selfish genetic elements [8]. Fixation of divergent alleles involved in incompatibility can be achieved by repeated mutation compensation processes or during the resolution of genetic conflicts [9,10]. Drawing a general picture of how genetic incompatibility evolved entails identification of more incompatibility genes.

Mitochondrial–nuclear incompatibility is a specific form of Dobzhansky–Muller incompatibility [11–13]. Mitochondria play essential roles in the survival, growth, and sexual reproduction of organisms [14]. During evolution, selective pressures for better maintenance or enhanced fixation of beneficial mutations in mitochondrial genes have led to transfer of most mitochondrial genes to the nuclear genome, leaving only a handful of protein-coding genes in modern mitochondrial genomes (mtDNA) [15–18]. In the budding yeast *S. cerevisiae*, there are around 1,000 nucleus-encoded mitochondrial proteins, whereas the mtDNA encodes only eight proteins [19]. Compared to the nuclear genome, the mutation rate of mtDNA is generally an order of magnitude higher [20]. To maintain proper interactions, nucleus-encoded mitochondrial proteins may need to rapidly co-evolve with mtDNA [21–23]. Consequently, mismatched mitochondrial and nuclear genomes have been observed to cause inter- or intraspecific hybrid incompatibilities in a broad range of species, even though the molecular basis of incompatibility was not identified in most cases [12,24–32].

Among the closely related *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* yeast species, several genes involved in mitochondrial–nuclear hybrid incompatibility have been characterized at the molecular level.
Nucleus-encoded Mrs1 regulates intron removal of the mitochondrion-encoded COX1 mRNA [33–35]. Mrs1 splices two of the S. paradoxus COX1 introns, but one of these introns was lost in S. cerevisiae during evolution. S. cerevisiae Mrs1 fails to splice both introns of the S. paradoxus COX1 gene in hybrid cells, resulting in hybrid incompatibility between S. cerevisiae and S. paradoxus [36]. Nucleus-encoded Aim22 is an enzyme required for lipoylation of mitochondrial targets [37–39]. S. cerevisiae Aim22 cannot function properly in an S. bayanus mitochondrial genomic background, though the incompatible interacting partners remain elusive [36]. Similarly, Aep2 is a nucleus-encoded pentatricopeptide repeat (PRR) protein required for translation of OLI1 mRNA, which encodes for F0-ATP synthase subunit c [40,41]. S. bayanus Aep2 is incompatible with the S. cerevisiae OLI1 gene, so synthesis of the Oli1 protein is inhibited [29]. Despite that the incompatibility of both Mrs1 and Aep2 involve protein–RNA interactions, it is necessary to identify more genes in order to elucidate whether this is a common mode of mitochondrial–nuclear incompatibility.

Pentatricopeptide repeat proteins are often observed to regulate mitochondrial RNA and these proteins constitute one of the largest protein families in eukaryotes, mainly contributed by expanded plant PPR genes [42]. The pentatricopeptide repeat is a degenerate 35-amino acid structural motif, and multiple tandem PPR motifs in the PPR protein act in a coordinated modular manner, which might allow PPR proteins to evolve rapidly [43–45]. In plant lands, expansion of the PPR family has been speculated to have had important impacts on the evolution of organellar genome complexity [46]. The S. cerevisiae genome contains 15 predicted PPR genes [41,47]. Deletion of PPR genes often leads to decreased respiratory growth in yeast [47,48]. Although these proteins have been shown to evolve more rapidly than the entire genome background in general, their evolutionary trajectories in closely related species have not been characterized [41].

In the present study, we employed a chromosomal replacement strategy to identify another PPR gene, CCM1, involved in hybrid incompatibility between S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus. This incompatibility was bidirectional and could be rescued by a variety of mutations in the PPR motifs. Subsequent systematic replacements of all yeast PPR genes with orthologs from closely related species revealed that PPR genes prevalently contribute to hybrid incompatibility among the Saccharomyces sensu stricto yeasts. Our results demonstrate that evolution of mitochondrial–nuclear incompatibility is prevalent in yeast species and PPR proteins play a large role in fast co-evolution of the two genomes.

Results

Chromosome 7 of Saccharomyces bayanus is incompatible with the Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome

To identify genetic incompatibility between the two closely related yeast species, S. bayanus and S. cerevisiae, we previously constructed chromosome replacement lines in which one or two chromosomes were derived from S. bayanus, and the remaining chromosomes and mtDNA were from S. cerevisiae [29]. When all the chromosome replacement lines were examined, we noticed that the replacement line carrying S. bayanus chromosome 7 (Sc + Sb-chr7) exhibited obvious defects in both vegetative growth and sporulation, suggestive of defects in mitochondrial respiration. To address that we grew cells in medium containing only the nonfermentable carbon source (i.e., glycerol in the following experiments). The Sc + Sb-chr7 strain showed much reduced growth on glycerol-containing plates, indicating that the mitochondrial function of Sc + Sb-chr7 was compromised (Fig 1A). However, if we crossed Sc + Sb-chr7 with a S. cerevisiae haploid strain without mtDNA (Sc-p0), the growth defect was fully rescued, suggesting that the mtDNA of Sc + Sb-chr7 is intact and the observed respiratory defect is recessive (Fig 1A).

The respiratory defect of Sc + Sb-chr7 might result from incompatibility between S. bayanus chromosome 7 and other S. cerevisiae chromosomes or mtDNA. To address this issue, we generated two hybrid diploids: In the first one (Sc + Sb-chr7 × Sb-p0), a whole set of S. bayanus chromosomes were provided but mtDNA was from S. cerevisiae, and in the second hybrid (Sc + Sb-chr7-p0 × Sb), it contained both S. bayanus chromosomes and mtDNA. The chr 7 incompatibility was fully rescued in the second hybrid, but only partially rescued in the first one (Fig 1A). These results indicate that the incompatibility is mainly between S. bayanus chromosome 7 and S. cerevisiae mtDNA (Sc-p0), and the interactions between different chromosomes only contribute minor effects.

CCM1 is involved in the incompatibility between Saccharomyces bayanus chromosome 7 and the Saccharomyces cerevisiae mitochondrial genome

We performed a genomic DNA library screen to search for S. cerevisiae gene(s) that could rescue the growth defect of Sc + Sb-chr7 on glycerol plates. Two different clones were obtained from the screen and both of them contained the full-length S. cerevisiae CCM1 gene (Sc-CCM1), which is also located on chromosome 7. To verify the involvement of CCM1 in hybrid incompatibility, we PCR-amplified the CCM1 gene from S. cerevisiae genomic DNA, cloned it into a single-copy plasmid, and tested its ability to rescue the growth defect of Sc + Sb-chr7. Expression of Sc-CCM1 in Sc + Sb-chr7 exhibited a considerable rescue effect (Fig 1B). We also constructed allele replacement strains in which the native CCM1 ORF in S. cerevisiae was replaced by the S. bayanus CCM1 orthologous allele and a nutrient marker (His3) or simply placed the nutrient marker in the downstream of CCM1 as a control (Sc + Sb-CCM1 and Sc + Sc-CCM1, respectively). Only the Sc + Sb-CCM1 strain showed substantial growth defects on glycerol plates, suggesting that the Sb-Ccm1 protein is incompatible with the S. cerevisiae genetic background (Fig 1C).

In S. cerevisiae, CCM1 encodes a mitochondrial protein essential for pre-mRNA intron removal of two mtDNA-encoded genes, COX1 and COB [49]. In addition, the Ccm1 protein directly interacts and stabilizes mitochondrial 15S rRNA [50]. Therefore, CCM1 incompatibility is likely related to its functions in the mitochondria. We tested this idea by crossing the Sc + Sb-CCM1 strain to S. bayanus with or without mtDNA. Sb-CCM1 incompatibility could only be rescued in the presence of the S. bayanus mtDNA (Sc + Sb-CCM1-p0 × Sb), indicating that Sb-CCM1 is incompatible with Sc-mtDNA (Fig 1C).
CCM1 incompatibility is symmetric between *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Saccharomyces bayanus*

Hybrid incompatibility caused by two interacting genetic loci may be unidirectional (asymmetric) if one of the loci remains the ancestral form in parental populations. Alternatively, if both loci have changed, as might be the case of fast-evolving genes, the incompatibility could be bidirectional (symmetric). The mitochondrial–nuclear incompatibilities identified in previous yeast studies are all asymmetric [29,36]. To characterize the symmetry of CCM1 incompatibility, we replaced the native CCM1 ORF in *S. bayanus* with the coding region of *Sc-CCM1* and a nutrient marker (*HIS3*), or simply placed the nutrient marker downstream of *Sb-CCM1* as a control (*Sb + Sc-CCM1* or *Sb + Sb-CCM1*, respectively), and examined their fitness. The *Sb + Sc-CCM1* strain exhibited growth defects when cultured on glycerol-containing plates (Fig 1D). Furthermore, the incompatibility could be rescued by crossing *Sb + Sc-CCM1* with the *S. bayanus* p0 strain to supply a whole set of *S. bayanus* chromosomes, but was not rescued when crossed with the *S. cerevisiae* p0 strain (Fig 1D). The results indicate that CCM1 is involved in a symmetric mito-nuclear incompatibility between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus*.

Both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus* belong to the *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* group. To fine-map in which branch CCM1 incompatibility evolved, we replaced native CCM1 in *S. cerevisiae* with its orthologous alleles from other *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* yeasts, including *S. paradoxus*, *S. mikatae*, and *S. kudriavzevi*. We found that only the CCM1 orthologous allele from *S. bayanus* was incompatible with the *S. cerevisiae* genetic background (Fig 1E). These results suggest that CCM1 incompatibility probably occurred during the divergence between *S. bayanus* and the common ancestor of *S. cerevisiae*, *S. paradoxus*, *S. mikatae*, and *S. kudriavzevi*.
Sb-CCM1 incompatibility leads to reduced levels of mitochondrial 15S rRNA

One possible explanation for the Sb-CCM1 incompatibility is that the Sb-Ccm1 protein cannot be transported efficiently to Sc-mitochondria. We used subcellular fractionation to determine the localization of Ccm1. Mitochondria from wild-type S. cerevisiae strains carrying Sc-CCM1-13Myc or Sb-CCM1-13Myc were isolated and examined using Western blotting. Although a low level of Sb-Ccm1 was detected in the cytosolic fraction, the majority of Sb-Ccm1 localized to mitochondria, indicating that mislocalization was not the cause of hybrid incompatibility (Fig 2A).

Because Ccm1 affects both pre-mRNA splicing of COX1 and COB, and stability of 15S rRNA [49–51], we used Northern blots to examine whether the steady-state levels or maturation of the transcripts from mtDNA-encoded genes was affected in the Sc + Sb-CCM1 strain. For all the examined mtDNA-encoded genes, only 15S rRNA showed a reduced level in Sc + Sb-CCM1 cells (Fig 2B). No differences were detected in the mRNA levels of COX1 and COB between the Sc + Sb-CCM1 and Sc + Sc-CCM1 strains. To elucidate the mechanism underlying reduced levels of 15S rRNA, we tested whether the interaction between 15S rRNA and the Sb-Ccm1 protein is impeded in Sc + Sb-CCM1 cells. We expressed either C-terminally Myc-tagged Sc-Ccm1 or Sb-Ccm1 in S. cerevisiae strains, isolated the mitochondria, and then immunoprecipitated Ccm1 via the anti-Myc antibody. The level of Ccm1-bound 15S rRNA was then measured using real-time quantitative PCR, and the mRNAs of a nucleus-encoded gene TDH3 and a mtDNA-encoded gene COB were used as the negative controls (see Materials and Methods). We found that levels of co-immunoprecipitated S. cerevisiae 15S rRNA were more enriched in the strains carrying Sc-Ccm1 than those with Sb-Ccm1 (330.0 ± 15.4 vs. 7.1 ± 0.4 fold enrichment relative to controls

Figure 2. CCM1 incompatibility results in reduced levels of 15S rRNA and mtDNA-encoded proteins.

A Sb-Ccm1 protein is transported efficiently to S. cerevisiae mitochondria. Total cell extracts (total), cytosolic fractions (cyto), or mitochondrial fractions (mito) were hybridized with different antibodies to detect the proteins. G6PDH (glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase) and Cox2 served as cytosolic and mitochondrial markers, respectively. Both Sc-Ccm1 and Sb-Ccm1 were fused with c-Myc at the C-terminus and expressed from a single-copy plasmid. The Myc-tagged Ccm1 proteins consistently appeared as double bands, which was probably due to some unknown protein modifications.

B The level of 15S rRNA is reduced in Sc + Sb-CCM1 cells. RNA isolated from Sc + Sb-CCM1 (labeled as Sb) or Sc + Sc-CCM1 (labeled as Sc) cells was hybridized with gene-specific probes using Northern blots.

C Sb-Ccm1 interacts weakly with S. cerevisiae 15S rRNA. The level of Ccm1-bound 15S rRNA was measured using quantitative PCR analysis following mitochondrial RNA immunoprecipitation of S. cerevisiae cells expressing Sc-CCM1-13Myc or Sb-CCM1-13Myc. Data were normalized to the control group without the Myc tag to obtain the relative fold enrichment. Graph was plotted using data from three independent repeats for each strain, and P-value was calculated by unpaired, two-sided Student’s t-test. Error bars indicate SD. ***P-value < 0.001.

D Overexpression of Sb-Ccm1 rescues the respiration defect of Sc + Sb-CCM1 cells. Cell cultures were serially diluted and spotted onto YPD (glucose) or YPG (glycerol) plates. The plates were then incubated at 28°C until colonies were easily observed.

E The steady-state level of mtDNA-encoded proteins is reduced in Sc + Sb-CCM1 cells. Immunoblotting for the mitochondrial proteins in Sc + Sb-CCM1 (labeled as Sb) or Sc + Sc-CCM1 (labeled as Sc) cells. Cox1, Cox2, and Cox3 are mtDNA-encoded complex IV subunits. Tom20 is a nucleus-encoded mitochondrial protein.

The Authors
Experimental evolution to isolate mutations that can rescue CCM1 incompatibility

Sc-Ccm1 is a large protein (864 a.a.) and it only shares 72% protein identity with Sb-Ccm1. To dissect the molecular detail of Sc-Ccm1 is a large protein (864 a.a.) and it only shares 72% protein identity with Sb-Ccm1. To dissect the molecular detail of CCM1 incompatibility, we used an experimental evolution approach to isolate the mutations that could rescue the incompatibility. Single colonies of Sc + Sb-CCM1 cells were used to initiate 98 independent cell cultures, and the cultures were continuously propagated in glycerol-containing medium (see Materials and Methods). Under this selective regime, cells containing spontaneous mutations that rescued the respiratory defects of Sc + Sb-CCM1 would grow more quickly and be enriched in the population. After selection, only one colony with improved fitness was selected from each cell culture for further analysis. Therefore, mutations identified from each colony were likely to represent an independent event. We collected 94 mutant clones in total because four of the cell cultures were contaminated during the experiment.

Next, we crossed the mutant clones with an isogenic Sc + Sb-CCM1-p0 strain in which mtDNA had been deleted and a different nutrient marker (URA3) was inserted next to the Sb-CCM1 gene. The diploid cells were then induced to enter meiosis, and their tetrads were analyzed. If the suppressor mutation occurred in mtDNA, the spores would display a non-Mendelian 4:0 segregation pattern for improved respiration (Fig 3A). In contrast, a 2:2 segregation pattern would be observed if the rescue effect involved a single nuclear mutation. Since the two alleles of Sb-CCM1 were next to different nutrient markers (URA3 or HIS3), we could easily check whether the suppressor mutations co-segregated with the Sb-CCM1 gene. The

Figure 3. Incompatibility of Sb-CCM1 can be relieved by mutations in the mitochondrial genome.

A Tetrad analysis reveals that the respiration defect of Sc + Sb-CCM1 cells can be rescued by mutations in mitochondrial or nuclear genomes. The suppressor clones were crossed with the Sc + Sb-CCM1-p0 strain and the tetrads from the cross were analyzed. A non-Mendelian 4:0 segregation pattern for improved respiration is expected if the suppressor mutation is in mtDNA (left panel). Otherwise, a 2:2 segregation pattern would be observed if the rescue effect involved a single nuclear mutation (right panel).

B Partial sequence alignment of 15S rRNA from S. bayanus, S. cerevisiae, and two mitochondrial suppressor clones (#1 and #2) of Sc + Sb-CCM1. A single nucleotide deletion at position 188 and four nucleotide substitutions at positions 1,524–1,527 found in both suppressor clones are labeled in red.
evolved Sb-CCM1 gene was sequenced if it was linked with the suppressor mutation. Among 94 evolved clones, two clones carried mtDNA suppressors, 20 clones carried mutations in the Sb-CCM1 gene, and the remainder contained mutations in unknown nuclear genes.

For those clones carrying mtDNA suppressors, the rescue effect was further confirmed by reintroducing their mtDNA into an ancestral Sc + Sb-CCM1-ρ0 strain, indicating that no nuclear mutations were involved. Because our experiments had shown that S. cerevisiae 15S rRNA interacted with Sb-Ccm1 more weakly than its interaction with Sc-Ccm1, we directly sequenced evolved mitochondrial 15S rRNA to see whether it had been modified. Interestingly, both mitochondrial suppressor clones shared similar mutations, a single nucleotide deletion at position 188 and four nucleotide substitutions at positions 1,524–1,527 (Fig 3B). Although we cannot rule out the possibility that the rescue effects arose from unidentified mutations elsewhere in the evolved mtDNA, these results clearly show that the compatibility status of mito-nuclear interactions can be changed by evolving either side of the interaction.

Changes in the PPR domain of Sb-CCM1 rescue the incompatibility

For the Sb-CCM1 mutant clones, we first PCR-amplified the Sb-CCM1 sequences from the suppressor genomes, reintroduced them into wild-type S. cerevisiae cells, and tested for their compatibility by growing the cells on glycerol plates. All the Sb-CCM1 mutants were confirmed to have regained compatibility with the S. cerevisiae genome (Fig 4A). Interestingly, most of the mutations were de novo amino acid changes and only two mutants had changed their residues from the S. bayanus sequence to the S. cerevisiae sequence (Sb-CCM1E375Q and Sb-CCM1D400N). Because the D residue at position 400 is conserved between S. bayanus and the outgroup species Naumovia castellii, and it has become N in S. cerevisiae, S. paradoxus, S. mikatae, and S. kudriavzevii, it suggests that the D and N residues probably represent the ancestral and derived amino acid states, respectively (Fig 4B). The unequivocal correlation between the compatibility and the amino acid states of the 400th residue in S. cerevisiae prompted us to test the importance of this residue in S. bayanus. Indeed, the D400N substitution, but not E375Q (a de novo substitution that repeatedly appeared in three independent clones), in Sb-CCM1 caused a severe respiratory defect in S. bayanus (Fig 4C), suggesting that the 400th residue plays a determinant role in S. cerevisiae–S. bayanus incompatibility.

In the suppressor clones, several mutants (Sb-CCM1Q372H, Sb-CCM1E375Q, and Sb-CCM1E375K) appeared multiple times, indicating that our suppressor screen was close to saturation. In total, 16 unique Sb-CCM1 mutants were identified. When the structural positions of these suppressor mutations were further analyzed, it revealed the striking feature that all mutations were located at predicted PPR motifs (Fig 4D and Appendix Table S1 according to [41]). PPR domains have been speculated to provide the interface for RNA binding [46]. Our result shows that interactions between Ccm1 and 15S rRNA could be improved by modifying different PPR motifs, suggesting that the PPR motif is highly flexible.

The levels of mtDNA-encoded proteins and 15S rRNA are improved in the suppressor clones

In the Sc + Sb-CCM1 strain, we have found that the weakened interaction between Sb-Ccm1 and S. cerevisiae 15S rRNA resulted in reduced levels of 15S rRNA and mtDNA-encoded Cox proteins. If the suppressor mutations rescued the Sb-CCM1 incompatibility via improvements in RNA binding, the 15S rRNA level and thus mtDNA-encoded protein levels should be restored at least partially. We tested this idea by measuring the levels of 15S rRNA in two nuclear suppressor (Sb-CCM1E3400N and Sb-CCM1E375Q) and one mitochondrial suppressor strains using quantitative PCR. Indeed, all three suppressor strains exhibited significant increments in 15S rRNA even though they have not reached the wild-type level (Fig 5A). To know whether the increased 15S rRNA levels were enough to rescue the defect in mitochondrial protein translation, we examined mtDNA-encoded Cox1, Cox2, and Cox3 using Western blots. The abundance of these proteins was significantly improved in the suppressor strains (Fig 5B). These data show that both nuclear and mitochondrial suppressors rescued the incompatibility through increased levels of 15S rRNA and mitochondrial protein translation.

Hybrid incompatibility caused by PPR proteins is prevalent among Saccharomyces sensu stricto yeast species

Pentatricopeptide repeat proteins belong to the largest RNA-binding protein family in eukaryotes that function mostly in mitochondria or chloroplasts [46]. In the S. cerevisiae genome, there are 15 predicted PPR genes. Previously, it has been speculated that genes involved in hybrid incompatibility may evolve more rapidly [52]. We calculated the evolutionary rates of PPR proteins together with other protein families that shared similar features, including the MRP (required for mitochondrial protein translation), TRP (proteins with repetitive tandem motifs), RRM, and PUF (RNA-binding proteins) families. Interestingly, only PPR proteins exhibited significantly increased evolutionary rates (Fig 6A and Appendix Fig S1).

Yeast mtDNA is highly dynamic in its genome structure and has much higher base substitution rates than the nuclear genome [20,53–55]. If co-evolution between mitochondrial RNA and the RNA-binding PPR protein led to the observed high evolutionary rates of PPR proteins, PPR proteins might have a higher chance to develop genetic incompatibility among closely related yeast species. We used functional assays to investigate whether PPR genes other than CCM1 and AEP2 also contribute to hybrid incompatibility among Saccharomyces sensu stricto yeast species. In order to detect the mito-nuclear incompatibility caused by PPR genes, we first crossed the S. cerevisiae mutant strains lacking individual PPR genes with mtDNA-less strains of S. paradoxus, S. mikatae, S. kudriavzevii, and S. bayanus, and examined their growth in glycerol-containing medium. If any growth defect was observed in the hybrid diploids, we further validated the incompatibility by expressing the orthologous alleles in the S. cerevisiae deletion strains (see Materials and Methods). One of the PPR genes, RMD9L, showed no detectable growth defects in glycerol-containing medium when deleted in S. cerevisiae. Therefore, this gene was excluded from our assays. We examined 12 additional PPR genes and found that seven of them (AEP1, AEP3, MRX1,
Figure 4. Changes in the PPR domain of Sb-CCM1 rescue the incompatibility.

A Reconstituted Sb-CCM1 mutants can rescue the incompatibility of Sb-CCM1. Among 94 suppressor clones, 20 of them carry mutations in the Sb-CCM1 gene. These mutants were reconstructed in Sc + Sb-CCM1 cells to test their function. Superscripts next to Sb-CCM1 indicate the respective amino acid substitutions, and numbers in parentheses indicate the number of independent clones in our suppressor screen. Sc, wild-type S. cerevisiae.

B Sequence alignment shows that most of the Sb-CCM1 suppressor mutants contain de novo amino acid changes and only two mutants have switched their residues from the S. bayanus sequence to the S. cerevisiae sequence (F294L and D400N). Letters beside the phylogenetic tree (in which the line lengths are not proportional to the evolutionary distances) indicate the amino acid state at the 400th residue and parentheses contain the codons encoding for the amino acids.

C The 400th residue plays a determinant role in the S. cerevisiae–S. bayanus incompatibility. Both Sb-CCM1E375Q and Sb-CCM1D400N mutants relieved the incompatibility in S. cerevisiae, but only Sb-CCM1D400N caused respiration defects in S. bayanus. The Sb-CCM1E375Q mutant was selected as a control in this experiment since it was a charged-to-polar substitution similar to Sb-CCM1D400N and also appeared three times in our screen. Sb, wild-type S. bayanus.

D Protein structural analysis shows that all intramolecular suppressor mutations were located on the predicted PPR motifs. The predicted protein structure of Ccm1 is shown on the left. Blue and green colors indicate the two α-helices in each predicted PPR motif and white color indicates additional α-helices in the N-terminus and the middle region. Red color indicates the positions of the suppressor mutations. Predicted PPR motifs occupy around 52% of the whole protein (453 of 871 residues). The box on the right displays a magnified version of some PPR motifs where the substitutions are located.
PET111, PET309, RPM2, and RPO41) displayed various levels of incompatibility between S. cerevisiae and at least one Saccharomyces sensu stricto species (Fig 6B and Table 1). In total, nine out of 14 PPR genes in the yeast genome have evolved incompatibility among these closely related yeast species. As a control, we also tested six MRP genes under the same conditions and observed no discernible incompatibility (Appendix Table S2). These results suggest that mitochondrial-nuclear incompatibility is prevalent among Saccharomyces sensu stricto yeast species and the highly evolvable PPR proteins may have a major contributory role in the evolution of hybrid incompatibility.

Discussion

The general rules underlying the development of hybrid incompatibility remain unclear despite several incompatibility genes having been identified and characterized during the past decade [10]. Nonetheless, it appears that incompatibilities between nuclear and organellar genomes is a widespread phenomenon across multiple eukaryotic kingdoms [12]. Previously, two genes encoding mitochondrial RNA-binding proteins have been identified to cause mitochondrial–nuclear incompatibility among closely related yeast species [29,36]. In the current study, we further demonstrate that about two-thirds of budding yeast PPR proteins have evolved incompatibility among the Saccharomyces sensu stricto yeasts. Together with the observation that PPR proteins exhibit a higher evolutionary rate not found in other RNA-binding proteins, it suggests that PPR proteins may play a specific role in the development of hybrid incompatibility.

Pentatricopeptide repeat proteins were first discovered in plants and had expanded dramatically during the evolution of land plants [46,56]. Studies indicate that these PPR proteins are involved in various aspects of RNA processing [42]. Co-evolution between plant PPR proteins and organellar genomes has been demonstrated [57,58], and consistent with yeast PPR proteins, these plant PPR proteins are also fast-evolving [59–61]. The role of PPR proteins in hybrid incompatibility was previously demonstrated in cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) in hybrid plants [62,63]. CMS is caused by aberrant recombination events in the mtDNA and can be rescued by nucleus-encoded restorer of fertility (Rf) genes [65,66]. Several Rf genes have been shown to belong to the PPR protein family [65,66]. In a study of Mimulus species, two linked loci responsible for hybrid incompatibility were found to contain a large number of recently expanded PPR genes, and these genes showed high homology to restorers in distantly related taxa [64]. These findings suggest that plant PPR genes co-evolved with mtDNA to control its abnormal behavior [65–67].

Pentatricopeptide repeat motifs act in a coordinated manner; each base of the RNA target is recognized by two consecutive PPR motifs [43–45]. Our suppressor screen revealed how rapidly the CCM1 gene can reclaim compatibility when encountering an incompatible foreign mtDNA (Fig 4). Importantly, substitutions capable of rescuing incompatibility need not be located on a specific PPR motif, further supporting the idea that the coordinated action of PPR motifs might exert an intramolecular buffering effect to increase the flexibility of PPR proteins [41]. One possible scenario is that the flexibility of PPR proteins allows a population to accumulate more mutations in the PPR genes, and such genetic variation further supports the idea that the coordinated action of PPR motifs might exert an intramolecular buffering effect to increase the flexibility of PPR proteins [41]. One possible scenario is that the flexibility of PPR proteins allows a population to accumulate more mutations in the PPR genes, and such genetic variation further supports the idea that the coordinated action of PPR motifs might exert an intramolecular buffering effect to increase the flexibility of PPR proteins [41]. One possible scenario is that the flexibility of PPR proteins allows a population to accumulate more mutations in the PPR genes, and such genetic variation further supports the idea that the coordinated action of PPR motifs might exert an intramolecular buffering effect to increase the flexibility of PPR proteins [41]. One possible scenario is that the flexibility of PPR proteins allows a population to accumulate more mutations in the PPR genes, and such genetic variation further supports the idea that the coordinated action of PPR motifs might exert an intramolecular buffering effect to increase the flexibility of PPR proteins [41]. One possible scenario is that the flexibility of PPR proteins allows a population to accumulate more mutations in the PPR genes, and such genetic variation further supports the idea that the coordinated action of PPR motifs might exert an intramolecular buffering effect to increase the flexibility of PPR proteins [41]. One possible scenario is that the flexibility of PPR proteins allows a population to accumulate more mutations in the PPR genes, and such genetic variation further supports the idea that the coordinated action of PPR motifs might exert an intramolecular buffering effect to increase the flexibility of PPR proteins [41].
Figure 6. Many PPR genes evolve hybrid incompatibility in Saccharomyces sensu stricto yeasts.

A PPR genes show significantly increased evolutionary rates. Box plot of the evolutionary rates (Ka/Ks) of PPR, TPR, RRM, MRP, and PUF genes and the whole genome by comparing S. cerevisiae and S. paradoxus. All these protein families share some features with the PPR proteins. TPR proteins contain TPR motifs that share a similar repetitive structure with the PPR motifs but mainly mediate protein–protein interactions [94]. RRM proteins are RNA recognition motif-containing proteins and function in splicing, RNA stability, and translation [95]. MRP proteins comprise ribosomal proteins encoded in the nuclear genome that are imported into the mitochondria [96]. PUF proteins contain RNA-binding motifs similar to the PPR motifs and are involved in mRNA posttranscriptional events, including mitochondrial import of mRNA [97]. P-values were calculated by two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. **P-value = 7.01E-3. The bottom and top of the box are the first and third quartiles of the data, and the red band inside is the median. The ends of the whiskers represent the lowest and highest data points within 1.5 interquartile range of the lower and upper quartiles, respectively.

B Seven of the 12 tested PPR genes exhibit incompatibility between S. cerevisiae and at least one other Saccharomyces sensu stricto species. The doubling time of S. cerevisiae cells carrying the endogenous PPR genes (Sc) or orthologs of other yeast species (Sp, Sm, Sk, and Sb) were measured in YPD (glucose) or YPG (glycerol) media. All the measurements were then normalized to the doubling time of Sc to obtain the relative doubling time. Graphs were plotted using data from at least three independent repeats for each strain. Sc, S. cerevisiae. Sp, S. paradoxus. Sm, S. mikatae. Sk, S. kudriavzevii. Sb, S. bayanus. n.d., growth not detected. P-values were calculated by unpaired, two-sided Student’s t-test. Error bars indicate SD. *P-value < 0.05. **P-value < 0.01. ***P-value < 0.001.
[68,69]. Intriguingly, many pathogenic mutations detected in genomewide association studies (GWAS) are also found as sequence variants in normal populations [70–72], raising the possibility that some pathogenic mutations may have been maintained through the process of mitochondrial–nuclear co-evolution. Indeed, compromised mitochondrial functions have been experimentally demonstrated using human cybrid cells in which mtDNA variants are combined with different nuclear backgrounds [73,74]. In a recent study, it was further shown that mice with mismatched mitochondrial and nuclear genomes exhibit disturbed organismal metabolic performance and aging [75]. These observations suggest that mitochondrial–nuclear incompatibilities might already exist in human populations and contribute to the observed mitochondrial disorders.

It is often speculated that the high mutation rate of mtDNA is the major force driving the evolution of mitochondrial–nuclear incompatibility; when deleterious mutations in mtDNA are fixed in the population by genetic drift, compensatory nuclear mutations will be selected to rescue the fitness. Such co-evolutionary processes will eventually differentiate a population from its ancestor or other populations in which co-evolution is taking different trajectories.

While the high mutation rate or selfish behavior of mtDNA constantly threatens the stability of the symbiotic relationship between mitochondrial and nuclear genomes, the highly flexible PPR proteins might represent a special defense system of the host cell. In yeast, two-thirds of PPR proteins have changed to accommodate alterations of their RNA targets in mitochondria during the co-evolutionary process between mitochondrial and nuclear genomes [53,54]. The following section discusses the implications of these observations for human health and disease.

Table 1. Genetic incompatibility of PPR genes among Saccharomyces cerevisiae and other Saccharomyces sensu stricto yeasts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Mitochondrial target</th>
<th>Incompatibility</th>
<th>Incompatible species</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aep1</td>
<td>Chr 13</td>
<td>Translation of ATP9</td>
<td>ATP9</td>
<td>Strong abc</td>
<td>S. bayanus</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aep2</td>
<td>Chr 13</td>
<td>Translation of ATP9</td>
<td>ATP9</td>
<td>Strong d</td>
<td>S. bayanus</td>
<td>[29,47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aep3</td>
<td>Chr 16</td>
<td>Stabilization of ATP6/B</td>
<td>ATP6/B</td>
<td>Mild abc</td>
<td>S. paradoxus</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atp22</td>
<td>Chr 4</td>
<td>Translation of ATP6/B</td>
<td>ATP6/B</td>
<td>No a</td>
<td>S. bayanus</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet309</td>
<td>Chr 12</td>
<td>Stabilization and translation of COX1</td>
<td>COX1</td>
<td>Mild abc</td>
<td>S. mikatae, kudriavzevii, bayanus</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccm1</td>
<td>Chr 7</td>
<td>Stabilization of 18S rRNA, Processing of COX1, COB</td>
<td>18S rRNA, COX1, COB</td>
<td>Mild abc</td>
<td>S. bayanus</td>
<td>[47,49–51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cbp1</td>
<td>Chr 10</td>
<td>Stabilization and translation of COB</td>
<td>COB</td>
<td>No abc</td>
<td>S. bayanus</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet111</td>
<td>Chr 13</td>
<td>Translation of COX2</td>
<td>COX2</td>
<td>Weak abc</td>
<td>S. mikatae</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmd9</td>
<td>Chr 7</td>
<td>Delivering into ribosomes</td>
<td>All mRNAs?</td>
<td>No a</td>
<td>S. kudriavzevii</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpm2</td>
<td>Chr 13</td>
<td>Mitochondrial RNase P</td>
<td>All tRNAs</td>
<td>Strong abc</td>
<td>S. paradoxus, mikatae, kudriavzevii, bayanus</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpo41</td>
<td>Chr 6</td>
<td>Mitochondrial RNA polymerase</td>
<td>All RNAs</td>
<td>Weak abc</td>
<td>S. kudriavzevii</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sov1</td>
<td>Chr 13</td>
<td>Unknown; mutant is defective in mitochondrial respiration</td>
<td>VAR1</td>
<td>No a</td>
<td>S. kudriavzevii</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmd9L</td>
<td>Chr 2</td>
<td>Processing/stability of mitochondrial mRNAs; mutant is not defective in mitochondrial respiration</td>
<td>All mRNAs?</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>S. kudriavzevii</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc6</td>
<td>Chr 15</td>
<td>Multicopy suppressor of her2 involved in mitochondrial translation</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No a</td>
<td>S. kudriavzevii</td>
<td>[47,98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mnx1</td>
<td>Chr 5</td>
<td>Organization of mitochondrial gene expression</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Weak abc</td>
<td>S. mikatae</td>
<td>[47,98]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d., not determined since corresponding deletion strain has no respiratory defect.

*Information obtained from the reference.

†Evaluated via complementation assays.

‡Evaluated via plasmid assays.

§Previous report.

¶Showing strong defects in both glucose and glycerol media.
recent divergence in Saccharomyces sensu stricto species. The Rf genes in plants provide further evidence that PPR proteins are able to counteract the selfish behavior of mtDNA [64,66]. PPR proteins have been identified in fungi, plants, and animals [41,46,76]. Although mtDNA sizes vary significantly between different eukaryotic lineages, complicated mitochondrial RNA regulation has been observed even in human cells that carry very small mtDNA (consisting of only 16 kb) [77,78]. This may explain why cells employ the versatile RNA regulatory functions of PPR proteins to control mtDNA. In the future, it will be interesting to see how PPR proteins co-evolve with their targets in other eukaryotic lineages since different types of mtDNA may have distinct mutation patterns or behaviors. In addition, dissecting the evolutionary trajectories of PPR proteins and their mtDNA targets will shed light on the underlying driving force in the evolution of mitochondrial–nuclear incompatibility.

Materials and Methods

Yeast strains and genetic procedures

Yeast strain genotypes are listed in Appendix Table S3. The S. cerevisiae haploid strains were derived from W303 (MATα ura3-1 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trpl-1 ade2-1 can1-100). The parental S. bayanus strains were derived from a strain (S. bayanus #180) collected by Dr. Duccio Cavaliere (University of Florence, Italy). The parental S. paradoxus, S. mikatae, and S. kudravzevii strains were derived from YDG197, YDG193, and YDG190, respectively, and are gifts from Dr. Duncan Greig (University College London, UK). The chromosome 7 replacement strain (Sc + Sb-chr7) was constructed in a previous study [29]. Strains carrying TAP-tagged proteins were from the yeast TAP-tagged ORF collection [79]. Substitution and integrative transformations were carried out by the lithium acetate procedure [80]. Heat-shock treatments of yeast transformation for S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus were 15 min at 42°C and 30 min at 37°C, respectively. Media, microbial, and genetic techniques were as described [81].

For CCM1 orthologous allele replacements, we PCR-amplified the coding region with around 500 bp upstream and 500 bp downstream flanking sequences of the CCM1 gene, and a HIS3 gene serving as the selection marker. These fragments were then fused using PCR and transformed into wild-type cells using lithium acetate procedure. Successful recombinants were selected on CSMURA + glycerol plates, and only colonies with obviously improved growth rates were selected. The selected colonies were further tested to see whether the improved respiratory growth depended on the plasmid. The plasmid exhibiting rescue ability was isolated from the cells, and the insertion fragment was identified by Sanger sequencing.

Subcellular fractionation and Western blot analyses

Yeast cells were cultured in selection medium at 30°C to the late-exponential phase. Mitochondrial fractions were prepared as described [83]. Briefly, cells were pretreated with Tris-SO4 buffer (containing 100 mM Tris-SO4 and 10 mM dithiothreitol; pH 9.4) at 30°C for 10 min under agitation (~140 rpm) and then treated with Zymolyase 20T (2 mg/g cell wet weight) in 1.2 M sorbitol buffer (containing 20 mM phosphate buffer; pH 7.4) at 30°C for 20–40 min under agitation (~140 rpm). The cells were then homogenized by vortex (max intensity) with glass beads for 10 min (30 s on and 30 s off cycles) in 0.6 M sorbitol buffer (containing 20 mM HEPES-KOH; pH 7.4; RNase inhibitor (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and protease inhibitor cocktail (Set III, EDTA-free; Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA) were added shortly before use). Cell debris and the glass beads were removed by centrifugation at 2,000 g for 5 min. The cytosolic and mitochondrial fractions were separated by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 10 min repeatedly. The postmitochondrial supernatant (PMS) fractions were collected immediately following centrifugation of mitochondrial. Laemmli sample buffer was added to the mitochondrial pellets resuspended in TE buffer plus protease inhibitors, and to the PMS fractions.

For Western blot analyses, mitochondrial protein (40 μg) was separated by SDS-PAGE using the GE Healthcare Life Science system (Mini-Vertical Units SE260) and then transferred to a Bio-Rad Immun-Blot PVDF membrane in transfer buffer (25 mM Tris base, 200 mM glycine, 25% methanol). The immunopositive bands were visualized using Western Lighting Chemiluminescence Reagent (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Anti-G6PDH antibody was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Anti-c-Myc antibody (sc-40) was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA) and anti-TAP antibody (CAB1001) was from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Anti-Cox1 (MS418), anti-Cox2 (MS419), and anti-Cox3 (MS406) were purchased from MitoScience, Abcam (Cambridge, UK).
RNA isolation and Northern analyses of mitochondrial transcripts

Yeast strains were grown in 3 ml YPD liquid cultures at 30°C to stationary phase, and total RNA was isolated using Qiagen RNeasy Midi Kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Ten micrograms of total RNA was transferred to a 1.3% agarose–formaldehyde gel and then transferred to a nylon membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Northern blotting was performed as described [84]. Probe generation and hybridization were as described in the Genius System User’s Guide (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA). The gene-specific primers for the DIG-labeled probes for COX1, COX3, COB, and COX2 were described in previous studies [36,85]. We used 3’ end-DIG-labeled oligo-nucleotide probes for 15S rRNA [50], 21S rRNA [86], and ATP6/8 [87].

RNA immunoprecipitation and quantitative PCR

RNA immunoprecipitation was performed following Selth et al. [88]. Briefly, 100 ml of yeast cells carrying Sc-CCMI, Sc-CCMI-13Myc, or Sb-CCMI-13Myc was first treated with formaldehyde to generate protein–RNA cross-links, and mitochondrial fractions were prepared as described above. The mitochondrial fractions were then incubated with anti-Myc agarose beads (A7470; Sigma-Aldrich) at 4°C overnight. After immunoprecipitation and cross-link reversal, RNAs were phenol–chloroform-extracted from the elute, reverse-transcribed by random hexamer, and quantified using an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Enrichment was calculated using the formula 2[CTsample − CTcontrol] − [CTsample − CTcontrol]%
The number of mitochondrial genes

Experimental evolution for the Sb-CCMI suppressors

Single colonies of the Sc + Sb-CCMI cells were used to initiate independent cultures in 3 ml of the selective medium and cultured at 30°C overnight. 50 µl of the overnight cultures was transferred to new tubes containing 5 ml of YEP + glycerol and cultured for 1 week in each cycle. The heterogeneity of the cultures was then examined using spot assays. The same experimental cycle was repeated twice until suppressors became predominant in the population. Evolved cells were spread on YEP + glycerol plates to form single colonies. Only a single colony with improved fitness was collected from each evolved culture and subjected to further genetic characterization to determine whether the suppressors were located in mtDNA, Sb-CCMI, or other loci in the nuclear genome. Genetic analysis revealed that among the clones carrying unknown nuclear mutations, 13 of them contained multiple mutations contributing to the suppressor phenotypes.

Protein structure prediction

The Ccm1 protein structures were predicted by the Raptor X server using default settings [89–92]. Colors and labels were manually added using the PyMOL program (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 1.7.4 Schrödinger, LLC.).

Evolutionary rate analysis

Evolutionary rates were represented by Ka values (for comparisons between S. cerevisiae and C. glabrata or N. castellii) or Ka/Ks values (for comparisons between Saccharomyces sensu stricto yeast species). The Ka and Ks values were computed by the CodeML program of the PAML package [93]. Significance of differences in evolutionary rates between each group of genes and the whole genome was tested by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test.

Growth rate measurements

Cells were cultured in YPD at permissive temperatures (28°C for S. cerevisiae and 23°C for S. bayanus; when cultured together, 28°C was used) overnight before assessment. For spot assays, cell densities were adjusted to 625 cells/µl and fivefold serially diluted four times, and 3 µl of the resulting suspension was added onto the YPD and YEP + glycerol plates. To measure the doubling time, overnight cell cultures were diluted 10-fold in fresh medium for 3 h and then the cell densities were adjusted to OD600 = 0.05 to start the measurement. The OD values were recorded for 72 h using Infinite 200 series plate readers (Tecan, Mannedorf, Switzerland) with continuous shaking, and then, the doubling times were estimated using homemade Python scripts (available on request).

Expanded View For this article is available online.
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