Harnessing the apoptotic programs in cancer stem-like cells
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Abstract

Elimination of malignant cells is an unmet challenge for most human cancer types even with therapies targeting specific driver mutations. Therefore, a multi-pronged strategy to alter cancer cell biology on multiple levels is increasingly recognized as essential for cancer cure. One such aspect of cancer cell biology is the relative apoptosis resistance of tumor-initiating cells. Here, we provide an overview of the mechanisms affecting the apoptotic process in tumor cells emphasizing the differences in the tumor-initiating or stem-like cells of cancer. Further, we summarize efforts to exploit these differences to design therapies targeting that important cancer cell population.
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Introduction

Programmed cell death, or apoptosis, plays essential roles throughout the lifetime of multi-cellular organisms, from early embryonic development to tissue homeostasis during adulthood. This self-destruction program is tightly regulated and mediated by a group of proteases named caspases. Based on the initiation mechanism, apoptosis can be classified into two types of death pathways: the extrinsic or receptor-mediated pathway and the intrinsic or mitochondria-dependent pathway (Fig 1). The extrinsic death pathway is initiated by engagement of membrane-bound death receptors (DRs). Upon engagement with their cognate ligands such as TNF-α, FAS ligand, TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) etc., DRs aggregate and recruit other adaptor proteins that bear the death domain to form a death-inducing signaling complex (DISC), which further triggers the execution phase of apoptosis. Apoptosis can also be initiated by receptor-independent stimuli such as radiation, free radicals, viral infection, growth factor withdrawal, and chemotherapeutic drugs, which trigger mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) by activation of Bcl-2 homologous proteins [1]. The process of apoptosis is positively regulated by tumor-suppressor p53 and negatively regulated by the pro-survival PI3K/AKT pathway. As a transcription factor, p53 induces the expression of many pro-apoptotic genes, including DRs and multiple pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family members [2]. p53 also represses the expression of anti-apoptotic genes such as Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and survivin [2]. Activation of PI3K/AKT suppresses apoptosis either directly by phosphorylating and inactivating pro-apoptotic proteins such as Bad and Bax or indirectly by activating downstream pro-survival targets such as FOXO, NF-xB, and GSK signaling cascades [3].

A common hallmark of cancer is the dysregulated apoptosis machinery [4]. Defects in both extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis have been described in a variety of human cancers and are believed to contribute to tumor transformation and progression [5]. It is frequently observed that tumor cells express higher levels of pro-survival genes and genes promoting cell proliferation and downregulate anti-apoptotic genes. Therefore, targeting the apoptotic pathway to induce cell death has been long considered a promising therapeutic strategy for cancer. In fact, for most anticancer therapies including chemotherapy, irradiation, and immunotherapy, induction of apoptosis represents the major mechanism by which cancer cells are eliminated. Many tumors are initially responsive to conventional therapy as reflected by tumor mass shrinkage and clinical remission. In most cases, however, development of secondary tumors often leads to disease relapse and increased resistance to therapy.

Cancer stem-like cells (CSCs) represent a population of cells within a tumor that are particularly endowed with the ability to initiate the tumor in transplantation models. Their ability to do so serially is regarded as a marker of self-renewal, and their ability to recapitulate phenotypic complexity of tumor cells upon transplant is regarded as evidence of a differentiation process. Self-renewal and differentiation being the cardinal features of stem cells has led to these cells being considered the stem-like cells of cancer. Compared to the bulk of tumor cells, CSCs have been reported to be less sensitive to apoptotic signals and more resistant to conventional therapy, though this remains controversial [6]. This review will summarize work by others on the regulation of cell death and survival of CSCs, specifically focusing on modulation of pro- and anti-apoptotic signaling pathways to induce CSC death for cancer therapy.
Cancer stem-like cells

It has been recognized for many years that human cancers comprise a heterogeneous population of cells. Within the heterogeneous “swarm” of cells comprising a cancer, hierarchical relationships similar to cells in normal tissues have been hypothesized with stem cells serving as a self-renewing subset (Fig 2). This population of cells is theorized to be capable of initiating new sites of tumor and is also called “tumor-initiating cells”. They have been tested in a manner similar to normal stem cells using transplantation into irradiated, often immunodeficient murine hosts. The ability of a subset of cells to engraft an animal serially is an experimental definition of self-renewal and generation of cell populations bearing properties of the remaining tumor cells as evidence of differentiation.

Because these tumor cells have features of tissue stem cells that are responsible for the maintenance of adult tissues, they are referred to as cancer stem cells or CSCs. They have been experimentally demonstrated in some but not all human tumors, and there is clear artificiality to the concept as it is based on engraftment in immunodeficient mice that may or may not reflect the context of the human body.

The concept of CSCs was first proposed and convincingly characterized in human acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [7,8]. Following these landmark studies, CSCs have been identified and isolated in a wide variety of solid tumors, including tumors of brain, breast, colon, lung, prostate, pancreas, liver, and ovary and other hematologic malignancies [9].

In many cancers, the CSC population can be identified using phenotypic surface markers (Table 1).

CSCs in most cancers are rare. Based on xenotransplantation using non-obese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficiency (NOD/SCID) mice as host, CSC frequency was estimated to be lower than 1 in 2,500 [30]. However, in certain tumor such as melanoma, up to 25% of tumor cells are CSCs when analyzed in more permissive host strain [31]. Nonetheless, mounting evidence suggests that the CSC population is resistant to conventional therapies and may account for the relapse of the disease [32]. Therefore, new strategies to target and eradicate CSCs have been regarded as essential to eradicate some cancers (Fig 3). Various mechanisms are implicated in the evasion of CSCs from conventional therapy, including increased DNA damage repair, altered cell cycle checkpoint control, and overexpression of multi-drug-resistant proteins [33]. Whereas CSCs generally display impaired apoptosis potential, recent studies suggest that such defects can be restored either by directly targeting components of the apoptotic machinery or by indirectly targeting other cellular pathways, such as bioenergetics.

Activating death receptors to induce apoptosis in CSCs

Although CSCs are generally resistant to chemotherapy, there is vast diversity regarding the expression levels of DRs and sensitivity to DR-mediated apoptosis. In some cancers, the expression of DRs, such as FAS and TRAIL receptors, is lower in the CSC compartment than in other cells. This was first observed in human leukemia in which leukemia stem cells (LSCs, CD34+/CD38-) displayed lower expression of FAS and FAS ligand (FAS-L), decreased sensitivity to FAS-induced apoptosis, and increased resistance to chemotherapy compared to more differentiated cells (CD38+) [34]. Human glioma cells were shown to be sensitive to apoptosis induction through the FAS/FAS-L pathway [35,36]. However, the CSC compartment of brain tumors expresses lower levels of FAS and FAS-L compared to cultured primary glioma cells, resulting in increased resistance to FAS-mediated cell death in glioma stem cells [37]. The low expression levels of FAS on CSCs can be overcome by using novel synthetic FAS ligands such as Apo010 (a hexameric FAS-L) that display high avidity to FAS [38]. Apo010 not only induces apoptosis in the bulk of glioma cells but also causes strong cytotoxicity in glioma CSC-like cells [39]. Apo010 reduces viability of glioma cells and glioma stem cells in synergy with other cytotoxic drugs and prolongs survival of tumor-bearing mice [38,39].

Normal and malignant cells appear to have different sensitivity to apoptosis induction by TRAIL, the natural ligand of DR4 and DR5, making TRAIL a promising anti-cancer agent. Recombinant soluble TRAIL was shown to induce apoptosis of primary human leukemia and myelodysplasia progenitors without affecting normal hematopoietic progenitors [40]. The resistance of normal cells to TRAIL may be due to the expression of antagonistic decoy receptors that can compete with DR4 and DR5 for ligand binding [41]. Glioblastoma cells treated with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib increase surface expression of DR5 and are more sensitive to recombinant TRAIL-induced apoptosis. Bortezomib and TRAIL synergistically trigger cell death, reduce colony formation of glioblastoma stem cells, and suppress tumor growth in a mouse model of glioblastoma [42]. In triple-negative breast cancer, TRAIL treatment increases the efficiency of cisplatin to induce cell death of CSCs and suppress mammosphere formation [43].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glossary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-xl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdk4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cFLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS-L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDHA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcl-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-κB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIAP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Despite potent anti-tumor effects \textit{in vitro}, \textit{in vivo} efficacy of soluble TRAIL is often limited by a short half-life in plasma due to a rapid clearance by the kidney. Such limitations can be overcome by engineering mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) to express TRAIL and provide continuous source of the protein. This was first shown in brain tumors [44,45], where TRAIL-armed MSCs migrated to tumor sites following transplantation into mice bearing brainstem glioma xenografts and induced massive death of tumor cells, but not normal brain cells. Such treatment dramatically extended survival compared to groups treated with soluble TRAIL or MSC alone. Similar strategies have been applied to other types of cancers, including pancreatic cancer, breast cancer, melanoma and squamous lung cancers [46–49]. Importantly, engineered MSCs induce cell death not only in the bulk of tumor cells but also in the CSC population as assessed by decreased colony formation [49]. These reports indicate that MSCs are promising vehicles for delivering the DR ligand TRAIL to tumor environment and may be used to eliminate CSCs.

In addition to their natural ligand, agonist antibodies against DRs have been shown to induce apoptosis in several tumor cell lines [50,51]. When treated alone or with other cytotoxic agents, anti-DR5 antibody displayed robust antitumor efficacy in mouse xenografts of tumor with minimum toxicity to normal cells [52–54]. Importantly, in some cancers, agonist DR5 antibody also targets CSCs that are resistant to chemotherapy. In pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, for example, DR5 is enriched in CSCs [55]. Treatment with the cytotoxic drug gemcitabine was effective in reducing tumor size but unable to eliminate the CSC pool. When gemcitabine was given in combination with a humanized DR5 agonist monoclonal antibody, both CSCs and the bulk of tumor cells were killed, resulting in marked tumor remission and delayed tumor progression [55]. A similar effect was observed in breast cancer. While chemotherapy leads to enrichment of CSCs, anti-DR5 antibody treatment reduces the CSC pool and inhibits tumorigenicity [56]. Notably, the efficiency of apoptotic induction in CSCs by DR5 agonist was fifty-fold higher than TRAIL or anti-DR4 antibody.

In some cancers, the CSC population expresses higher levels of DRs, which provides a unique therapeutic opportunity to target this population. For example, the putative CSC compartment of human colon cancer cell line SW480, as defined by the dye-effluxing side population (SP), expresses ten-fold higher levels of DR4 than non-SP counterparts [57]. Overexpression of DR4 in this model is driven by high cMyc activity through E-box DNA-response elements. As a result, the SP cells are more...
sensitive to TRAIL and other therapeutic agents than non-SP cells [57]. In AT-3 mammary carcinoma cell line, the multi-potent, chemoresistant CSC-like population expresses higher level of FAS and DR5 than non-CSC-like cells and this correlates with increased sensitivity to apoptosis induced by FAS ligand and TRAIL [58].

Therefore, despite the refractory nature to conventional therapies, CSCs, at least in preclinical models, are sensitive to apoptosis induction by DR activation. Novel delivery approaches of DR ligands in combination with conventional therapies have shown potent anti-tumor effects, particularly in eradicating CSCs. The differential expression levels of DRs and/or sensitivity to DR ligands between normal and malignant cells further support the strategy of triggering the extrinsic apoptosis pathways for cancer therapy.

Antagonizing apoptosis inhibitory molecules in CSCs

In addition to reduced expression of DRs, CSCs also express higher levels of apoptosis inhibitory proteins, which further enhance resistance to cell death induction.

The DR-initiated apoptotic pathway is negatively regulated by cellular Fas-associated death domain-like IL-1β-converting enzyme (FLICE)-inhibitory protein (c-FLIP) [59]. As a master anti-apoptotic regulator, cFLIP interacts with FADD, caspase-8 or 10 and DR5, prevents the formation of DISC and subsequent activation of the caspase cascade (Fig 1) [60]. cFLIP was found to be overexpressed in many cancers [59]. In some tumors, such as leukemia, breast cancer, and glioblastoma, the expression of cFLIP is even higher in the CSC population than in non-CSC-like cancer cells [61–63]. Consequently, CSCs from these tumors exhibit lower sensitivity to TRAIL-induced apoptosis compared to non-CSC-like counterparts. Knockdown of cFLIP by siRNA sensitizes CSCs to TRAIL-induced apoptosis, suggesting that death resistance of CSCs may be at least partially mediated by FLIP overexpression [61,62]. For breast tumors, TRAIL treatment in combination with cFLIP suppression inhibited CSC self-renewal and resulted in marked reduction of primary tumor growth and suppression of metastasis in a transplantation model [64]. Importantly, inhibition of FLIP did not induce cell death of non-tumorigenic mammary cells [64].

Inhibition of FLIP also underlies the mechanism of action for several anti-cancer drugs. Sorafenib, a broad-spectrum kinase inhibitor targeting the RAF–MEK–ERK pathway, can induce

---

Table 1. Commonly used phenotypic markers for cancer stem cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer types</th>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>CD44+CD24+</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD44+CD24+ ESA+</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALDH+</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal</td>
<td>CD133+</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EpCAM+</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD44+</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD166+</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALDH+</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>CD133+</td>
<td>[16,17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD15+</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian</td>
<td>CD133+</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD44+CD24+</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD117+</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>CD133+</td>
<td>[22,23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EpCAM+</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD90+</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OV6+</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic</td>
<td>CD44+CD24+ESA+</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>CD133+ and/or ALDHhi</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML</td>
<td>CD34+CD38+</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD34+CD38+</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
apoptosis alone and enhances TRAIL- or FAS-mediated cell death in endometrial carcinoma cell lines that are otherwise resistant to TRAIL or FAS [65]. The enhanced sensitization to DR-mediated apoptosis by sorafenib was attributed to downregulation of cFLIP. Similar phenomena were observed in colon cancer [66] and glioma cell lines [67] when treated with aspirin/sorafenib and cisplatin, respectively. Downregulation of cFLIP by anti-cancer agents is mediated through proteasome-dependent degradation of the protein.

The inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) family proteins play important roles in promoting cell survival. IAPs can bind to caspases directly or indirectly and antagonize the apoptotic cascade (Fig 1). Alternatively, some IAPs participate in signaling transduction, for instance, to activate the NF-κB pathway, and positively regulate cell survival. In many human cancers, the expression or function of IAP proteins and their antagonists have been correlated with clinical parameters and cancer prognosis [68,69]. Among the IAP family members, X chromosome-linked IAP (XIAP) has been a focus of investigation, in part because it is the most frequently overexpressed in human cancer [70]. Small-molecule screening has identified a class of polyphenylureas that disrupt XIAP/caspase-3 interaction and reverse XIAP-mediated inhibition of caspase-3 [71,72]. These XIAP inhibitors activate caspases and induce apoptosis alone and sensitize apoptosis induction by cytotoxic agents and TRAIL in a variety of cancers, including lung cancer, melanoma, leukemia, lymphoma, and pancreatic cancer [53,73–77]. While these XIAP antagonists suppress tumor cell growth both in vitro and in vivo, they appear to cause minimal toxicity to a variety of normal tissues [71,72,76], which makes them ideal candidates for anti-cancer drugs.

XIAP proteins have also been implicated in regulating apoptosis in CSCs. Similar to cFLIP, multiple XIAP proteins are expressed at higher levels in the glioblastoma stem-like cell compartment compared to non-CSC-like cells [78]. In a radioresistant glioblastoma cell line, small-molecule XIAP inhibitors were shown to dramatically enhance mitochondria-dependent apoptosis induced by gamma-irradiation [79]. Similarly, in TRAIL-resistant glioma cell lines, treatment with proteasome inhibitors sensitized TRAIL-induced apoptosis by downregulation of XIAP [80]. Importantly, these studies demonstrated that inhibition of XIAP, either by small molecules or through protein degradation, induced apoptosis in both CSCs and non-CSC-like cells without impairing normal cells of the central nervous system.

In conclusion, apoptotic inhibitory proteins are frequently upregulated in cancer cells, particularly in CSCs. Despite increasing the threshold of apoptosis induction by conventional chemotherapeutic agents and DR ligands, elevated expression of these proteins also provides novel promising targets for anti-cancer therapy.
Targeting Bcl-2 family proteins in CSCs

The Bcl-2 family proteins play a pivotal role in mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis. Bcl-2, the founder member of the family, was initially identified in human follicular B-cell lymphoma at the chromosomal breakpoint of t(14:18) as a proto-oncogene [81,82]. Unlike many oncogenes, Bcl-2 does not promote proliferation, but instead blocks cell death and enhances tumor cell survival. During development, Bcl-2 expression generally correlates with the developmental state and lifespan of the cell. In the lymphoid lineage, for example, Bcl-2 is expressed at high levels in pro-B cells and naïve mature B cells and downregulated in pre-B cells, immature B cells, and germinal center B cells, stages where negative selection occurs [83]. Within the myeloid compartment, Bcl-2 is expressed in early myeloid progenitors but downregulated during myeloid differentiation, correlating with the short lifespan of mature myeloid cells [84]. Studies using Bcl-2 transgenic mice showed that overexpression of Bcl-2 protected hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) from various apoptosis-inducing stimuli. Bcl-2 overexpression leads to increased HSC numbers in the bone marrow and enhances HSC reconstitution capacity in vivo [85,86]. However, loss-of-function studies suggest that Bcl-2 is not required for HSC maintenance, but important for lymphoid cell survival [87,88]. Similarly, Bcl-xL, another member of the Bcl-2 family, is required for survival of immature lymphocytes and erythroid progenitors [89–91]. In contrast, Mcl-1 is dispensible for HSC survival [92]. Mcl-1 is expressed at high levels in HSC, and its expression is regulated by growth factors such as stem cell factor [92,93]. Conditional deletion of Mcl-1 leads to complete ablation of bone marrow and premature lethality of mice due to massive loss of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells [92].

Members of the Bcl-2 pro-survival family are overexpressed in many cancers and believed to contribute to tumor initiation, maintenance, progression, and resistance to therapy [94]. The Bcl-2 and Mcl-1 gene locus, for instance, are frequently amplified in multiple cancers [95]. Knockdown of either Bcl-2 or Mcl-1 caused a more pronounced reduction in proliferation among Bcl-2- or Mcl-1-amplified cancer cells compared with non-amplified cancer cell lines. And the decreased proliferative rates were associated with increased apoptosis. Knockdown of Bcl-2 alone or in combination with other cytotoxic drugs has therapeutic effects in several cancer models, including leukemia, lung cancer, prostate cancer, melanoma, and brain tumors [96–100]. The protein synthesis inhibitor omacetaxine exhibits moderate anti-cancer activity in various hematologic malignancies [101]. In CML, omacetaxine induces apoptosis in LSCs via suppressing the expression of Mcl-1 and BCR-ABL [102,103]. As expected, however, because Mcl-1 is essential for the survival of normal HSC [92], omacetaxine also kills normal hematopoietic progenitor cells [103], underlying the side effects of the drug.

Several BH3-mimetic small molecules have been identified that either broadly inhibit multiple anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins or selectively inhibit individual members. Among these, ABT-737 is the first that has been widely studied as a pan inhibitor binding to Bcl-2, Bcl-xl, and Bcl-w [104]. ABT-737 exhibits single-agent-mechanism-based killing of lymphoma and small-cell lung carcinoma cell lines, as well as patient-derived primary tumor cells. In animal models of tumor xenograft, ABT-737 causes regression of established tumors and improves survival [104].

Overexpression of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins is detected in the CSC pool of several tumors. The percentage of Bcl-2-positive cells is highest in the CD34+ LSCs, and Bcl-2 protein levels correlate with CD34 positivity [105]. Moreover, high Bcl-2 expression is associated with poor response of leukemia to chemotherapy [105–107]. In glioblastoma, Bcl-2 and Bcl-xl are expressed at higher levels in the CD133+ glioma stem cell population compared to the CD133− counterparts [78]. Bcl-2 is also overexpressed in CD44+/CD24− subpopulation of breast cancer that is enriched with CSCs [108]. Treatment with the pan Bcl-2 inhibitor ABT-737 induces apoptotic cell death in glioblastoma cells and enhances their sensitivity to chemotherapeutic drugs and death ligand TRAIL [109]. However, apoptotic induction by ABT-737 is less efficient in glioma stem cells compared to non-CSC-like population. The death resistance is caused at least partially by overexpression of Mcl-1 in the CSC population, as knockdown of Mcl-1 increased the efficacy of ABT-737 to trigger cell death in these cells [109]. The essential role of Mcl-1 in protecting glioma stem cells from cell death induction is further supported by another study using a natural BH3 mimic gossypol. In contrast to ABT-737 that inhibits Bcl-2, Bcl-xl, and Bcl-m, gossypol also inhibits Mcl-1. Treatment with gossypol results in dramatically more cell death than other BH3 mimetics including ABT-737 [110]. Knockdown of Mcl-1 further enhances cell death induction by gossypol and ABT-737.

The therapeutic potential of targeting anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins has been extensively explored in hematopoietic malignancy. In a Myc-driven leukemia mouse model, all six members of the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family were shown to accelerate leukemia development [111]. Expression of the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 members did not increase cellular proliferation rate, suggesting that the effect was mainly attributed to pro-survival effects [111]. The tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) imatinib is effective in killing the majority of CML cells but unable to eradicate quiescent CML stem cells and has limited activity against blast crisis (BC) CML. BCR-ABL was shown to activate Mcl-1 in both primary CML and CML-derived cell lines. Knockdown of Mcl-1 synergizes with imatinib to induce cell death of CML cells [112]. Although the levels of Bcl-2, Bcl-xl, and Mcl-1 are comparable between quiescent and proliferating CD34+ population of BC CML, inhibition of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xl by ABT-737 in TKI-resistant BC CML promotes apoptosis in quiescent CD34+ CML stem cells [113]. Combination treatment with ABT-737 and imatinib synergistically induces death of both proliferative and quiescent CD34+ progenitor cells from TKI-resistant BC CML patients.

The feasibility of targeting Bcl-2 protein family in LSCs is further supported by two recent studies of human primary myeloid leukemia. In the first study, the expression of Bcl-2, Bcl-xl, and MCL-1 was found higher in BC LSCs (Lin−CD34+/CD38+) than in chronic-phase CML cells and normal progenitor cells [114]. When transplanted into immunodeficient mice, the BC LSCs engraft in bone marrow as well as other niches, but bone marrow-engrafted BC LSCs are more quiescent and resistant to TKI than cells engrafted in other sites. Moreover, marrow-engrafted BC LSCs express a pro-survival gene signature. Treatment with TKI increased the expression of Bcl-2 and MCL-1 and the proportion of quiescent BC LSCs, suggesting that upregulation of these pro-survival factors contributed to TKI resistance. In line with this, a pan Bcl-2 inhibitor, sabutoclax, was able to reduce LSC survival and disease burden in transplanted mice without impairing normal progenitor cells.
In addition, sabutoclax markedly increased the sensitivity of BC LSCs to TKI treatment [114]. In the second study, a functional approach was applied to identify LSCs from primary AML [115]. AML stem cells exhibited low levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS), were metabolically inactive, and rely on oxidative respiration for energy biogenesis. Compared to ROS-high AML cells, the ROS-low cells were more quiescent and have higher engraftment efficiency when transplanted into immunodeficient mice. In addition, the expression of Bcl-2, but not other Bcl-2 family members, was overexpressed in ROS-low AML cells. Interestingly, when ROS was used to distinguish normal CD34⁺ progenitors, there was no difference in Bcl-2 expression, suggesting that upregulation of Bcl-2 in ROS-low AML cells correlates with malignant transformation. The study further demonstrated that inhibition of Bcl-2 with small molecules severely impairs oxidative phosphorylation in AML cells [115]. In response to Bcl-2 inhibition, ROS-high leukemic cells and normal CD34⁺ progenitor cells robustly upregulate glycolytic metabolism to maintain energy production. In contrast, ROS-low AML cells are unable to induce glycolysis and maintain ATP generation, resulting in elevation of mitochondrial ROS. Consequently, inhibition of Bcl-2 disrupts mitochondrial energy production, induces apoptosis selectively in ROS-low LSCs, and impairs AML engraftment in NSG mice without impacting normal hematopoietic cells [115]. Similar results were observed in a transgenic mouse model of AML, where Bcl-2 inhibition with ABT-737 targeted primitive LSK and progenitor cells and prolonged survival of diseased animals [116]. The results are consistent with prior studies showing that bulk and stem-like AML cells are more dependent on BCL-2 than normal HSCs, and are therefore more sensitive to BCL-2 inhibition [87,88,117]. Therefore, these reports provide a strong rationale for inhibition of BCL-2 as a therapeutic approach to myeloid malignancies.

Targeting energy metabolism in CSCs

In addition to altered expression of apoptotic genes, transformed cells also display metabolic abnormalities (Fig 4). Cancer cells preferentially rely on a high rate of glycolysis followed by lactate fermentation even in the presence of oxygen, a phenomenon known as aerobic glycolysis or the Warburg effect [118]. Targeting the glycolytic pathway as a cancer therapeutic strategy has been actively investigated. The exact metabolic pathways in CSCs, however, have not been fully characterized. Current literature suggests that CSCs of different cancer types may have distinct metabolic requirements.

For some tumors, the CSCs population shares similar metabolic modes as the bulk of tumor cells. CSCs from human osteosarcoma cells, for instance, express higher levels of LDHA, produce more lactate, and consume less oxygen compared to parental cells, suggesting that osteosarcoma CSCs more preferentially rely on glycolytic metabolism [119]. Accordingly, glucose withdrawal or inhibition of glycolysis leads to ATP deprivation and cell death induction in osteosarcoma CSCs, while oxidative phosphorylation inhibitors do not cause the same effect. [119]. CSCs of glioma derived from rat also display a glycolytic phenotype [120]. Treatment with the glycolysis inhibitor dichloroacetate (DCA) drives metabolic shifting from glycolysis to glucose oxidation in glioma CSCs but not neural stem cells [120]. In this model, DCA induces FOXO3 and p53 expression, which further upregulates pro-apoptotic BH3-only proteins. Although unable to trigger apoptosis alone, DCA increases the sensitivity of glioma CSCs to etoposide or irradiation and leads to Bax-dependent apoptosis. Interestingly, in vivo...
treatment with DCA alone moderately inhibits tumor growth in a mouse xenograft model. While etoposide alone has no effect, the combination treatment of DCA and etoposide dramatically suppressed tumor formation [120].

In contrast to osteosarcoma, an accumulating body of evidence suggests that CSCs of many tumors appear to depend on oxidative metabolism. As discussed above, inhibition of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins in human AML stem cells disrupts oxidative phosphorylation and induces apoptosis of these cells [115], suggesting that intact mitochondrial respiration is required for the maintenance of LSCs. Similarly, CSCs of the human glioma cell line U87 appear to be less glycolytic than differentiated glioma cells [121]. Glioma CSCs consumed less glucose and produced less lactate while maintaining higher ATP levels. Interestingly, glioma CSCs express both PKM1 and PKM2 isoforms of pyruvate kinase. Inhibition of either glycolysis or oxidative phosphorylation, however, has minimal effect on energy production in glioma CSCs, suggesting that U87 CSCs are able to use multiple pathways to produce energy and targeting individual metabolic pathway in glioblastoma may spare CSCs [121]. The insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA binding protein 2 (IMP2) plays an essential role for the maintenance of CSCs in primary glioblastoma [122]. IMP2 binds several mRNAs that encode mitochondrial respiratory chain complex subunits and interacts with complex I proteins. Depletion of IMP2 in gliomaspheres impairs oxidative phosphorylation and energy production without affecting glycolysis, resulting in compromised gliomasphere formation and tumorigenicity. Inhibition of mitochondrial respiration by rotenone mimics the effects of IMP2 depletion. However, inhibition of anaerobic glycolysis does not impair clonogenic potential of glioma CSCs [122]. Disrupting mitochondrial energy metabolism was also shown to impact ovarian CSCs that are resistant to conventional chemotherapy. Treatment of ovarian CSCs with an isoﬂavone derivative depresses mitochondrial function leading to reduction of ATP, decreased expression of Cox-1 and Cox-IV, loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, and increased ROS levels [123]. The mitochondrial dysfunction activates AMPKα1–mTOR pathway and ERK–Bax pathway, resulting in caspase-independent cell death [123].

Recently, several studies have identiﬁed an important role of fatty acid oxidation (FAO) in the maintenance and function of normal and cancer stem cells, particularly in the hematopoietic tissue. For example, Samudia et al [124] reported that pharmacological inhibition of FAO inhibits proliferation and sensitizes human leukemia-initiating cells to apoptosis induction by the Bcl-2 antagonist ABT-737. FAO was shown to regulate the activity of Bak-dependent mitochondrial permeability transition [124]. In a more recent study, Ito et al [125] demonstrated that normal HSC function was dependent on FAO downstream of promyelocytic leukemia (PML)–peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor δ (PPAR-δ) pathway. Genetic depletion of this pathway or pharmacological interference of FAO impaired HSC asymmetric division and resulted in exhaustion of stem cell pool [125]. As a tumor suppressor, PML was shown to play an essential role in the maintenance of LSCs in CML [126]. Whether the PML–PPAR-δ–FAO pathway is essential for the maintenance of LSCs has remained to be addressed. However, these studies suggest that targeting FAO represents a promising therapeutic strategy for leukemia by eradicating LSCs.

Therefore, in contrast to proliferating cancer cells that exclusively depend on glycolytic metabolism for energy and biomass generation, CSCs appear to be more versatile in terms of metabolic dependency. While CSCs of certain cancers preferentially rely on aerobic glycolysis, other CSCs employ mitochondrial respiration for energy production. Targeting metabolism in CSCs has to be designed based on the specific metabolic needs of individual cancer.

Restoring the p53 pathway in CSCs

p53 is a tumor suppressor that has been dubbed “the guardian of the genome”. In response to cellular stresses, such as hypoxia and DNA damage, p53 protein is stabilized and induces cell cycle arrest, senescence, or apoptosis [127]. Therefore, activation of the p53 pathway prevents the accumulation of genetic mutations and maintains the genomic stability of the cell. The tumor-suppressor function of p53 has been supported by both human and animal studies. Deletion of p53 in mice leads to the development of spontaneous tumors [128,129]. Mutation of the p53 gene has been detected in the majority of human cancers [130,131], and restoration of p53 activity retards cancer cell growth [132]. p53 also regulates stem cell self-renewal and differentiation. For instance, upon DNA damage, p53 activation induces differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs) by suppressing Nanog expression [133]. Similarly, p53 suppresses proliferation and self-renewal of neural stem cells [133,134]. In the hematopoietic system, p53 regulates HSC quiescence during steady-state hematopoiesis [135].

Given the role of p53 in regulating normal stem cell survival, self-renewal, and proliferation, it has been speculated that p53 may also regulate CSC function. It has been shown that inactivation of p53 promotes self-renewal and transformation of myeloid progenitor cells expressing oncogenic Kras into LSCs in mice [136]. p53 loss has been shown to inhibit differentiation of multiple cancers, including lung, skin, mammary, and colorectal cancers [137]. In ErbB2-driven breast tumor, CSCs undergo higher frequency of self-renewal divisions than normal mammary stem cells [138]. In this model, p53 is not mutated, but its activity is attenuated [138]. Interestingly, targeted mutation of p53 in mammary tissue also drives the symmetric self-renewal division of mammary stem cells and increases stem cell frequency in the premalignant mammary gland [138]. Pharmacological restoration of p53 promotes asymmetric division in breast CSCs and inhibits tumor growth [138]. The deacetylase SIRT1, known to down-modulate p53, is overexpressed in CD34+ human CML stem cells that are resistant to TKI treatment [139]. Pharmacological inhibition of SIRT1 or SIRT1 knockdown increases apoptosis selectively in CML stem cells and inhibits their growth in vitro and in vivo. This effect is mediated through increased acetylation and activation of p53 [139]. In colon cancer cell line, deletion of p53 leads to enrichment of dye-effluxing CSCs and restoration of p53 enhances the cytotoxicity of chemotherapeutic drugs by depletion of the CSC population [140].

In addition to loss of function mutations, some cancer cells express a mutant p53 that has oncogenic activities (gain-of-function). In breast cancer, for instance, depletion of a mutant p53 gene reverses the oncogenic potential of cancer cells by inducing a normal cell-like phenotype characterized by the acini-like morphology [141]. The oncogenic effect of p53 mutants appears to be
mediated, at least partially, through activation of the mevalonate metabolic pathway, as deletion of mutant p53 dramatically downregulates genes of mevalonate pathway and inhibition of these genes also reverses the breast cancer phenotype [141]. Interestingly, mevalonate metabolism has been identified as a key regulator of breast CSCs. Treatment with small-molecule inhibitors of key enzymes in this pathway decreases breast CSC population both in vitro and in primary breast cancer xenografts [142]. The mevalonate pathway also appears to have a role in supporting LSCs as inhibition of this pathway by lovastatin selectively kills LSCs over normal stem and progenitor cells in the setting of MLL-AF9-induced AML [143]. The effects on LSCs by lovastatin were through inhibition of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase [143].

These studies suggest that p53 mutation contributes to CSC maintenance and cancer drug resistance and targeting p53 pathway might be a beneficial adjuvant to conventional therapies in eliminating CSCs.

**Targeting the PI3K pathway in CSCs**

The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway plays important roles in multiple cellular processes, including proliferation, differentiation, metabolism, apoptosis, and migration. aberrantly upregulated PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling has been observed in many cancers and is implicated in tumor resistance to conventional therapies [144]. A number of chemical compounds have been identified that inhibit either individual or multiple components of this pathway and display anticancer activity in various neoplasms [144]. Recent studies indicate that this signaling pathway also plays a key role in CSC biology.

The PI3K pathway has been demonstrated to regulate survival of medulloblastoma CSCs residing in the perivascular niche following radiation [145]. Inhibition of Akt signaling sensitizes CSCs in the perivascular region to radiation-induced apoptosis. The PI3K/Akt pathway is highly active in brain tumor stem cells. The anticancer drug sorafenib inhibits proliferation of glioblastoma cells in culture. This effect depends partially on the inhibition of PI3K/Akt and induction of apoptosis via downregulation of Mcl-1 [146]. Importantly, sorafenib appears to have a selective action on glioblastoma stem cells. Sorafenib treatment causes glioblastoma cell differentially, sorafenib appears to have a selective action on glioblastoma induction of apoptosis via downregulation of Mcl-1 [146]. Importantly, sorafenib inhibits proliferation of glioblastoma cells in culture. This effect depends partially on the inhibition of PI3K/Akt and induction of apoptosis via downregulation of Mcl-1 [146]. Importantly, sorafenib appears to have a selective action on glioblastoma stem cells. Sorafenib treatment causes glioblastoma cell differentiation, resulting in reduced clonogenic ability in vitro and tumorigenic potential in vivo [146]. In patient-derived glioma, inhibition of PI3K by LY294002 or PI-103 reduces the number of clonogenic stem cells [147]. But such an effect is due to inhibition of proliferation, but not apoptosis induction. Interestingly, PI3K inhibitors induce autophagy of CSCs in response to gamma-irradiation. Combination treatment with the autophagy inhibitor chloroquine and PI3K inhibitors significantly promotes cell death induction by irradiation [147]. PI3K inhibitors also synergize with oncolytic herpes simplex virus to induce autophagy of glioblastoma stem cells, but not human astrocytes in vitro, and significantly extend survival of mice with brain tumor xenografts, as compared with either agent alone [148].

Constitutive activation of PI3K is required for survival of some AML blasts [149]. Interestingly, mTOR activation is required for long-term, but not short-term survival of AML cells. Inhibition of mTOR with rapamycin synergizes with etoposide to decrease engraftment of primary AML cells in NOD/SCID animals, suggesting that mTOR regulates survival of AML stem cells and that targeting of mTOR may be combined with cytotoxic agents to eradicate the LSC compartment [150]. Genetic ablation in mice of either mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) or mTORC2 prevented T-cell leukemia initiated by loss of the upstream inhibitor of PI3K, Pten, likely through effects on leukemia-initiating cells [151,152]. In a mouse model of CML, Nakamura et al [153] revealed a critical role of TGF-β–FOXO3a in maintaining LSC survival. TGF-β regulates Akt activation in LSCs and controls FOXO3a localization. Depletion of FOXO3a induced apoptosis of LSCs, and combination of TGF-β inhibition, FOXO3a deficiency, and imatinib treatment led to efficient depletion of CML LSCs both in vitro and in vivo. It should be noted that in addition to the anti-apoptotic effects, FOXOs also act as differentiation blockade. In AML, for instance, low Akt and high FOXOs activities were detected in the LSC population [154]. Activation of Akt or inhibition of FOXOs drove LSC myeloid differentiation and impaired leukemogenic activity [154].

In most tumor types, however, Akt activation drives cancer cell proliferation. Multi-kinase inhibitor E1210 inhibits phosphorylation of Akt, mTOR, and several downstream mediators of the mTOR pathway and induces both apoptosis and autophagy in several cancer cell lines [155]. Importantly, E1201 suppresses self-renewal and tumorigenic capacity of CSCs, as revealed by decreased tumor-sphere formation in vitro and reduced tumor burden in vivo [155]. Plant-derived agents such as rottlerin and harmine hydrochloride were shown to induce apoptosis and autophagy in prostate and glioblastoma CSCs through inhibition of Akt and mTOR phosphorylation [156,157]. Treatment of glioblastoma with harmine hydrochloride inhibits self-renewal and promotes differentiation of glioblastoma stem cells, resulting in decreased neurosphere formation and tumorigenicity [157]. In colorectal cancer, the PPZA/AKT/mTOR pathway is activated in the CSC population. Inhibition of AKT/mTOR by silybin suppresses CSC maintenance and tumor-sphere formation in vitro and impedes tumor growth in vivo [158]. The AKT/cyclin D1/Cdk4 survival signaling pathway is activated in radioresistant CSCs of glioblastoma and liver cancer cell lines [159]. Inhibition of AKT, cyclin D1, or Cdk4 was shown to suppress CSC maintenance and tumor-sphere formation in vitro and impede tumor growth in vivo [158]. The AKT/cyclin D1/Cdk4 survival signaling pathway is activated in radioresistant CSCs of glioblastoma and liver cancer cell lines [159]. Inhibition of AKT, cyclin D1, or Cdk4 was shown to suppress DNA damage repair in response to radiation and leads to reduction of CSCs, suggesting combination of radiation and targeting of the AKT/cyclin D1/Cdk4 pathway as an effective therapeutic strategy [159].

**Conclusions and future directions**

It has been experimentally demonstrated in many tumors that CSCs are on the top of a tumor cell hierarchy participating in tumor initiation and, perhaps, progression. Like normal tissue stem cells, CSCs have the capacity to self-renew and repopulate the entire tumor population. Accumulating evidence suggests that in some tumors, CSCs are more quiescent than the bulk of tumor cells [160] and less sensitive to therapies that are designed to target proliferating cells [32]. The escape of cells from conventional therapy usually leads to disease relapse, characterized by decreased sensitivity to previous treatment and enrichment of the CSC pool. Thus, effective anticancer therapy not only requires elimination of the bulk of tumor mass but also depends on eradication of CSCs. Although induction of apoptosis is a common
mechanism of anticancer drugs, most of these agents do not target the apoptotic machinery directly. Given that the apoptosis pathway is frequently impaired in cancer cells and CSCs, restoration or activation of cell death pathway via targeting components of the apoptotic machinery has been an attractive strategy for cancer therapy. CSCs exhibit increased resistance to apoptosis induction, either due to defects in the death receptor pathway or through an impaired mitochondrial-dependent pathway. Downregulation of death receptors, overexpression of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family members and apoptosis inhibitory proteins, increased PI3K signaling, and defective p53 function all contribute to increased resistance to cell death induction in CSCs. In recent pre-clinical studies, engagement of death receptors by recombinant ligands, inhibition of anti-apoptotic proteins by small-molecule antagonists or interfering RNAs, restoration of p53 pathway, inhibition of PI3K pathway, and disruption of energy metabolism have all been shown to induce cell death in CSCs that are resistant to conventional therapy. Although beyond the scope of the review, research over the past several years has begun to uncover roles of microRNAs in cancer and CSCs. Dysregulated microRNAs have been implicated in CSC function and tumorigenesis [161]. Similar to protein-coding genes, two types of microRNAs have been described in terms of their function in cancer, tumor-suppressor microRNAs, and oncogenic microRNAs. Ectopic expression of tumor-suppressor microRNAs and inhibition of oncogenic microRNAs have been both reported to generate anti-tumor effects. For example, overexpression of let-7, a tumor-suppressor microRNA, was shown to inhibit cell proliferation and induce cell death in lung and breast cancers [162–164]. In breast cancer, let-7 reduces self-renewal and promotes differentiation. In contrast, antagonizing miR21 and miR-182 using oligonucleotides induced apoptosis and suppressed tumorigenesis in breast cancer and melanoma, respectively [165,166]. Inhibition of miR-181 in hepatocellular carcinoma led to a reduction in the CSC compartment [167].

Therefore, activation of apoptosis programs in tumor cells, particularly in CSCs, appears to be a potent adjuvant to current anti-cancer regimens and may open new directions for effective treatment of cancer. For clinical application, however, many questions remain to be addressed before novel therapies can be developed to specifically targeting CSCs. For example, do CSCs from different tumor types share common mechanisms of apoptotic resistance? What is the cellular and molecular basis for abnormal apoptotic programs in CSCs? What is the role of tumor microenvironment in regulating CSCs survival and function? Can CSCs be eliminated by targeting the tumor microenvironment? If CSCs initially respond to apoptosis-targeted therapies, do they evolve and develop resistance, as seen in conventional chemotherapy? Do CSCs and their normal tissue counterparts share common apoptotic regulation? What are the molecular mechanisms that determine the differential sensitivities between CSCs and normal stem cells, as discussed above in multiple tissues? How to combine conventional chemotherapy with targeted therapy to elicit maximum cell death in CSCs without harming normal tissues? Answers to these questions will not only provide deeper insights into the pathophysiology of cancer, but reveal novel therapeutic opportunities to treat this devastating disease.
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