CRISPR/Cas9-induced disruption of gene expression in mouse embryonic brain and single neural stem cells \textit{in vivo}

Nereo Kalebic\dagger, Elena Taverna\dagger, Stefania Tavano, Fong Kuan Wong, Dana Suchold, Sylke Winkler, Wieland B Huttner* & Mihail Sarov**

Abstract

We have applied the CRISPR/Cas9 system \textit{in vivo} to disrupt gene expression in neural stem cells in the developing mammalian brain. Two days after \textit{in utero} electroporation of a single plasmid encoding Cas9 and an appropriate guide RNA (gRNA) into the embryonic neocortex of \textit{Tis21::GFP} knock-in mice, expression of GFP, which occurs specifically in neural stem cells committed to neurogenesis, was found to be nearly completely (\textasciitilde90\%) abolished in the progeny of the targeted cells. Importantly, upon \textit{in utero} electroporation directly of recombinant Cas9/gRNA complex, near-maximal efficiency of disruption of GFP expression was achieved already after 24 h. Furthermore, by using microinjection of the Cas9 protein/gRNA complex into neural stem cells in organotypic slice culture, we obtained disruption of GFP expression within a single cell cycle. Finally, we used either Cas9 plasmid \textit{in utero} electroporation or Cas9 protein complex microinjection to disrupt the expression of \textit{Eomes}/Tbr2, a gene fundamental for neocortical neurogenesis. This resulted in a reduction in basal progenitors and an increase in neuronal differentiation. Thus, the \textit{in vivo} application of the CRISPR/Cas9 system in neural stem cells provides a rapid, efficient and enduring disruption of expression of specific genes to dissect their role in mammalian brain development.
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Introduction

Manipulation of gene expression is a major way of assessing the function of individual genes in their physiological context. In the study of central nervous system development, as with other physiological systems, manipulation of gene expression is obtained usually either by transgenesis or by RNAi. For mammals, transgenesis, although the most comprehensive method, is laborious and time-consuming, even in the case of the most amenable model organism, the mouse. Moreover, to ablate specific gene expression during brain development, the generation of conditional knockout lines, which constitutes additional effort, is often required, because ubiquitous deletion of relevant genes is often lethal already during early embryogenesis. As an alternative to transgenic knockout approaches, RNAi has been frequently used, but since it targets transcripts rather than the genomic locus, its effects are of a transient nature and are sometimes accompanied by off-target effects.

The limitations of transgenic knockouts and RNAi-mediated knockdowns in the study of mammalian neurodevelopment could potentially be overcome by fast and efficient disruption of gene expression using the recently described CRISPR/Cas9 technology [1–3]. This technology originates from the type II bacterial CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)/Cas9 (CRISPR-associated protein 9) system and is based on the recruitment of the Cas9 protein to the genomic locus complementary to the sequence of a chosen specific guide RNA (gRNA). Once at the target site, Cas9 generates a double-strand DNA break that the cell attempts to repair, in most cases by non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ). Importantly, NHEJ can generate insertions and deletions (indels) that in turn can lead to permanent disruption of expression of the targeted gene, most often via the generation of a frameshift mutation (for recent reviews on CRISPR/Cas9 system, see [4–8]).

Here, we sought to use the CRISPR/Cas9 system for the rapid yet permanent disruption of the expression of developmentally regulated genes in neural stem and progenitor cells in the neocortex of mouse embryos \textit{in vivo}. To this end, we explored three distinct approaches. First, we \textit{in utero} electroporated [9,10] a single Cas9- and gRNA-encoding plasmid into cortical stem cells of the developing brain. Second, to omit the steps of Cas9 and gRNA production and to accelerate the targeting process, we examined the direct delivery of a Cas9 protein/gRNA complex into these cells by \textit{in utero} electroporation. Third, to dissect the effects of gene disruption in...
the immediate progeny of a targeted cortical stem cell, we explored the methodologic microinjection in organotypic slice culture [11,12] to directly deliver a Cas9 protein/gRNA complex into single neural stem cells in developing brain tissue. Here, we report that these approaches can be successfully used to apply the CRISPR/Cas9 technology to efficiently disrupt the expression of developmentally regulated genes in the mouse brain and to dissect phenotypic consequences at the cell population as well as single cell level during embryonic development.

Results

Disruption of developmentally regulated gene expression in neural stem and progenitor cells upon in utero electroporation of Cas9/gRNA into embryonic mouse neocortex

To obtain proof of principle for the suitability of the CRISPR/Cas9 system to disrupt the expression of a neurodevelopmentally regulated gene, we decided to first target a gene for which one can safely assume that lack of its expression will not cause any phenotype. To this end, we used heterozygous Tis21::GFP knock-in mice, in which GFP is under the control of the promoter of Tis21, a gene transiently expressed by a subset of neural stem and progenitor cells during brain development [13,14]. Specifically, Tis21 expression in the embryonic neocortex is induced in the ventricular zone (VZ) in those apical radial glial cells (aRGCs) that generate basal progenitors (BPs) destined for the subventricular zone (SVZ), in which Tis21 expression is sustained. BPs in turn generate neurons, which stop expressing Tis21 [13,14].

We initially used in utero electroporation of E13.5 Tis21::GFP mouse embryos (Fig 1A and E) to deliver Cas9 and gRNA into aRGCs. We first in utero electroporated plasmid DNA. For disruption of GFP expression, we used a single plasmid encoding both (i) a GFP-targeting gRNA (gGFP) under a constitutive promoter (U6) and (ii) the Cas9 gene under a constitutive promoter (CAG) followed by a T2A self-cleaving site and PaprikaRFP (Fig 1A).

To determine the efficiency of different gRNAs to target the GFP gene, we performed an in vitro assay with these in vitro transcribed gRNAs, recombinant Cas9 protein and an ~800-bp PCR product of GFP containing the various targeting sites. This led us to choose a gGFP, identical to a previously described one [15] and not hybridizing to the GFP mRNA, which elicited a virtually complete level of on-target cutting and which was used in all future experiments concerning Tis21::GFP expression (Fig EV1A–C). A LacZ-targeting gRNA (gLacZ) [16] was used as control.

As shown in Fig 1B–D, 48 h after the in utero electroporation, only ~10% of the progeny of the aRGCs targeted with the Cas9/gGFP plasmid, as revealed by PaprikaRFP fluorescence in the VZ and SVZ, showed GFP fluorescence when compared to the control Cas9/gRNA electroporation. This lack of GFP expression in ~90% of the Tis21::GFP-positive progeny of the targeted aRGCs shows that in utero electroporation of a plasmid encoding both Cas9 and an appropriate gRNA can successfully disrupt gene expression in neural stem and progenitor cells in the embryonic brain.

In development changes in cell fate typically occur within a single cell cycle of the progenitor cell under study. In this regard, the in utero electroporation of a plasmid encoding both gRNA and Cas9 has the drawback that any genome editing can only occur after the gRNA and Cas9 have been transcribed and Cas9 has been translated, which may take up a substantial portion of interphase. In addition, with plasmid electroporation the number of gRNAs to be expressed is very limited and gRNA/Cas9 expression will continue to occur until the plasmid is diluted by cell division, which will increase the probability of off-target effects. To overcome these limitations, we sought to directly electroporate the Cas9 protein in a complex with gRNA. Cas9 has a predicted isoelectric point of 9 (ExPasys) and hence is cationic at physiological pH, but gRNA/Cas9 complexes, due to their nucleic acid component, are known to be anionic at physiological pH [17] and thus will migrate towards the anode upon application of an electric field. Indeed, it has recently been shown [17–19] that gRNA/Cas9 complexes can be delivered into mammalian cell lines by electroporation.

We therefore prepared complexes consisting of either gGFP or control gRNA (gLacZ) and recombinant Cas9 protein and in utero electroporated these, along with a pCAGGS-mCherry plasmid to identify the targeted aRGCs and their progeny, into the neocortex of E13.5 Tis21::GFP embryos (Fig 1E). When compared to control, ~75% of the Tis21::GFP-positive progeny of the targeted aRGCs (as indicated by mCherry fluorescence) lacked GFP fluorescence after 48 h (Fig 1F–H). Collectively, these data demonstrate that Cas9 protein together with an appropriate gRNA can be successfully delivered into neural stem cells of developing neocortex by means of in utero electroporation and can efficiently induce disruption of gene expression in these cells and the progeny derived therefrom.

Next we investigated how fast Cas9/gGFP plasmid and Cas9 protein/gGFP complexes electroporated into embryonic mouse neocortex were able to disrupt gene expression. To this end, we performed the analysis at an early time point of 24 h after the electroporation (Fig 1I–K). Upon Cas9 protein/gGFP complex electroporation, we detected disruption of GFP expression in 72% of the progeny of the targeted cells when compared to control. Electroporation of the Cas9/gGFP plasmid, instead, showed disruption of GFP expression in ~60% of the progeny when compared to control. The magnitude of this reduction in GFP-positive cells was corroborated by immunoblotting, which revealed a 56% decrease in the GFP level in electroporated cells (Fig EV1D and E). These findings show that the magnitude of disruption of gene expression upon Cas9/gGFP plasmid electroporation is greater after 48 h when compared to 24 h (Fig 1D vs. K left), whereas electroporation of Cas9 protein/gGFP complexes yields nearly the same magnitude of gene disruption after 24 h or 48 h (Fig 1H vs. K right). This suggests that electroporated Cas9 protein/gRNA complexes exert their effects earlier than electroporated Cas9/gRNA plasmids, presumably because in the latter case additional time is required for transcription of the gRNA and transcription and translation of the Cas9 protein.

Next, we sought to compare the in vivo efficiency of the CRISPR/Cas9 system, delivered as either Cas9/gRNA plasmid or Cas9 protein/gRNA complexes (Fig 1), to that of RNAi, using esiRNA electroporation [9,10]. To this end, we in utero electroporated esiRNAs targeting GFP (esiGFP) or luciferase (Control), together with a pCAGGS-mCherry plasmid to identify the targeted aRGCs and their progeny, into the neocortex of E13.5 Tis21::GFP embryos (Fig EV2). Our results show that at 24 h after electroporation, the disruption of GFP expression by esiRNA (61%, Fig EV2B) is less than that by Cas9 protein/gRNA complexes (72%, Fig 1K right), but
Figure 1. CRISPR/Cas9-induced disruption of GFP expression in the neocortex of Tis21::GFP mouse embryos upon in utero electroporation.

Neocortex of mouse E13.5 Tis21::GFP embryos was in utero electroporated with: (A–D, I, K) a plasmid encoding, under constitutive promoters, Cas9, T2A, PaprikaRFP and gRNA targeting either LacZ (Control, Con) or GFP (gGFP), or (E–H, J, K) recombinant Cas9 protein together with gRNAs targeting either LacZ (Control, Con) or GFP (gGFP) and with a pCAGGS-mCherry plasmid; electroporation was followed by analysis at E15 in utero.

A Scheme of plasmid in utero electroporation.
B Overview of electroporated neocortices showing Cas9 expression as revealed by PaprikaRFP fluorescence (magenta) and the effects of Cas9 expression, together with control gRNA (top) or gGFP (bottom), on GFP expression (green, fluorescence). Dotted lines indicate the electroporated area of the VZ.
C Higher magnification of the VZ and SVZ of the electroporated area shown in (B), with DAPI staining (blue) depicted in addition to PaprikaRFP (Cas9) and GFP fluorescence. Boxes indicate areas shown at higher magnification in the insets (35 × 35 μm). Dotted lines indicate nuclei of progeny of electroporated aRGCs; note the presence of GFP fluorescence in the control (top) and its absence upon Cas9/gGFP electroporation (bottom).
D Quantification of the proportion of Cas9-positive cells in the VZ plus SVZ that are GFP positive 48 h after control (Con, white) or gGFP (black) Cas9 plasmid electroporation. Data are the mean of four independent experiments (seven embryos per condition in total, from four litters).
E Scheme of Cas9/gRNA complex in utero electroporation.
F Overview of electroporated areas of neocortices as revealed by mCherry fluorescence (magenta) showing the effects of Cas9 protein together with either control gRNA (top) or gGFP (bottom) on GFP expression (green, fluorescence). Dotted lines indicate the electroporated area of the VZ.
G Higher magnification of the VZ and SVZ of the electroporated area shown in (F), with DAPI staining (blue) depicted in addition to mCherry and GFP fluorescence. Boxes indicate areas shown at higher magnification in the insets (35 × 35 μm). Dotted lines indicate nuclei of progeny of electroporated aRGCs; note the presence of GFP fluorescence in the control (top) and its absence upon Cas9/gGFP electroporation (bottom).
H Quantification of the proportion of mCherry-positive cells in the VZ plus SVZ that are GFP positive 48 h after control (Con, white) or gGFP (black) Cas9 protein electroporation. Data are the mean of four independent experiments (five embryos per condition in total, from four litters).
I VZ and SVZ of the electroporated areas showing Cas9 expression as revealed by PaprikaRFP fluorescence (magenta) and the effects of Cas9 expression, together with control gRNA (top) or gGFP (bottom), on GFP expression (green), blue, DAPI staining. Boxes indicate areas shown at higher magnification in the insets (35 × 35 μm). Dotted lines indicate nuclei of progeny of electroporated aRGCs; note the presence of GFP fluorescence in the control (top) and its absence upon Cas9/gGFP electroporation (bottom).
J VZ and SVZ of the electroporated areas showing Cas9 expression as revealed by mCherry fluorescence (magenta) and the effects of Cas9 protein together with either control gRNA (top) or gGFP (bottom) on GFP expression (green); blue, DAPI staining. Boxes indicate areas shown at higher magnification in the insets (35 × 35 μm). Dotted lines indicate nuclei of progeny of electroporated aRGCs; note the presence of GFP fluorescence in the control (top) and its absence upon Cas9/gGFP electroporation (bottom).
K Quantification of the proportion of Cas9-positive cells (left) and mCherry-positive cells (right) in the VZ plus SVZ that are GFP positive 24 h after control (Con, white) or gGFP (black) Cas9 plasmid (left) or protein (right) electroporation. Data are the mean of three independent experiments each (three embryos per condition in total, from three litters).

Data information: Controls were set to 100% (D, H, K) and the gGFP conditions expressed relative to control (D, 11.1%; H, 24%; K left, 40%; K right, 28%). Error bars indicate SD. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 (unpaired Student’s t-test). Scale bars, 200 μm (B, F) or 20 μm (C, G, I, J).

(C, G, I, K) All images are single optical sections.
Comparably to that by Cas9/gRNA plasmid (60%, Fig 1K left). At 48 h after electroporation, the disruption of GFP expression by esiRNA (70%, Fig EV2D) was found to be less than that by Cas9/ gRNA plasmid (90%, Fig 1H), but almost comparable to Cas9 protein/gRNA complexes (76%, Fig 1F). These results indicate that the CRISPR/Cas9 system, when applied to the developing brain in vivo, is at least comparable, if not superior, to RNAi in terms of the efficiency of disruption of gene expression.

Microinjection of Cas9 protein/gRNA into single neural stem cells in embryonic mouse neocortex allows analysis of disruption of gene expression in the immediate daughter cells

In the light of these data, we examined whether disruption of gene expression by Cas9 protein can be achieved in single neural stem cells and affects their immediate daughter cells. To this end, we made use of a recently developed system [11,12] in which nucleic acids and/or proteins are microinjected into single neocortical aRGCs in organotypic slice culture and the fate of the daughter cell pair arising from an aRGC division is examined (see also [20]). Specifically, aRGCs in slices of telencephalon of heterozygous E14.5 Tis21::GFP mice were microinjected with recombinant Cas9 protein together with either GFP or control gRNA (gLacZ), along with dextran 10,000-Alexa 555 (Dx-A555) as a fluorescent tracer to identify the daughter cells of the microinjected aRGCs (Fig 2A).

Figure 2B shows two examples of daughter cell pairs after 24 h of organotypic slice culture. In both Cas9 protein/control gRNA and Cas9 protein/gGFP microinjection, the two daughter cells had adopted a different fate as revealed by their morphology. One daughter (cell 1) had remained attached at the ventricular surface and exhibited the characteristic bipolar shape of an aRGC, whereas the other daughter (cell 2) had delaminated from the ventricular surface and showed the typical multipolar shape of a newborn basal intermediate progenitor (bIP). These two cases of daughter cell pair fate were indicative of an asymmetric, self-renewing bIP-genic division of the microinjected aRGC mother cells, which in embryonic neocortex of Tis21::GFP mice are known to be Tis21::GFP positive [14,21].

Consistent with this, both daughter cells of the aRGC microinjected with Cas9 protein/control gRNA showed GFP fluorescence (Fig 2C top). In contrast, the daughter cells of the aRGC microinjected with Cas9 protein/gGFP lacked GFP fluorescence (Fig 2C bottom), indicative of disruption of Tis21::GFP expression. In fact, as the cell bodies of both the self-renewed aRGC daughter and the newborn bIP daughter were still observed at and near the
ventricular surface, respectively (Fig 2C bottom), the division of the microinjected aRGC mother cell must have occurred relatively later within the 24-h culture period following the microinjection, which presumably targeted an aRGC in G1. Given a half-life of the GFP in the progeny of Tis21::GFP-expressing cells of approximately 12 h [14], we conclude that disruption of gene expression upon microinjection of Cas9 protein/gGFP into the aRGC occurred very rapidly thereafter and before the cell underwent asymmetric division.

Quantification of the magnitude of disruption of Tis21::GFP expression upon Cas9 protein/gGFP microinjection into aRGCs revealed a 61% reduction in GFP expression in their daughter cells (Fig 2D). Of note, the magnitude of this reduction in GFP-immunoreactive aRGC progeny 24 h after Cas9 protein/gGFP microinjection was very similar to that observed 24 h after microinjection of GFP esiRNA (58%, Fig EV3). Taken together, these data demonstrate that upon microinjection into single aRGCs in organotypic slice culture of developing neocortex, Cas9 protein together with an appropriate gRNA can rapidly and efficiently induce disruption of gene expression in these neural stem cells and their progeny.

**CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of Eomes/Tbr2 expression in embryonic neocortex affects neurogenesis**

To demonstrate that the present system of delivering Cas9/gRNA into neural stem cells and of analysing the fate of their progeny can be used to dissect a neurodevelopmental phenotype, we sought to target the gene *Eomes*, which encodes the transcription factor Tbr2 (for the sake of simplicity, we shall use the term Tbr2 for both gene and protein from here onwards). Tbr2 has a fundamental role in neocortical development. Homozygous silencing of Tbr2 in humans leads to neurodevelopmental disorders such as microcephaly [22]. Conditional ablation of Tbr2 expression in the embryonic mouse neocortex causes reduction in BPs and an increase in direct neurogenesis from aRGCs [23,24]. During mouse corticogenesis, Tbr2 mRNA levels are highest in Tis21-positive aRGCs, which however lack Tbr2 protein (presumably due to microRNA-mediated translational repression), followed by bIPs, which characteristically contain the Tbr2 protein, while newborn neurons stop expressing Tbr2 [20,25].

Next, a plasmid encoding constitutively expressed Cas9_T2A_PaprikaRFP and either gTbr2 or control gRNA (gLacZ) (Fig 1A) was in utero electroporated into E13.5 wild-type mouse embryos. Analysis of PaprikaRFP-positive cells in the VZ plus SVZ revealed that 48 h post-electroporation, the Cas9/gTbr2-targeted cells that showed Tbr2 immunoreactivity were reduced by half when compared to control (Fig 3A and B). The magnitude of the reduction in Tbr2-immunoreactive cells in the VZ plus SVZ did not increase further at later time points post-electroporation and was in the same range as the in vitro efficiency of the gTbr2 to induce indels at the target site in exon 1 of the Tbr2 gene upon electroporation of the Cas9/gTbr2 plasmid (see Fig 4A below). Separate analysis of the VZ vs. SVZ 48 h after electroporation of the control Cas9/gRNA plasmid resulted in an essentially identical ~50% reduction in Tbr2-immunoreactive cells in both VZ and SVZ (Fig EV4E). We conclude that: (i) expression in aRGCs of Cas9, together with the gTbr2 used here, causes bi-allelic disruption of the Tbr2 gene in about half of these cells and their progeny and (ii) this suffices, despite the presence of Tbr2 mRNA in BP-genic aRGCs [20], to render half of the aRGC-derived BPs Tbr2-negative, both newborn ones transiting the VZ and mature ones still found in the SVZ 48 h post-electroporation.

Complete ablation of the Tbr2 gene in the developing mouse neocortex has previously been shown to result in a reduction in the level of BPs of up to ~50% [23,24]. Given the disruption of the Tbr2 gene in about half of the targeted neocortical aRGCs and their progeny upon in utero electroporation of the Cas9/gTbr2 plasmid, one would therefore expect a reduction in the level of derivative BPs by ~25% if the absence of Tbr2 protein were to exert a similar effect as previously observed. Indeed, upon Cas9/gTbr2 plasmid electroporation, we observed exactly this extent of reduction in the abundance of mitotic BPs as compared to control, without any effect on the abundance of apical mitoses (Fig 3C and D). Thus, CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of a major determinant of BP generation by in utero electroporation of neural stem cells in developing neocortex results in the expected phenotype in their progeny.

We further dissected the phenotype of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of Tbr2 gene expression by microinjecting single neocortical aRGCs in organotypic slice culture at E14.5 with recombinant Cas9 protein together with gTbr2 and examining the fate of their progeny 48 h later. In agreement with the in utero electroporation data (Fig 3A and B), the progeny of aRGCs microinjected with Cas9 protein and gTbr2 showed a ~50% reduction in Tbr2 expression compared to that of aRGCs microinjected with Cas9 protein and control gRNA (Fig 3E and F). This disruption of Tbr2 expression had a major effect on the fate of the progeny. Upon Cas9 protein/control gRNA microinjection, 77% of the progeny were cells remaining in the VZ and 23% were cells that had delaminated and were located basally (SVZ and IZ+CP combined, Fig 3G and H). In striking contrast, upon Cas9 protein/gTbr2 microinjection, 61% of the progeny were cells in basal locations, including cells in the intermediate zone and cortical plate exhibiting neuronal morphology (Fig 3G and H). These data suggest that upon loss of Tbr2 mRNA from BP-genic aRGCs and of Tbr2 protein from the aRGC progeny, aRGC self-renewal is decreased and neuronal differentiation is increased.

Collectively, our results show that CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption, upon either in utero electroporation or microinjection into neural stem cells in developing neocortex, of a gene fundamentally important for neocortex development allows mechanistic dissection of the resulting phenotype in the immediate progeny of the targeted cells.

**In utero electroporation of Cas9/gTbr2 does not induce detectable off-target effects**

To corroborate that the neurodevelopmental phenotype observed upon Cas9/gTbr2 electroporation (Fig 3) indeed resulted from the disruption of the Tbr2 locus rather than another locus, we performed next-generation sequencing of amplicons containing either the target site or one of four major off-target sites (Fig EV4C).
To this end, we prepared a cell suspension from E15.5 neocortex 48 h after in utero electroporation of Cas9-T2A-PaprikaRFP/gTbr2 or Cas9-T2A-PaprikaRFP/gLacZ plasmids (as in Fig 3A–D), isolated the PaprikaRFP-expressing cells by FACS, and used their DNA to generate ≈300-bp amplicons.

Upon control Cas9/gRNA electroporation, < 1% of the ≈100,000 reads of the Tbr2 locus analysed showed mismatches to the mouse genome reference sequence (Fig 4A), suggesting that this was the level of PCR error. In contrast, upon Cas9/gTbr2 electroporation, mismatches were found on average in about half of all reads.

**Figure 3.** CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of Tbr2 expression affects neurogenesis in embryonic mouse neocortex.

A–D Neocortex of mouse E13.5 embryos was in utero electroporated with a plasmid encoding, under constitutive promoters, Cas9-T2A-PaprikaRFP and gRNA targeting either LacZ (Control, Con) or Tbr2 (gTbr2), followed by analysis at E15.5. (A) Electroporated area showing the effects of Cas9 expression (revealed by PaprikaRFP fluorescence, magenta) together with either control gRNA (top) or gTbr2 (bottom) on Tbr2 expression (green), blue, DAPI staining. Boxes indicate areas shown at higher magnification in the insets (45 μm). Dotted lines indicate nuclei of progeny of electroporated aRGCs; note the presence of Tbr2 immunoreactivity in the control (top) and its absence upon Cas9/gTbr2 plasmid electroporation (bottom). (B) Quantification of the proportion of Cas9+ cells that are Tbr2+ 48 h after control (Con, white) or gTbr2 (black) plasmid electroporation. Data are the mean of four independent experiments (six embryos per condition, from four litters). (C) Electroporated area showing the effects of Cas9 expression (revealed by PaprikaRFP fluorescence, magenta) together with either control gRNA (top) or gTbr2 (bottom) on the abundance of mitotic progenitors as revealed by phosphohistone H3 (PH3) immunofluorescence (green). Apical and basal mitoses are indicated by arrows and arrowheads, respectively; note the reduction in mitotic Cas9+ BPs upon Cas9/gTbr2 plasmid electroporation. (D) Quantification of apical and basal Cas9+ mitoses (as revealed by PH3 immunofluorescence) in a unit area 48 h after Cas9/gTbr2 plasmid electroporation. Data are expressed as percentage of the data obtained upon control plasmid electroporation and are the mean of three independent experiments (three embryos per condition in total, from three litters), note the reduction in basal (black, 68%) but not apical (white) mitoses.

E–H Single aRGCs in neocortex in organotypic slices of telencephalon of E14.5 mouse embryos were microinjected with recombinant Cas9 protein together with gRNA targeting either LacZ (Control, Con) or Tbr2 (gTbr2) and with dextran 10,000-Alexa 555 (Dx-A555), followed by analysis after 48 h of culture. (E) Progeny of single microinjected aRGCs (revealed by Dx-A555 immunofluorescence, magenta, dotted lines) showing the effects of Cas9 together with either control gRNA (top) or gTbr2 (bottom) on Tbr2 expression (green), blue, DAPI staining. Note the presence of Tbr2 immunoreactivity in the control (top) and its absence upon Cas9/gTbr2 plasmid electroporation (bottom). (F) Quantification of the proportion of the progeny (Dx-A555) of microinjected aRGCs that show Tbr2 expression 48 h after control (Con, white) or gTbr2 (black) microinjection. Data are the mean of 3 independent experiments (3 embryos per condition; total number of cells scored: control 45, gTbr2 43). (G) Distribution across the cortical wall of the progeny (revealed by Dx-A555 immunofluorescence, magenta; arrows, aRGC; arrowheads, BPs; open arrow, neurone) of single control (left) or gTbr2 (right)–microinjected aRGCs. Dashed lines indicate ventricular surface (bottom) and basal lamina (top). Images are maximum intensity projections of 67 Control (bottom) and 94 (gTbr2) single optical sections. (H) Quantification of the distribution of the progeny (Dx-A555) of microinjected aRGCs in the VZ, SVZ and intermediate zone/cortical plate (CP+IZ) 48 h after control (Con, white) or gTbr2 (black) microinjection (total number of cells scored: control 37, gTbr2 51, pooled from at least 3 independent experiments). Note that upon gTbr2 microinjection, the distribution of cells across the various cortical zones is significantly different (VZ: control 77%, gTbr2 39%; SVZ: control 20%, gTbr2 37%; IZ/CP: control 3%, gTbr2 24%; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 Student’s t-test in B, Mann–Whitney U-test in D and F). Scale bars, 20 μm (A, C, G) or 5 μm (E).

Data information: Controls were set to 100% (B, F) and the gTbr2 conditions expressed relative to control (B, 48%; F, 50%). Error bars indicate SD (B, D, F); *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 (Student’s t-test in B, Mann–Whitney U-test in D and F). Scale bars, 20 μm (A, C, G) or 5 μm (E).
Discussion

We have established CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of gene expression for investigating the role of gene products in mammalian brain development in vivo. Specifically, we achieved the ablation of developmentally regulated proteins in embryonic mouse neocortex by application of two approaches that allow for rapid and efficient delivery of the relevant components of the CRISPR/Cas9 system, in utero electroporation of the primary germinal zone of the neocortex and microinjection into single neural stem cells in neocortical tissue. The present methodology considerably advances previous approaches of acutely interfering with gene expression in the developing brain, which were essentially based on RNAi [10,28,29], in that it rapidly results in permanent gene disruption in the targeted neural stem cells and their progeny. Our study also substantially extends previous applications of the CRISPR/Cas9 technology to investigate the function of genes with key roles in the brain [30,31] in that we have dissected the effects of gene disruption in neural stem cells and their immediate progeny during embryonic development. Three aspects of the present methodology deserve particular discussion.

First, the efficiency with which a rapid-onset phenotype is observed. We demonstrate that upon in utero electroporation of a single plasmid encoding Cas9 and an appropriate gRNA, we were able to detect a phenotype, caused by the resulting disruption of gene expression, already in the immediate progeny of the targeted neural stem cells. The efficiency of disruption was such that it resulted in a 50% to 90% loss of the protein product 48 h after gene targeting, as revealed by (immuno)fluorescence. In fact, as exemplified for Tbr2, the lack of detectable protein product in the progeny of the neural stem cells matched the occurrence of indels at the genomic target site. This not only implies that bi-allelic gene disruption rapidly occurred in most, if not all, targeted neural stem cells with indels at the Tbr2 locus, but also that existing Tbr2 protein disappeared from the progeny during the 48 h time period between gene targeting and immunofluorescence analysis.

Figure 4. Sequencing analysis of the CRISPR/Cas9-induced disruption of the Tbr2 locus. Next-generation DNA sequencing analysis of amplicons containing the Tbr2 locus and 4 off-target loci generated from PaprikaRFP-expressing cells isolated at E13.5 from neocortex of mouse embryos in utero electroporated at E13.5 with plasmids encoding Cas9/T2A-PaprikaRFP and either gTbr2 (black) or control gRNA (Con, white) as in Fig 3A–D.

A  Quantification of the frequency of mismatches to the mouse genome sequence spanning 30 bp upstream and downstream of the Tbr2 target site and the off-target sites (OT1–4). Data are expressed as percentage of the total number of reads and are the mean of two independent experiments (8 and 5 embryos per condition, respectively).

B, C  Analysis of one of the experiments of (A) for deletions (B) and insertions (C) in the region spanning 80 bp upstream and downstream of the Tbr2 target site upon Cas9/gTbr2 plasmid electroporation.

(≈43%, Fig 4A), indicating an indel frequency in vivo that was consistent with the disruption of Tbr2 gene expression in about half of the progeny of the targeted aRGCs as revealed by Tbr2 immunofluorescence (Fig 3B).

Importantly, no increase in the low frequency of mismatches compared to control was found for the four major off-target sites upon Cas9/gTbr2 electroporation (Fig 4A). Taken together, these data strongly suggest that the neurodevelopmental phenotype observed upon targeting with Cas9/gTbr2 (Fig 3) was indeed caused by the selective disruption of the Tbr2 locus.

We further analysed the types of indels caused by Cas9/gTbr2. Almost all indels either started at the targeted site or encompassed it (see Fig EV5 for a few examples), underlining the specificity of the effect of Cas9/gTbr2 on the targeted site. The majority (73%) of the mutations detected at the targeted site and in its immediate proximity (80 bp downstream to 80 bp upstream) were deletions. Amongst them, deletions longer than 40 nucleotides were the most frequent (Fig 4B). With regard to the insertions detected, by far the most frequent were single-nucleotide insertions at the targeted site (Fig 4C). These data provide a mechanistic explanation for the lack of Tbr2 protein, as the gTbr2 target site in the Tbr2 gene is located at the codon in exon 1 encoding leucine-14 and three quarters of all indels (two-thirds of all deletions plus the single nucleotide insertion) will cause a premature stop codon.
Second, the direct in vivo delivery of a recombinant Cas9 protein/gRNA complex into neural stem cells of embryonic neocortex by in utero electroporation. Electroporating a protein/gRNA complex instead of a plasmid in order to deliver Cas9 and gRNA into cells in vivo has been shown [17,18] to reduce off-target effects and to facilitate delivering multiple gRNAs at the same time. Moreover, and of importance for neurodevelopmental studies, electroporation of a protein/gRNA complex instead of a plasmid reduces the time needed for genome editing to take place, as shown in the present study (Fig 1). Moreover, this mode of introducing the Cas9 protein/gRNA complex into neural stem cells constitutes, to the best of our knowledge, the first case of directly delivering a protein into the cytoplasm of VZ cells in the mammalian brain by electroporation. It should be noted that it may be worthwhile to explore extending this approach to proteins other than Cas9 that carry a sufficient net charge at physiological pH, such as appropriate antibodies, or when studying an acute action of a protein in the targeted VZ cells is desired.

Third, the single cell resolution for analysing phenotypes that is obtained upon microinjection of a recombinant Cas9 protein/gRNA complex into neural stem cells in neocortical tissue. As microinjection predominantly targets single aRGCs in the G1 phase of the cell cycle [11], it enables us to assess the effects of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of expression of the gene under study already within the same cell cycle of the microinjected neural stem cell. This in turn is of particular importance in developmental biology, in which fate-changing events that occur within the life cycle of a given single stem or progenitor cell constitute a major area of research.

In conclusion, we have shown that the CRISPR/Cas9 system can be successfully applied to dissect the function of specific genes during embryonic brain development. In the light of the advantages of the CRISPR/Cas9 system over other modes of gene inactivation, the present approaches to deliver the components of this system rapidly and efficiently into neural stem cells in vivo provide new avenues for future functional screenings and loss-of-function studies.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All experimental procedures were conducted in agreement with the German Animal Welfare Legislation after approval by the Landesdirektion Sachsen. Animals used for this study were kept pathogen-free at the Biomedical Services Facility (BMS) of the MPI-CBG. Embryonic day E0.5 was set at noon of the day of vaginal plug identification. All experiments were performed in the dorsolateral telencephalon of mouse embryos, at a medial position along the rostro-caudal axis. Mouse lines used were Tis21:GFP [14] and C57Bl/6J wild-type mice (Janvier).

Plasmids, gRNAs and recombinant protein

Previously published gRNAs targeting GFP (for sequences, see Fig EV1) and LacZ (5’-TGGGAATTGCCCAGCGATGGG; underlined nucleotides, PAM) were used [15,16]. Genomic sequence of mouse Eomes/Tbr2 was analysed for CRISPR/Cas9 target sites by Geneious 8.1.6 software (Biomatters), and four gRNAs targeting exon 1 were selected (for sequences, see Fig EV4). All gRNAs used in vivo were identical in sequence to the DNA sense strand and not complementary to the mRNA sequence. pD1321-AP plasmids containing gRNAs under the control of the human U6 promoter were obtained from DNA 2.0. The same plasmid contained the Cas9 gene under the CAG promoter, followed by sequences encoding the proteolytic self-cleavage 2A peptide from Thesya asigna virus (T2A) and PaprikaRFP, which was used as a marker of Cas9 expression. Cas9 was flanked with two nuclear localization signals (5’ and 3’). gRNAs were either purchased (Eupheria Biotech) or produced by in vitro transcription from a PCR product containing the T7 promoter followed by the gRNA sequence and the optimized gRNA scaffold [32] using the esiSCRIBE In Vitro Transcription Kit (Euphoria Biotech) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Recombinant Cas9 protein (ToolGen, or MPI-CBG) from Streptococcus pyogenes was used. esiRNAs used in Figs EV2 and EV3 have been previously described [10,33].

In utero electroporation

In utero electroporations were performed as previously described [9,10]. Briefly, E13.5 mouse embryos were anesthetized with isoflurane and subsequently injected subcutaneously with an analgesic. The peritoneal cavity was then surgically opened and the uterus exposed. For the electroporation of plasmids (Figs 1B–D, 1 and K, 3A–D and 4), embryos were injected intraventricularly with a solution containing 0.1% Fast Green (Sigma) in sterile PBS, containing 2.5 μg/μl of one of the Cas9-PaprikaRFP-gRNA plasmids, with the gRNA being either gLacZ, gGFP or gTbr2.

For the recombinant Cas9 protein/gRNA in utero electroporation (Fig 1E–H, J and K), embryos were prepared in the same way as described above. Prior to injection, 1 μg/μl recombinant Cas9 protein in protein buffer (20 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl) and reaction mixture containing 0.33 μg/μl of the in vitro transcribed gRNA of interest in a total volume of 15 μl were incubated for 15 min at 37°C to form a functional complex, followed by a centrifugation (1 min, 13,000 g) through a Durapore PVDF 0.22-μm filter (Merck Millipore). Upon addition of Fast Green and the pCAGGS-mCherry plasmid, the mixture to be injected contained, in final concentrations, 0.5 μg/μl recombinant Cas9 protein in complex with 0.167 μg/μl of the gRNA of interest, together with 0.3 μg/μl pCAGGS-mCherry plasmid and 0.1% of Fast Green.

For the electroporation of esiRNAs (Fig EV2), embryos were prepared in the same way as described above and esiRNAs (0.18 μg/μl) were electroporated together with 0.3 μg/μl pCAGGS-mCherry plasmid and 0.1% of Fast Green.

All electroporations were performed with six 50-ms pulses of 30–36 V (for plasmid electroporation) or 36 V (for Cas 9 protein/gRNA electroporation, esiRNA) at 1-s intervals. Embryos were harvested 24 or 48 h post-electroporation and PFA-fixed for immunofluorescence analysis.

Microinjection

E14.5 telencephalon was dissected at room temperature in Tyrode solution pre-warmed at 37°C. After removal of meninges, -300-μm slices were cut using a micro-knife. Slices were transferred to 3.5-cm dishes containing 37°C warm slice culture medium (SCM;
neurobasal medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% rat serum (Charles River Japan), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco), Penstrep (Gibco), N2 supplement (17502-048, Invitrogen), B27 supplement (17504-044, Invitrogen) and 10 mM Hepes–NaOH pH 7.3). Microinjection was performed as previously described [11,12]. Briefly, 4–5 telencephalon slices were transferred to 3.5-cm dishes containing 37°C warm CO2-independent microinjection medium (CIMM; DMEM-F12 (Sigma D2906) containing 2 mM L-glutamine, Penstrep, N2 and B27 supplements, and 25 mM (final concentration) Hepes–NaOH pH 7.3). Slices were kept at 37°C on a heated stage during microinjection, which was performed manually using a compensation pressure of 100–200 hPa.

The microinjection solution contained either recombinant Cas9 protein in complex with the gRNA of interest or esiRNAs as above, together with dextran 10,000-Alexa 555 as a tracer (2 μg/μl, Molecular Probes). Microinjection solutions were centrifuged at 16,000 g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected, kept on ice and used for microinjection. After microinjection, slices were embedded in collagen and kept in culture for either 24 or 48 h in a slice culture incubator at 37°C, with 40% O2 (Air Liquide). At the end of the slice culture, SCM was removed and slices were fixed by adding 2 ml of 4% PFA directly to the dish. After 30 min at room temperature followed by 12 h at 4°C, slices were removed from the collagen and processed for immunostaining.

**Immunofluorescence**

Immunofluorescence was performed on 50- to 70-μm vibratome sections as previously described [20]. Antibodies used were rabbit pAb anti-Tbr2 (Abcam, ab23345), rat pAb anti-phosphohistone (Abcam, ab10543), goat pAb anti-EGFP (MPI-CBG), chicken pAb anti-Tbr2 (Millipore, AB15894), and mouse mAb anti-dextran (clone DX1, Stem Cell Technologies #60026). The secondary antibodies used were coupled to Alexa Fluor 488, 555 or 647 and were from Jackson immunoresearch as secondary antibodies. Immunoreactive proteins were analysed by immunoblotting using mouse mAb anti-α-tubulin (Sigma, T5168) and rabbit pAb anti-GFP (Abcam, ab6556) as primary antibodies and HRP-donkey anti-mouse and anti-rabbit (Jackson immunoresearch) as secondary antibodies. Immunoreactive bands were detected by using SuperSignal West Dura (Thermo Scientific) and quantified using ImageJ.

**Cas9 in vitro reactions**

Individual gRNAs were first tested in vitro using recombinant Cas9 protein and a PCR product of the target locus. The GFP PCR product was obtained using the following primers: forward 5’-CTGAGTCCGACTTGTCAGACG and reverse 5’-GAGTGGTATGAAGGCGCAGCC; for the 2-kb Eomes/Tbr2 PCR product, 5’-GGGACCTGCAAACTAGACC (forward) and 5’-GGATTTGCGAGGCTGATG (reverse) primers were used (Fig EV4); for the 400-bp Eomes/Tbr2 and off-target PCR products, we used the same primers as for sequencing (see below and Table EV1). Cutting by Cas9 of the target sequence *in vitro* was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (ToolGen). Briefly, an *in vitro* reaction contained 12 ng/μl of the PCR product, 50 ng/μl of recombinant Cas9 protein and 35 ng/μl of the indicated *in vitro* transcribed gRNA, in a total volume of 10 μl. Reactions were carried out at 37°C for 1 h. Samples were then treated with 4 μg of RNase (Qiagen) for 15 min at 37°C, followed by addition of 2.5 μg of proteinase K (Merck) and further incubation for 10 min at 55°C. After 5 min at room temperature, samples received 1 μl of STOP solution (30% glycerol, 1.2% SDS, 250 mM EDTA pH 8.0; ToolGen) and were incubated for further 15 min at 37°C, followed by analysis on a 1% agarose gel.

**Tbr2 and off-target loci DNA sequence analysis**

Cas9-PaprikaRFP-gRNA plasmid-electroporated neocortices (3–4) were pooled and cells dissociated using the MACS Neural Tissue Dissociation kit as described previously [20]. PaprikaRFP-positive cells were isolated by FACS using a BD FACSAria II (BD Bioscience) and collected at 5,000 cells per well in a 96-well PCR plate containing 5 μl of water per well. Plates were stored at −80°C until further processed.

To detect mismatches to the mouse genome sequence, we used a nested PCR set-up to specifically amplify the Tbr2 target region and direct PCR amplification of off-target regions OT1, OT2, OT3 and OT4 with a dual-barcoding Illumina paired-read sequencing approach [34]. All gene-specific primers were universally tailed to allow subsequent dual-barcoding PCR. An overview of tailed gene-specific primers, annealing temperatures and product sizes is provided in Table EV1. PCRs used as template ≈1,500 heat-treated cells (10 min, 95°C) in 20 μl PCR mix containing Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB, Frankfurt, Germany), appropriate buffer, 5% DMSO, dNTPs and tagged gene-specific primers. PCR conditions were as follows: denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of amplification (95°C for 10 s, ampiclon-specific annealing for 20 s and extension at 72°C for 2 min), final extension was performed for 10 min at 72°C followed by an infinite cooling step. For Tbr2, the nested PCR was performed from 1 μl diluted PCR fragment as described above with 20 amplification cycles. Non-incorporated primers were removed by size selection using the E-Gel™ Clone well system (0.8%, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, USA).

Primers containing Illumina adapters, 10-bp barcodes and the universal tail region were added to gene-specific and size-selected amplicons by PCR. Sample ID and barcode assignment are described in Table EV2. PCRs contained 20 μl PCR mastermix with appropriate PCR buffer, 5% DMSO, dNTP, Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB, Frankfurt, Germany), barcoded primers (2 μM equimolar mix of index 1 and index 2) and 2–6 ng template PCR fragment. PCR conditions were as follows: 10-min initial denaturation at 95°C, followed by 10 cycles (Tbr2, OT1, OT3) and 15 cycles (OT4, OT5) of 95°C for 25 s, 60°C for 30 s and 72°C for 90 s, a final extension step for 5 min at 72°C and incubation at 15°C. Products of
the dual-barcoding PCR were checked electrophoretically and quantified (Qubit Fluorimetric DNA quantification, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, USA). Equimolar concentrations of five ampiclon-specific pools were generated (Tbr2, OT1-OT4), which were purified using AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter, Brea, USA) with a ratio of 0.7:1 beads to DNA. Purified pools were quantified using the Library Quant Illumina Kit (KAPA Biosystems, Boston, USA). The resulting five ampiclon-specific pools were mixed in equimolar amounts, and the sequencing library was prepared as recommended by Illumina (MiSeq Reagent Kit v3). About 12.8 million 300-bp read pairs with 10% PhiX spiked-in were generated on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer. Initial data processing was performed with Illumina RTA version 1.18.54 and, after filtering of the raw data on the Galaxy platform, only reads with 90% of bases with a FASTAQ > 20 were used.

Data analysis was performed with Geneious 8.1.6 software (Biomatters) [35]. Reads were aligned to the reference sequence, and indel analysis was performed by inspecting the area 30 bp upstream and 30 bp downstream of the gTbr2 targeting site for mismatches. To analyse indel types in the reads obtained from gTbr2-targeted cells, a 160-bp-long sequence around the targeting site was analysed (80 bp upstream and 80 bp downstream). Sequences that had combined mutations (both insertions and deletions) were not analysed.

Quantifications and statistics

For each in utero electroporation experiment, 1–3 litters with 1–4 embryos per condition (control or gene disruption) were analysed, and three sections per embryo were used for quantifications. All cell counts were performed in standardized microscopic fields and processed using Excel (Microsoft), and results were plotted using Prism (GraphPad Software). For each condition, data from one experiment were pooled, and the mean of the indicated number of experiments was calculated. Statistical significance was determined using either unpaired Student’s t-test (Figs 1D, H and K, EV2B and 4–5), –test (Figs EV1E, and 3D and F), or Fisher’s test (Fig 2D) or the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (Fig 3H).

Expanded View for this article is available online.
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