Ubiquitin-Activated Interaction Traps (UBAITs) identify E3 ligase binding partners
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Abstract

We describe a new class of reagents for identifying substrates, adaptors, and regulators of HECT and RING E3s. UBAITs (Ubiquitin-Activated Interaction Traps) are E3-ubiquitin fusion proteins and, in an E2- and E2-dependent manner, the C-terminal ubiquitin moiety forms an amide linkage to proteins that interact with the E3, enabling covalent co-purification of the E3 with partner proteins. We designed UBAITs for both HECT (Rsp3, Itch) and RING (Psh3, RNF126, RNF168) E3s. For HECT E3s, trapping of interacting proteins occurred in vitro either through an E3 thioester-linked lariat intermediate or through an E2 thioester intermediate, and both WT and active-site mutant UBAITs trapped known interacting proteins in yeast and human cells. Yeast Psh3 and human RNF126 and RNF168 UBAITs also trapped known interacting proteins when expressed in cells. Human RNF168 is a key mediator of ubiquitin signaling that promotes DNA double-strand break repair. Using the RNF168 UBAIT, we identify H2AZ—a histone protein involved in DNA repair—as a new target of this E3 ligase. These results demonstrate that UBAITs represent powerful tools for profiling a wide range of ubiquitin ligases.
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Introduction

Ubiquitin is a post-translational modifier that determines the fate of a large fraction of all proteins in eukaryotic cells, and the specificity of ubiquitylation is controlled by hundreds of ubiquitin ligases (> 600 in human cells) [1]. The complex network of enzyme–substrate interactions that mediate ubiquitylation is only partially characterized, with the vast majority of E3s remaining essentially uncharacterized with respect to substrates and functions. Unraveling this network has the potential to yield many insights into cellular regulation and disease pathways and provide innumerable opportunities for developing clinically useful modulators of specific E3s or classes of E3s [2].

E3 enzymes interact either directly or indirectly, via adaptor proteins, with their substrates. In human cells, E3s are supported by two E1 enzymes (Uba1 and Uba6) and approximately 60 E2 enzymes [3,4]. The E1 enzymes activate ubiquitin in an ATP-dependent reaction and transfer ubiquitin to E2 proteins, catalyzing formation of a thioester bond between the terminal carboxyl group of ubiquitin and the active-site cysteine of the E2s [5]. RING E3s are the most numerous type of ubiquitin ligases, representing approximately 95% of all E3s encoded by human cells [1]. The RING domain forms a platform for recruiting a ubiquitin-charged E2 protein. The E3 facilitates transfer of ubiquitin from the E2 to one or more lysines of an E3-bound substrate [6,7] and can activate the E2 for discharge of ubiquitin [8–11]. For simple RING E3s, substrate recruitment is mediated by other domains within the E3 protein, while for more complex E3s, such as CRLs, other proteins within the multi-protein complex mediate substrate recognition (e.g., F-box proteins). RING E3s control a wide range of cellular processes and play a key role in a wide range of human disease states, including cancer and the innate immune response to viral infections (Mdm2 and TRIM5, respectively) [12,13].

HECT E3s are relatively few in number, with five in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and 28 human HECT E3s. They are defined by a approximately 350 amino acid C-terminal catalytic domain (the HECT domain) and range in size from approximately 90 kDa to over 500 kDa. The regions N-terminal to the HECT domain are involved in substrate recognition, localization, and regulation [14]. Structures of isolated HECT domains have revealed that the catalytic domain consists of an approximately 250 amino acid N-terminal lobe, containing the E2 binding site, and an approximately 100 amino acid C-terminal lobe, containing the active-site cysteine [15–17]. The HECT domain binds ubiquitin-charged E2s, ubiquitin is transferred to the active-site cysteine of the E3, and the E3 directly catalyzes substrate ubiquitylation.

In some cases, substrate recognition by HECT E3s is mediated by obvious protein–protein interaction modules, such as WW domains. WW domains define the Nedd4 subfamily of HECT E3s, which includes S. cerevisiae Rsp5 and human Nedd4, Nedd4L, Itch, and others [18]. WW domains are 30–45 amino acids in length and recognize proline-containing “PY” motifs (PPXY consensus), with most Nedd4 family substrates, substrate adaptors, or regulatory...
proteins containing one or more PY motifs [19–22]. At least half of all human HECT E3s do not have obvious protein–protein interaction modules, and a basis for substrate recognition is not readily apparent. Such an example is human E6AP/Ube3A, which is involved in HPV-associated cervical cancer and Angelman syndrome, a severe neurologic disease [23–25]. Other HECT E3s involved in important aspects of human biology include Huw1/Arf-BP1, Herc1, Herc2, and Herc5 [26]. A third class of E3s, the RBR E3s, have biochemical characteristics of both RING and HECT E3s, in that they contain a RING domain that recruits an E2 enzyme, yet they also contain an active-site cysteine that accepts ubiquitin from the E2 and transfers it to substrate proteins [27–29]. Important members of this class of E3s include Parkin, HOIP, and HHARI [30].

Many genetic and biochemical approaches have been employed to identify substrates and regulatory proteins of E3s, including yeast two-hybrid assays, co-immunoprecipitation approaches, and protein–protein interaction arrays [31–35]. While each of these has its own advantages and disadvantages, we sought a method that would be applicable to a wide range of E3s and would overcome the challenges posed by potentially weak or transient enzyme–substrate interactions. We describe a method, based on E3-ubiquitin fusion proteins, to covalently trap E3s to their substrates and other interacting proteins.

Results

HECT E3 UBAITs

The C-terminus of ubiquitin is critical for all chemistry involved in ubiquitin activation and conjugation. Ubiquitin molecules with small N-terminal epitopes are generally very good substrates in conjugation reactions (e.g., 6×His-Ub [36]), and we envisioned that a very large N-terminal epitope—consisting of a approximately 100-kDa HECT E3 with a flexible linker connecting it to ubiquitin—might also be competent for activation by the E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme and subsequent transfer to an E2 enzyme (Fig 1A; species i and ii, respectively). If so, we predicted, based on the structure of a HECT domain in complex with an E2-ubiquitin complex [37], that the active-site thiolate of the HECT domain might be able to attack the Ub–E2 thioester bond in an intramolecular reaction, forming a thioester-linked protein lariat (Fig 1A, species iii). The reaction of a substrate lysine with the lariat protein would then yield an amide-thioester-linked protein lariat structure (iii); when an amine group of a target protein attacks the thioester bond, a stable amide linkage is formed between the UBAIT and the target protein (iv). If the UBAIT does not form a lariat structure, as in the case of the active-site mutant (v), an amine group of a target protein can potentially directly attack the E2 thioester bond, also resulting in a covalent UBAIT-target protein complex (v).

Characterization of Rsp5 UBAITs in vitro

Saccharomyces cerevisiae Rsp5 is one of the most extensively characterized HECT E3s, with many identified substrates, adaptors, and regulatory proteins [38,39]. We purified bacterially expressed Rsp5 UBAITs with a 12-amino acid linker (consisting of GA dipeptide repeat) between the E3 and ubiquitin (Fig 1B). UBAITs consisted of either wild-type Rsp5 fused to wild-type ubiquitin (WT UBAIT), the Rsp5 active-site C-to-A mutant fused to wild-type ubiquitin (C-A UBAIT), or wild-type Rsp5 fused to a non-conjugatable form of ubiquitin (ΔGG UBAIT, deleted of the terminal GG residues). The UBAITs were 32P-labeled at an N-terminal kinase recognition epitope and assayed for their ability to form E1 and E2 thioester complexes. As shown in Fig 2A, the WT UBAIT formed an E1 thioester in the presence of E1 alone (lane 2), and the addition of both E1 and E2 (lane 4), but not E2 alone (lane 3), resulted in the appearance of a band of the predicted size for a UBAIT–E2 adduct. The E1 and E2 adducts were greatly diminished in the presence of DTT (lanes 6 and 8), although residual E2 adducts remained (lane 8), likely a reflection of E2 auto-conjugation (i.e., auto-UBAITylation). These results indicate that the ubiquitin moiety of the wild-type Rsp5 UBAIT is competent for activation by E1 and transfer to E2. The Rsp5 C-A UBAIT behaved identically to the WT UBAIT in the E1 and E2 thioester assays, while the ΔGG UBAIT, as expected, did not form any E1 or E2 adducts (H.F. O’Connor and J.M. Huibregtse, unpublished observation). No extra bands with the WT UBAIT, corresponding to a potential lariat thioester, were detected in the
presence of E1 and E2, although the lariat would not necessarily migrate differently than the UBAIT protein, itself.

To determine whether the Rsp5 UBAIT was capable of covalently trapping targets in vitro, the WT, C-A, and ΔGG UBAITs were combined with E1 and E2 proteins and a 32P-labeled target protein, either human Wbp2 or yeast Sna3 K125 (a single-lysine derivative of Sna3). Both Wbp2 and Sna3 contain PY motifs and interact with the WW domains of Rsp5 [40]. As expected, Rsp5 (with no ubiquitin fusion) efficiently ubiquitylated both substrates in the presence of free ubiquitin (lane 3 of Fig 2B and C), while the WT UBAIT, but not the ΔGG, formed a stable covalent conjugate of the predicted size to both target proteins (compare lanes 4 and 6 of Fig 2B and C). Conjugation formation with the WT UBAIT was shown to be dependent on the PY motif of Sna3 (Appendix Fig S1). Higher molecular weight conjugates corresponded in size to multi-UBAITylated targets, which are likely to arise due to binding of the PY motif of a UBAIT-target conjugate to a WW domain of a second UBAIT molecule. The apparent inefficiency of the substrate UBAITylation reaction compared to the substrate ubiquitylation catalyzed by Rsp5 is due, at least in part, to the fact that the UBAIT reaction is single-turnover, whereas Rsp5 rapidly depletes free substrate by polyubiquitylating many molecules of the substrate over a relatively short time period [40]. Interestingly, the C-A mutant UBAIT also formed conjugates to both target proteins (lane 5, Fig 2B and C), although less efficiently than with WT UBAIT, particularly for Sna3. This suggests that the Rsp5 UBAIT is capable of trapping targets by both mechanisms illustrated in Fig 1A: either through nucleophilic attack of an E3-Ub lariat intermediate, or by direct attack of the E2 thioester. Based on the relative efficiency of the WT UBAIT to C-A UBAIT reactions, the lariat mechanism appears to be the predominant mechanism in vitro.

We examined the effect of the linker length on target trapping in vitro by expressing Rsp5 UBAITs containing a GGSG flexible linker sequence [33,41,42] repeated 1, 3, or 5 times, resulting in linker lengths of 4, 12, or 20 amino acids. As shown in Appendix Fig S2, all three WT UBAITs supported target trapping in vitro, with the longer linker lengths supporting more efficient conjugation to Wbp2. Therefore, a range of linker lengths appears to be permissible for the Rsp5 UBAIT, with a longer linker being generally more favorable.

**In vivo validation of Rsp5 UBAITs**

To determine whether UBAITs were able to trap substrates or interacting proteins in vivo, N-terminal TAP-tagged Rsp5 UBAITs (WT, C-A, and ΔGG, with a 12-amino acid GA-repeat linker) and a TAP-only control protein (consisting of two copies of protein A and the calmodulin-binding protein) were expressed from a galactose-regulated multicopy plasmid in a wild-type RSP5 strain. An anti-TAP immunoblot showed a similar distribution of high molecular weight conjugates for both the WT and C-A UBAITs (Appendix Fig S3), suggesting that the non-lariat mechanism of UBAITylation may predominate in vivo. The ΔGG UBAIT showed no apparent conjugates, as expected. Appendix Fig S4A shows that TAP-tagged Rsp5 (non-UBAIT) was expressed similarly to the WT and ΔGG UBAITs, indicating that the ubiquitin moiety did not result in destabilization of the UBAITs. In addition, the Rsp5 UBAITs were expressed at a similar level to the endogenous Rsp5 protein (H.F. O’Connor, N. Lyon and J.M. Huibregtse, unpublished observation), as reported previously for expression of other Rsp5 variants expressed from the same plasmid [43].

To identify the Rsp5 UBAIT conjugates, expression of the TAP-UBAIT proteins was induced for three hours, cell lysates were prepared, and the proteins were affinity-purified via the protein A tag on IgG sepharose. Bound proteins were then denatured with SDS and heat and, after dilution of the SDS, purified on IgG sepharose a second time. The final products were subjected to SDS–PAGE, and gel slices were excised from above the migration point of the TAP-Rsp5 proteins (approximately 130 kDa). In-gel tryptic digestions...
were performed, and peptides were identified by LC-MS/MS. Results were analyzed using Thermo Proteome Discoverer software with high stringency filters. Proteins with two or more spectral counts from the WT UBAIT sample and greater than or equal to five-fold higher spectral counts in the WT UBAIT sample compared to the TAP control were considered “positive hits” and potential Rsp5-interacting proteins. Table 1 shows the total spectral counts for known Rsp5-interacting proteins that met the filtering criteria in two biological replicates (25 total proteins), and Appendix Table S1 shows an additional 57 proteins that also met filtering criteria and have not previously been reported to interact with Rsp5. Among the known Rsp5-interacting proteins were regulatory proteins (Rup1, Ub2p), substrates (Mup1, Zrt1, Sec7, Rbp1, Mga2), and adaptor proteins (Art5, Rvs167, Lsb1, Bsd2) (Table 1). The potential novel interacting proteins included Sul2 (a sulfur permease), two plasma membrane-associated t-SNAREs (Sso1 and Sso2), and Rvs161, a lipid raft protein that interacts with Rvs167 [44], a known Rsp5 target protein (Appendix Table S1).

Further examination of the Rsp5-interacting proteins showed that they fell into two groups with respect to UBAIT trapping. The first group contained proteins that were isolated with both the WT and C-A UBAITs, but not the ΔGG UBAIT. There were no proteins that were isolated solely with the WT UBAIT, further indicating that the predominant mechanism of trapping in vivo was the non-lariat mechanism. Both known and potentially novel Rsp5 interacting proteins were present in this group, as well as a subset of ubiquitin E2 proteins (Ubc4, Ubc6, and Ubc13), which were likely to have been isolated as a result of E2 auto-UBAITylation (as observed in vitro; Fig 2A). The second group of proteins was, surprisingly, isolated with all three UBAITs, including the non-conjugatable ΔGG UBAITs, suggesting that these were isolated via non-covalent binding to the Rsp5 proteins. Many of these proteins were well-characterized Rsp5-interacting proteins, including Bul1, several Art proteins (Art1, Art3, Art4, Art6, Art8), and Rbp1 [32,45–48]. In general, the spectral counts for these conjugation-independent hits were among the highest of any of the proteins identified, consistent

Table 1. Known Rsp5-interacting proteins isolated with Rsp5 UBAITs in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACC#</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>MW [kDa]</th>
<th>Experiment 1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Experiment 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>C-A</td>
<td>ΔGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12502</td>
<td>ART1</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P47029</td>
<td>ART3</td>
<td>117.1</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q02805</td>
<td>ART4</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P53244</td>
<td>ART5</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P36117</td>
<td>ART6</td>
<td>102.5</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12734</td>
<td>ART8</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P38356</td>
<td>BSD2</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P48524</td>
<td>BUL1</td>
<td>109.1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P54005</td>
<td>DIA1</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q03212</td>
<td>EAR1</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P52821</td>
<td>L8B1</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P40578</td>
<td>MGA2</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P50276</td>
<td>MUP1</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q06449</td>
<td>PIN3</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P38212</td>
<td>RCR1</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P04505</td>
<td>RBP1</td>
<td>191.5</td>
<td>5,490</td>
<td>4,191</td>
<td>9,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12242</td>
<td>RUP1</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P39743</td>
<td>RVS167</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11075</td>
<td>SEC7</td>
<td>226.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P14359</td>
<td>SNA3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P32343</td>
<td>SSH4</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P15731</td>
<td>UBC4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P01476</td>
<td>UBP2</td>
<td>146.3</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P54787</td>
<td>VPS9</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P32804</td>
<td>ZRT1</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total spectral counts of known Rsp5-interacting proteins isolated with the Rsp5 WT UBAIT, C-A UBAIT, ΔGG UBAIT, and empty TAP control (TAP). Proteins shown passed the following filtering criteria in two biological replicates (Experiments 1 and 2): two or more spectral counts for the WT sample and greater than or equal to five-fold more counts in WT sample compared to TAP control. Identified proteins were analyzed for the presence of PY-like motifs [94].

Further examination of the Rsp5-interacting proteins showed that they fell into two groups with respect to UBAIT trapping. The first group contained proteins that were isolated with both the WT and C-A UBAITs, but not the ΔGG UBAIT. There were no proteins that were isolated solely with the WT UBAIT, further indicating that the predominant mechanism of trapping in vivo was the non-lariat mechanism. Both known and potentially novel Rsp5 interacting proteins were present in this group, as well as a subset of ubiquitin E2 proteins (Ubc4, Ubc6, and Ubc13), which were likely to have been isolated as a result of E2 auto-UBAITylation (as observed in vitro; Fig 2A). The second group of proteins was, surprisingly, isolated with all three UBAITs, including the non-conjugatable ΔGG UBAITs, suggesting that these were isolated via non-covalent binding to the Rsp5 proteins. Many of these proteins were well-characterized Rsp5-interacting proteins, including Bul1, several Art proteins (Art1, Art3, Art4, Art6, Art8), and Rbp1 [32,45–48]. In general, the spectral counts for these conjugation-independent hits were among the highest of any of the proteins identified, consistent
with these proteins being among the most abundant and/or robust Rsp5-interacting proteins. It is likely that these proteins were able to re-bind non-covalently to the Rsp5 proteins in the second IgG pull-down, after denaturation and subsequent dilution of the SDS. To test this possibility, an additional experiment was performed with the WT and ΔGG UBAITs in parallel with TAP-Rsp5 (non-UBAIT) as a control. As shown in Appendix Table S2, the same proteins that were isolated with the ΔGG UBAIT were also isolated with Rsp5 (non-UBAIT). In contrast, those that had only been isolated with the WT and CA UBAITs (and not the ΔGG UBAIT) were not isolated with TAP-Rsp5 (Appendix Table S3). As most of these non-covalently interacting proteins were bona fide Rsp5-interacting proteins, further attempts to eliminate them during purification were not made.

A potential caveat to the UBAIT approach is the use of the UBAIT as a source of ubiquitin in reactions catalyzed by other cellular E3s. We therefore determined whether Rsp5 (non-UBAIT) could utilize, in vitro, a RING E3 UBAIT (based on Psh1; discussed further below) as the source of ubiquitin in a ubiquitylation reaction. As shown in Appendix Fig S5, Rsp5 could indeed use Psh1-Ub as a source of ubiquitin to modify Wbp2 in vitro. This suggests that many other cellular E3s might also be capable of using a large ubiquitin fusion protein in a substrate ubiquitylation reaction. At one extreme, one might therefore have expected to isolate the complete cellular “ubiquitinome” with the Rsp5 UBAIT. This clearly was not the case, however, as 39% of all covalently trapped proteins (16 out of 41) represented known Rsp5 interactors. A possible explanation for these seemingly contradictory results is that the UBAITylated products of other cellular E3s would be distributed among a very large number of substrates, such that the signal from any given protein would be very low, whereas the signal from the covalently trapped Rsp5 substrates would be concentrated among a relatively small number of proteins. The fact that the Rsp5 WT and CA UBAITs isolated a broad range of known interacting proteins (as did other UBAITs; below) implies that the potential use of the fusion proteins as a source of ubiquitin is not a significant impediment to the approach.

**Itch UBAITs in human cells**

Itch is one of nine human Nedd4 family HECT E3s. These E3s have many functions and binding partners in human cells and play a role in ubiquitin-mediated endocytosis and immune regulation [49,50]. TAP-Itch UBAITs (WT and ΔGG) were expressed by transfection in HEK293T cells to determine whether UBAITs could trap targets in human cells. Cell lysates were prepared 36 h post-transfection, and conjugates were purified on IgG sepharose. An anti-TAP immunoblot showed a distribution of high molecular weight conjugates to the WT UBAIT, but not the ΔGG UBAIT (Appendix Fig S6). As with Rsp5, the ubiquitin moiety did not destabilize Itch in human cells (Appendix Fig S4B), and a distribution of conjugates emanated upward from the Itch WT UBAIT, but not the ΔGG. Total UBAIT conjugates were analyzed by mass spectrometry as described above for Rsp5. Three biological replicates of the experiment were performed.

Table 2 shows total spectral counts for proteins that co-purified specifically with the Itch WT UBAIT in all three replicates. Out of the 18 proteins that met the filtering criteria, seven were known Nedd4 family interactors (38%). These proteins included Stam and Hrs, which function in endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT) pathway [50,51]. Alix, also part of the ESCRT pathway, was isolated, which has been reported to interact with other Nedd4 family members [52,53]. Wbp2, which interacts with the WW domains of Nedd4 family proteins, was also isolated [54]. Unlike the Rsp5 UBAITs, there were no proteins that co-purified with both the WT and the ΔGG Itch UBAITs.

**RING E3 UBAITs**

The results presented above indicated that the Rsp5 UBAITs trapped targets in cells via a non-lariat mechanism, independent of the HECT catalytic cysteine. This suggested that the approach could be applied to other types of E3s, including RING E3s, which do not function via a thioester intermediate. This was first tested with Psh1, a yeast RING E3. Psh1 is responsible for ubiquitylation of Cse4/CENP-A, a histone H3 variant. Cse4 is normally localized to centromeric chromatin, and it is ubiquitylated and degraded when it is mislocalized to euchromatin [55,56]. Cse4 is so far the only known substrate of Psh1. It was also recently shown that Psh1 interacts with Sp16, a component of the FACT (Facilitates Chromatin Transcription/Transactions) complex, and that this interaction is necessary for Psh1 to ubiquitylate Cse4 in cells but not in vitro [57]. To determine whether the Psh1 UBAIT was capable of covalently trapping Cse4 in vitro, Psh1 UBAITs (WT and ΔGG) were combined with E1 and E2 (UbC1) proteins and 32P-labeled Cse4. As predicted, the WT UBAIT, but not the ΔGG, formed a covalent conjugate to Cse4 (Appendix Fig S7A).

TAP-tagged Psh1 UBAITs (WT and ΔGG) were expressed in yeast. TAP-Psh1 UBAITs were expressed at similar levels to TAP-Psh1, indicating that the ubiquitin moiety did not result in destabilization of the fusion protein (Appendix Fig S4C). Conjugates were purified and analyzed as described above. Three biological replicates were performed, and a total of 151 proteins were common to all three replicates (Table 3). As predicted, both Cse4 and Sp16 were isolated specifically with the WT Psh1 UBAIT, but not the ΔGG UBAIT. Two other histone proteins (H3 and H4) were also isolated. While these are not thought to be ubiquitylated by Psh1, they have been shown previously to co-immunoprecipitate with Psh1, likely as a result of their indirect association with Cse4 in nucleosome complexes [56]. Their covalent “trapping” to Psh1 may therefore reflect their proximity to Cse4 in nucleosome complexes. Two proteasome proteins were also trapped (Rpn10 and Pup3), although the significance of this is not known. It should be noted that while the spectral counts for both Sp16 and Cse4 were very low, the fact that both proteins were identified in three independent experiments points to the specificity and sensitivity of the UBAIT method for profiling RING E3s.

**RNF126**

RNF126 is a human RING ubiquitin ligase involved in degradation of mislocalized and aggregation-prone proteins. This pathway is dependent on the interaction of RNF126 with the Bag6 complex.
UBAIT was capable of covalently trapping Bag6 (Bag6/Trc35/Ubl4A) [58]. To determine whether the RNF126 proteins and a 32P-labeled truncated form of Bag6 (amino acids 1–167) were combined with E1 and E2 (Ubc1) proteins and a 32P-labeled truncated form of Bag6 (amino acids 1–167). As predicted, the WT UBAIT, but not the ΔGG UBAIT, formed a stable covalent conjugate to Bag6 (Appendix Fig S7B).

As seen with Rsp5, a higher molecular weight conjugate above the expected single WT UBAIT-target conjugate was observed and corresponds in size to a di-UBAItylated Bag6 molecule. RNF126 UBAIT conjugates were purified from transfected HEK293T cells (Appendix Fig S4D). As shown in Appendix Table S4, the RNF126 WT UBAIT trapped Bag6 in three biological replicates, and the spectral counts were among the highest of all the proteins isolated [58,59]. The other two components of the Bag6 complex (Trc35, Ubl4A) were not isolated, possibly because of their relative proximity to RNF126 in the Bag6 complex. Many additional proteins were also isolated with the WT UBAIT, which may reflect the large number of quality control substrates of the Bag6 complex.

We further tested the ability of UBAITs to identify RING E3 targets using human RNF168. RNF168 is a key mediator of DNA double-strand break signaling and repair [60,61], and RNF168 mutations are associated with Riddle syndrome, a human disorder characterized by immunodeficiency and radiosensitivity [62–64]. TAP-RNF168 and TAP-RNF168 UBAITs (WT and ΔGG) were expressed by transfection in HEK293T cells (Fig 3A). Immunoblotting confirmed expression of all three proteins and formation of conjugates to only the WT UBAIT (Fig 3B). Transfected cells were treated with ionizing radiation, and purification and mass spectrometry analysis of the UBAITs identified histone H2A only in the WT UBAIT sample (Table 4). Ubiquitylation of H2A by RNF168 is a vital signaling event in the DNA damage response and represents the most well-established target of RNF168 [65,66]. In addition, histone H2AZ, a member of the H2A family of histone variants, was identified as a potentially novel RNF168 substrate. H2AZ is recruited to sites of DSBs to facilitate repair by non-homologous end joining [67]. To validate H2AZ as a target, we first overexpressed RNF168 in HEK293T cells. This led to an additional H2AZ ubiquitylation product of H2AZ, beyond the previously characterized monoubiquitylated species generated by the RING1B ligase (Fig 3C) [68]. RNF168 also ubiquitylated H2AZ in vitro in H2AZ-containing reconstituted nucleosome core particles (NCPs) (Fig 3D). The D94A mutation in H2AZ disrupts an acidic patch that is required for repair activity. The analogous mutation in H2A blocks recruitment of RNF168 to nucleosomes [69], and this mutation also prevented in vitro ubiquitylation of H2AZ in NCPs (Fig 3D). Together, these results validate H2AZ as a target of RNF168 and demonstrate the utility of the UBAIT approach for identification of novel ubiquitin ligase targets.

**Discussion**

In vitro and in vivo results presented here validate Ubiquitin-Activated Interacting Traps (UBAITs) as useful tools for identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACC#</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>MW [kDa]</th>
<th>Experiment 1 WT</th>
<th>Experiment 1 ΔGG</th>
<th>Experiment 1 TAP</th>
<th>Experiment 2 WT</th>
<th>Experiment 2 ΔGG</th>
<th>Experiment 2 TAP</th>
<th>Experiment 3 WT</th>
<th>Experiment 3 ΔGG</th>
<th>Experiment 3 TAP</th>
<th>PY-like motif</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q8WU/M4</td>
<td>ALIX</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P39060-2</td>
<td>COL18A1</td>
<td>135.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8WJN3</td>
<td>CPSF6</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9UBN7</td>
<td>HDAC6</td>
<td>131.3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O14964</td>
<td>HRS</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2292</td>
<td>KPN2</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7C386</td>
<td>LRK2</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12004</td>
<td>PCNA</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P24928</td>
<td>POLR2A</td>
<td>217.0</td>
<td>1,782</td>
<td>3,086</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>3,727</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>1,840</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O14828</td>
<td>SCAMP3</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15758</td>
<td>SLC1A5</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P08195-2</td>
<td>SLC3A2</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q92783-2</td>
<td>STAM</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P22314</td>
<td>UBA1</td>
<td>117.8</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOAV1</td>
<td>UBA6</td>
<td>117.9</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P45974-2</td>
<td>USP5</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q93008-1</td>
<td>USP9X</td>
<td>290.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K7EJ0</td>
<td>WBP2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9HOM0-6</td>
<td>WPW1</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total spectral counts of targets identified with Itch WT UBAIT, ΔGG UBAIT, and empty TAP control (TAP). Experiment was performed in triplicate. Data was filtered according to the following parameters: proteins with four or more spectral counts for the WT sample and greater than or equal to five-fold more counts in WT sample compared to TAP control. Identified proteins were analyzed for the presence of PY-like motifs [54]. Known Nedd4 family binding partners are shown in bold.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACC#</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>MW [kDa]</th>
<th>Experiment 1</th>
<th>Experiment 2</th>
<th>Experiment 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>ΔGG</td>
<td>TAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19454</td>
<td>CKA2</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P36012</td>
<td>CSE4</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P34216</td>
<td>EDE1</td>
<td>150.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P02309</td>
<td>HISTONE H4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P61830</td>
<td>HISTONE H3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P02293</td>
<td>HISTONE H2B</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25369</td>
<td>LSBS</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12499</td>
<td>NOP58</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25451</td>
<td>PUP3</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q02792</td>
<td>RAT1</td>
<td>115.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P03872</td>
<td>REP2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10964</td>
<td>RPA190</td>
<td>186.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P38886</td>
<td>RPN10</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P21790</td>
<td>SIA1</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P32558</td>
<td>SPT16</td>
<td>118.6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Proteins identified in Psh1 UBAITs in Saccharomyces cerevisae.

Total spectral counts for proteins common to all three biological replicates of Psh1 WT UBAIT, ΔGG UBAIT, and empty TAP control (TAP). Data were filtered according to the following parameters: proteins with two or more spectral counts for the WT sample and greater than or equal to five-fold more counts in WT sample compared to TAP control. Known Psh1-interacting partners are shown in bold.

of substrates and other interacting proteins for both HECT and RING ubiquitin ligases. While the approach was conceived to be specific for HECT ubiquitin ligases (e.g., Rsp5 and Itch), the lack of dependence on the active-site cysteine suggested that the same approach could be applied to RING ubiquitin ligases, and this was validated with three different RING E3s (Psh1, RNF126, and RNF168). Furthermore, the RNF168 UBAIT identified histone H2AZ as a new target of this E3.

Thirty percent of the proteins that were isolated with the Rsp5 UBAIT and passed filtering criteria were known Rsp5-interacting proteins, and these represented approximately 32% of all proteins reported to interact with Rsp5 (Appendix Table S5). Of the known interacting proteins that were covalently trapped by the Rsp5 WT and C-A UBAITs in yeast cells, many were substrate adaptor proteins, including six out of the ten Art proteins [70], rather than classical ubiquitylation substrates. For example, Art5 was trapped, but the Rsp5/Art5 target, ltr1 [71], was not. Art1 was also trapped, and while the Rsp5/Art1 target Mup1 was trapped, Can1 and Lyp1 were not [70]. A bias toward adaptor proteins might simply reflect the steady-state distribution of Rsp5 with its associated proteins in cells. Also, the yeast experiments reported here were performed under standard growth conditions, and the lack of identification of some targets might reflect the physiologic state of the cells. For example, the ubiquitylation of Fur4 by Rsp5 occurs predominantly under nutrient deprivation [72,73].

Several potential novel targets of Rsp5 were also identified. Bmh2, a 14-3-3 protein, which has previously been shown to regulate the Rsp5 adaptor Bul1 [74], was isolated, and Ino1 (inositol 3-phosphate synthase) and Pdi1 (a protein disulfide isomerase) were also previously isolated in large-scale proteomic analyses of Rsp5-associated proteins [75,76]. Sul2 (a sulfur permease), two plasma membrane-associated t-SNAREs (Sso1 and Sso2), and Rvs161, a lipid raft protein, were also isolated, consistent with the role of Rsp5 in membrane protein trafficking. Other membrane-associated interacting proteins included Vti1 and Sac1, which are Golgi membrane proteins, and Pil1, which is localized to the mitochondrial membrane [77–79].

Endocytic pathway proteins were well represented among the Itch UBAIT conjugates in human cells, including Alix and SCAMP3, which have been reported to interact with other Nedd4 family members [52,53]. Expression of Itch UBAITs in other cell types may yield target proteins related to the function of Itch in immune regulation. For example, Bcl-10, JunB, and Cbl-b, which were not isolated with the Itch UBAIT, are Itch targets that play a role in immune responsiveness [80–83].

The UBAIT approach with RING E3s was validated with yeast Psh1 and human RNF126 and RNF168. Psh1 associates with Spt16, and this interaction is required for recognition and ubiquitylation of Cse4/CENP-A [57]. The UBAIT trapped both Spt16 and Cse4, the only two known interactors of Psh1, which points to the sensitivity and specificity of the approach. The Psh1 UBAIT also trapped other histone proteins, which may reflect the proximity of those proteins to Cse4 in nucleosome complexes. This highlights the potential usefulness of UBAITs as tools to map intracellular locale or proximity to other proteins. While the RNF126 UBAIT trapped its best-characterized associated protein, Bag6, it also trapped a large number of previously uncharacterized RNF126-interacting proteins. We speculate that at least some of these may reflect quality control client proteins of the Bag6/RNF126 complex [58]. The RNF168 UBAIT trapped histone H2A, the best-characterized substrate of this ligase, as well as H2AZ, a previously unknown substrate of RNF168. The nucleosome acidic patch region of H2AZ was required for...
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proteins to capture the product of F-box protein-mediated
protein interactions is a key feature of the UBAIT approach. Other
and function to H2AZ compared to other H2A family members [86].
contains an extended acidic patch which may confer unique structure
RNF168-dependent ubiquitylation, and although this region has been
shown to promote ubiquitylation of H2A [66,69,84,85], H2AZ contains an extended acidic patch which may confer unique structure and function to H2AZ compared to other H2A family members [86].
The potential to capture potentially weak and transient protein–protein interactions is a key feature of the UBAIT approach. Other approaches for characterizing E3s have been recently reported, including one that fuses UBA ubiquitin binding domains to F-box proteins to capture the product of F-box protein-mediated ubiquitylation events [34]. While the UBA fusion approach was designed to specifically characterize ubiquitin ligases, the UBAIT approach is not necessarily limited to characterizing ubiquitin ligases. It has the potential to be used as a general protein–protein interaction tool, where virtually any protein of interest (POI) could be fused to ubiquitin as a way of trapping its interacting partners. This assumes that the POI does not have to be a ubiquitin ligase in order to trap interacting proteins, and preliminary experiments with RING UBAITs deleted of the RING domain suggest this is the case (H.F. O’Connor and J.M. Huibregtse, unpublished observation). Similar to the UBAIT concept, the “NEDDylator” system and the BioID biotinylation-based proximity ligation approaches can potentially be adapted for any POI [33,34]. The NEDDylator is a POI-Ubc12 fusion protein, which can be added to cell lysates or expressed in cells along with a tagged form of NEDD8. A subsequent tagged NEDD8 immunoprecipitation identifies NEDDylated conjugates, including the targets of the POI. In the BioID system, the addition of exogenous biotin and a promiscuous BirA-POI fusion protein biotinylates proximal binding partners. The principal advantage of the UBAIT method over these is that the reaction product is covalently trapped to the POI, as opposed to being a reaction product that is no longer in complex with the bait protein; this may increase the confidence that a target protein was indeed trapped as a result of close proximity to the bait protein.
The UBAIT system may be further optimized by modification of either the linker peptide connecting the POI to ubiquitin or the purification epitope. While we have not yet observed significantly different outcomes based on linker length or linker sequence for HECT or RING UBAITs, it is conceivable that specific UBAITs might have unique requirements. The UBAITs described here have an N-terminal purification epitope in addition to the C-terminal linker-ubiquitin epitope. Incorporation of the affinity purification into or adjacent to the linker sequence would allow one-step C-terminal epitope tagging which would be useful for genomic tagging of individual genes in yeast or human cells. Finally, while HECT E3s share a common architecture, with the HECT domain located at the C-terminus of the protein, monomeric RING E3s are structurally very diverse. Of the three RING E3s examined here, two have their RING domain at their extreme N-terminus (Psh1 and RNF168), while the RING domain of RNF126 is located near the C-terminus of the protein. The fact that all three RING UBAITs successfully isolated interacting proteins suggests that UBAIT functionality is not limited in any obvious way by domain

Table 4. Proteins identified in RNF168 UBAIT samples in human 293T cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACC#</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>MW [kDa]</th>
<th>Experiment 1</th>
<th>Experiment 2</th>
<th>Experiment 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q9H1R3</td>
<td>MYLK2</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12004</td>
<td>PCNA</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0C55</td>
<td>HISTONE H2AFZ</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P04908</td>
<td>HISTONE H2A type 1-B/E</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total spectral counts of targets identified with RNF168 WT UBAIT, AGG UBAIT, and empty TAP control (TAP) following ionizing radiation treatment. Experiment was performed in triplicate. Data were filtered according to the following parameters: proteins with four or more spectral counts for the WT sample and greater than or equal to five-fold more counts in WT sample compared to TAP control (see Appendix Table S4 for complete unfiltered data). Identified proteins were analyzed for the presence of PY-like motifs [94].
organization of the protein of interest. In summary, we have developed a novel approach for characterizing proteins that interact with HECT and RING ubiquitin ligases. The “trapping” aspect of this approach is likely to be particularly important when applied to ligases and other classes of enzymes or proteins that engage in weak or transient protein–protein interactions.

Materials and Methods

DNA constructs and recombinant protein expression

*Escherichia coli* expression vectors and the production of Ubc1, Sna3–K125, Sna3–PAA, and wild-type Rsp5 and Wbp2 proteins were previously described [40,87,88]. The MYC-RNF168 construct was previously described [69], and bacterial expression vectors for core histones (human H2B, H3, and H4) in pET21 and pPROEX HTa-RNF168 (residues 1–113) were previously described [89]. Itch was PCR-amplified from *Mus musculus* cDNA. Itch UBAITs, Rsp5 (GA)₆-repeating dipeptide UBAITs, and Psh1 UBAITs were generated by overlap extension PCR. Rsp5 (GGSG)₁,₃,₅ were generated by homologous recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain FY56 (MATα hisα4-912 lys2-1286 ura3-52) [45]. RNF168 UBAITs were generated using the one-step cloning vector for generating E3-Ub fusion proteins (Appendix Fig S8). Further cloning information and primer sequences are provided below.

ORFs were sub-cloned into pGEX-6p-1 and pGEX-6p-K for bacterial expression of GST fusion proteins [40], and were sub-cloned into pYES2-NTAP or pcDNA3-TAP for *in vivo* expression in yeast and human cells, respectively [87,90]. pYES2-NTAP constructs were transformed into S. cerevisiae FY56. GST fusion proteins were expressed in *E. coli* by standard methods and affinity-purified on Glutathione-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare). Proteins expressed from pGEX-6p-1 vector were cleaved from GST by using PreScission protease (GE Healthcare) under manufacturer-recommended conditions, and these proteins were used in ubiquitin-thioester and ubiquitylation reactions. The His₉-tagged RNF168 (1–113) construct was expressed in Rosetta 2 (DE3) pLysS cells and purified over a Ni-NTA column (Qiagen) as described [69].

Overlapping extension PCR

Rsp5 (GA)₆ UBAIT WT and ΔGG were generated by overlapping PCR. Using Rsp5 wild-type and C-A mutant plasmids as template [91], round 1A product was generated with primers Rsp5F (GGGGCGG CCGCTC ATCTGA GACGGA GGACCA GG) and Rsp5UbGA6R (GCTGGA GCGGGT GCAGGG GCCCAG ATTTTC) vector in *S. cerevisiae* respectively (round 1A) and ITCHUBLX1R (GGGTCTT CTCTGG TCTCAG TCTCAG TCTCAG) or hUbWTR or yUbGGR to generate Psh1 UBAIT WT and Psh1 UBAIT ΔGG, respectively.

Itch UBAIT WT and ΔGG were generated using ITCHF (CCCGGT CCTCTG AAGAGT JJACGG ACCAGA TCAGCC CTCTGC TCCAAA TCCCTT CCCTT) (round 1A) and ITCHUBLX1F (GAAGGA TTTGGA CAAGAG ACCGGA GATCTG ACCAGA ACCACC CTCTTG TCCAAA TCCTTC) or hUbWTR or yUbGGR to generate Psh1 UBAIT WT and Psh1 UBAIT ΔGG, respectively.

Homologous recombination

Rsp5 (GGSG)₁,₃,₅ UBAITs WT and ΔGG were generated by homologous recombination in a two-round process with the pYES2-NTAP vector in *S. cerevisiae*. Firstly, wild-type or ΔGG mutant ubiquitin PCR product was amplified withGGGSC encoding oligonucleotides at the 5′ end and 3′–60 bp overhangs complementary to the desired site of recombination in pYES2-NTAP at the 5′ end (RILX1F (CCTCCG GCCGAC TTGATT AGTGAT CCGGCG GCCGCG AGCTTG GGTGGT TCTGGT CAGATC TTCGTG AAGACC) and RILX3F (AAATTCC ACTCTC CCGGGG CACTCT ATGCGT AGCTTG CCGGCG GCCGCG CTGGGT) with hUbWTR or yUbGGR) or hUbGGR (GGGGCG GCCGCT ATCCTG AACCAC CAAGGA GAGGAC GTGGGT TCTGGT CAGATC TTCGTG AAGACC) (round 1B, human ubiquitin wild-type or ΔGG mutant, respectively). Round 2 PCR was generated using 1A and 1B as template and primers ITCHXL1F and hUbWTR or yUbGGR to generate Itch UBAIT WT and Itch UBAIT ΔGG, respectively.

Restriction digest of 100 ng pYES2-NTAP with BglII was performed overnight and transformed, along with 600 ng of GGSG-Ub PCR product [92]. Following selection on SC-URA agar plates, DNA was extracted from yeast cells. Positive clones were verified by electroporation of the yeast plasmid DNA into *E. coli*, followed by sequence analysis. In a second round, Rsp5 was amplified by PCR along with overhangs complementary to pYES2-NTAP at the 5′ end (R2RSP5F (GAAAAT CTCATC CTCCGG GCGGGG GGTCCG) and TCGTCA AGACTT) (GGSG) for (GGSG)₁,₃,₅ respectively) and 3′ end (R1WITUBR (GAATAG TGAAGC TGATCG ACGGTG ACGGTG ACGGTG GGTGGT TCTGGT CAGATC TTCGTG AAGACC) and R2RSP5X1R (GCCGCG GCCGCG CTGGGT TCTGGT CAGATC TTCGTG AAGACC) for (GGSG)₁,₃,₅ respectively) and at the 3′ end (GGGGCGG CCGCTC ATCTGA GACGGA GGACCA GG) for (GGSG)₁,₃,₅ respectively). Restriction digest of 100 ng pYES2-NTAP with BglII was performed overnight and transformed, along with 600 ng of GGS-Ub PCR product [92]. Following selection on SC-URA agar plates, DNA was extracted from yeast cells. Positive clones were verified by electroporation of the yeast plasmid DNA into *E. coli*, followed by sequence analysis. In a second round, Rsp5 was amplified by PCR along with overhangs complementary to pYES2-NTAP at the 5′ end (R2RSP5F (GAAAAT CTCATC CTCCGG GCGGGG GGTCCG) and TCGTCA AGACTT) (GGSG) for (GGSG)₁,₃,₅ respectively) and 3′ end (R1WITUBR (GAATAG TGAAGC TGATCG ACGGTG ACGGTG ACGGTG GGTGGT TCTGGT CAGATC TTCGTG AAGACC) and R2RSP5X1R (GCCGCG GCCGCG CTGGGT TCTGGT CAGATC TTCGTG AAGACC) for (GGSG)₁,₃,₅ respectively). Restriction digest of 100 ng pYES2-NTAP with BglII was performed overnight and transformed, along with 600 ng of GGSG-Ub PCR product [92]. Following selection on SC-URA agar plates, DNA was extracted from yeast cells. Positive clones were verified by electroporation of the yeast plasmid DNA into *E. coli*, followed by sequence analysis. In a second round, Rsp5 was amplified by PCR along with overhangs complementary to pYES2-NTAP at the 5′ end (R2RSP5F (GAAAAT CTCATC CTCCGG GCGGGG GGTCCG) and TCGTCA AGACTT) (GGSG) for (GGSG)₁,₃,₅ respectively) and 3′ end (R1WITUBR (GAATAG TGAAGC TGATCG ACGGTG ACGGTG ACGGTG GGTGGT TCTGGT CAGATC TTCGTG AAGACC) and R2RSP5X1R (GCCGCG GCCGCG CTGGGT TCTGGT CAGATC TTCGTG AAGACC) for (GGSG)₁,₃,₅ respectively).
One-step UBAIT cloning vector

A one-step cloning vector was constructed to allow a UBAIT to be constructed with one round of PCR and ligation. Wild-type and ΔG mutant ubiquitin were amplified using UBVX1F (AATAAT GCGGCG GCACGG GTATCT GGTGAC ATTTTC TGGACG ACT) and UBWTX1R (CTTCT TCTAGA TCAACG ACCTCT TAGCCT TAGCAG AAGATG TAAGG) or UBGGVX1R (CTTCTT TCTAGA TCAACG ACCTCT TAGCCT TAGCAG AAGATG TAAGG C) respectively. Following NotI-XbaI restriction digest, the PCR product was cloned in to pCDNA3-TAP to make pCDNA3-TAP-VX1UbWT and pCDNA3-TAP-VX1UbGG. The vector allows the protein of interest to be cloned with the restriction sites 5’ to NotI, such as BamHI and EcoRI at the 5’ end and NotI at the 3’ end to yield a P01-AAAAGSG-Ub fusion protein. The RNF126 UBAIT WT and RNF126 UBAIT ΔG were generated by amplifying RNF126 by PCR from HEK293T cDNA with RNF126VX1F (ATAGTC GAATTC ATGGCC GAGGCG TCGCCG CA) and RNF126VX1R (GAGCTA GCGGCC GCCGAG TTGCTT) and cloning into pCDNA3-TAP-VX1UbWT and pCDNA3-TAP-VX1UbGG. The RNF168 UBAIT WT and RNF168 UBAIT ΔG were generated by amplifying RNF168 by PCR from HEK293T cDNA with RNF168VX1F (TTTGAA TCCATG GGCGAC GAATTA CACAAC CG) and RNF168VX1R (GCGGCC GCCGAG TTGCTT) and cloning into pCDNA3-TAP-VX1UbWT and pCDNA3-TAP-VX1UbGG. The internal EcoRI restriction digestion site present in RNF168 cloning gene was initially mutated using RNF168 MUTF (GTGAGT GCCGAGA TACCCT CATCCT GCACAG) and RNF168 MUTR (CTCGAT CAGATT TTCTGG AGTTTA AGGGAG AAGCCG AGATAC).

Construction of non-UBAIT-encoding plasmids

Cse4 was amplified by PCR from S. cerevisiae genomic DNA using Cse4F (GGGAAT TTGTTG TCCGCC ACCACT TCCACC TCCCGA ACCCCC ACCGGA CCCTCC) and Cse4R (GCGGCC GCCGAG TTGCTT) to generate pGEX-6p-6-K-Cse4. Bag6 was amplified by PCR from HEK293T cDNA using Bag6F (ATAGTC GAATTC ATGGCC GAGGCG TCGCCG CA) and Bag6R (CATCTA GCCGCG GCACCA GCCGCTT) to generate pGEX-6p-K-Bag6 (1–167 amino acids).

RNF126 (no Ub fusion) was generated by amplifying RNF126 by PCR from HEK293T cDNA with RNF126VX1F (ATAGTC GAATTC ATGGCC GAGGCG TCGCCG CA) and RNF126VX1R (GAGCTA GCGGCC GCCGAG TTGCTT) and cloning into pCDNA3-TAP. Itch (no Ub fusion) was generated by PCR with ITCHF (CCGGAT CCTCTG ACAGTG GACCAC AGCTT) and ITCHR (GCGGCC GCCGCTT ACTCTG ATGCAA ATGCTC) and cloning into pCDNA3-TAP. Psh1 (no Ub fusion) was generated by PCR from S. cerevisiae FY56 genomic DNA using PSH1F (GGGGAA TTCATG GGCGAC GAATTA CACAAC CG) and PSH1R (GCGGCC GCCGCTT ACTCTG ATGCAA ATGCTC) and cloning into pYES2-NTAP.

Human H2AZ cDNA was cloned into a N-terminal FLAG-tagging vector using Gateway LR reaction. Human H2AZ cDNA was cloned using H2AZF (ATGGCT GCGGCT AAGGCT GC) and H2AZR (TTAGAC GCTCTG CTGGTG TCC) into Gateway compatible entry vector (pDONR201) and subcloned into bacterial expression vector pDEST17 harboring N-terminal 6× His tag. S′-biotin-tagged 601 nucleotide sequence to be used for reconstitution of nucleosomes was generated as previously described [69].

Mutations in H2AZ (for D94A) were generated by site-directed mutagenesis following standard protocols with H2AZD94AF (GCAAAT TCTCAT TCTGAG AGCTGA AGAATT GGATTC TCT) and H2AZD94AR (GAGAGA ATCCAA TTCTTC AGCTCC AGCAAT AGCAAG TTG). Final plasmids employed were pCDNA3-TAP-H2AZWT, pCDNA3-TAP-H2AZD94A, and pCDNA3-TAP-H2AZD94A-Bag6.

Biochemical assays

Ubiquitin-thioester reactions were performed as described previously [87]. Reaction mixtures were incubated for 15 min for thioester assays at room temperature. For substrate ubiquitylation assays, 32P-labeled proteins, generated as described previously [40], were incubated with 5 μg/ml of Rsp5 or Rsp5 UBAIT in the presence of 25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM DTT, 50 μg/ml of ubiquitin (Sigma), 1.25 μg/ml of human E1 (Boston Biochem), 1.25 μg/ml of UbC1 (40 μl total volume), and 10 mM ATP. All reactions were initiated by the addition of ubiquitin or UBAIT and stopped by the addition of 4× SDS–PAGE loading buffer either lacking or containing 0.4 M DTT. Reaction mixtures were incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Ubiquitylated products were analyzed using Typhoon FLA 9500 (GE Healthcare).

For RNF168 in vitro ubiquitylation assays, 2.5 μg of recombinant mononucleosomes was incubated in a 50-μl reaction buffer containing 50 μM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 μM ZnOAc, 1 mM DTT, 30 nM ubiquitin-activating enzyme E1 (Boston Biochem), 1.5 μM ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UbcH5a (Boston Biochem), 4 μM RNF168 (1–113), 22 μM ubiquitin (Boston Biochem), and 3.33 mM ATP at 30°C for 4 h [69].

Two-step TAP-tagged protein purification in yeast cells

For Rsp5 UBAITs, 200 ml of overnight cultures was diluted to 1.5 l with synthetic complete medium without uracil (SC-Ura) and with 2% sucrose. After 3 h at 30°C, 2% galactose was added, and cultures were incubated for an additional 3 h. Cells were pelleted at 3,210 × g for 5 min and then lysed in 800 μl NP-40 lysis buffer (1% Nonidet P-40, 100 mM Tris, pH 7.9, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 100 μM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 4 μM leupeptin, 0.3 μM aprotinin, and 10 mM N-ethylmaleimide) by bead beating for six cycles for 2 min (2 min on ice between cycles). About 10 ml of RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM DTT, 100 μM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 4 μM leupeptin, 0.3 μM aprotinin, and 10 mM N-ethylmaleimide) was added to the lysate and the lysate cleared by centrifugation at 4°C for 20 min. For the first round of purification, cell lysate was transferred to a new tube and immunoprecipitated with 300 μl IgG sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) at 4°C for 2 h. Beads were collected by gentle centrifugation and washed three times with RIPA buffer. About 200 μl denaturation buffer (20 mM TRIS, pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, 1% SDS) was added to the beads, and samples were boiled at 95°C for 5 min. About 1 ml NP-40 wash buffer (0.1% NP-40) was used to wash the beads, and following centrifugation, the eluted proteins were transferred to a fresh tube with 10 ml NP-40 wash buffer (0.1%) and 300 μl fresh IgG sepharose beads for a second round of purification. Beads were discarded.
collected by gentle centrifugation, and following three washes with RIPA buffer, the cells were pelleted, and 75 μl SDS-PAGE loading buffer was added to the beads. Beads were boiled at 95°C for 5 min. Samples were analyzed by SDS–PAGE (8% gel) and stained with Coomassie blue. In addition, a fraction of the material was reserved for an anti-TAP immunoblot. The gel section above the expected size of the UBAIT was excised and, along with the corresponding section in the TAP empty control, was subject to in-gel tryptic digestion and LC-MS/MS. This protocol was also followed for Psh1 UBAITs, except cells were grown to OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.5 prior to the addition of 2% galactose.

**TAP-tagged protein purification from human cells**

For large-scale UBAIT purifications, ten 10-cm plates of 293T cells (3 μg DNA per 10 cm plate) were transfected with each plasmid construct. RNF168 samples were treated with 10 grays of ionizing radiation (Faxitron X-ray) prior to lysis. Cells were harvested, lysed, and pooled 36 h post-transfection in NP-40 lysis buffer. Cleared cell lysates were collected and diluted with 4 ml RIPA buffer. Conjugates were purified on IgG sepharose beads (GE Healthcare), binding at 4°C for 4 h. Beads were washed three times with high salt RIPA buffer (400 mM NaCl), followed by three times with RIPA buffer. Proteins were eluted from beads by adding SDS–PAGE loading buffer and boiling the samples at 95°C for 5 min, and the samples were analyzed by SDS–PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue. The gel section above the unconjugated UBAIT was excised from the gel, subject to in-gel tryptic digestion and LC-MS/MS.

**Mass spectrometry**

Samples were subject to in-gel digestion and protein identification using LC-MS/MS at the University of Texas at Austin Proteomics Facility as previously described, with minor adjustments [93]. Briefly, LC-MS/MS was carried out by reverse phase using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 Nano UPLC interfaced with a Thermo Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer in positive mode. The LC utilizes an Acclaim PepMap 100 Nano-Trap column (75 μM × 2 cm, C18, 3 μM, 100 angstroms) with two Acclaim PepMap RSLC columns in tandem with each other: a 15-cm column (75 μM × 15 cm, C18, 2 μM, 100 angstroms) and a 25-cm column (75 μM × 15 cm, C18, 3 μM, 100 angstroms). A packed spray tip with 15 cm of C18 was utilized, and the total column resin length is 55 cm. Peptides were eluted from a linear gradient starting with 5–40% buffer B over a period of 120 min with a flow rate of 300 nl/min. MS spectra and MS/MS spectra were acquired via data-dependent acquisition, with the full-scan MS occurring in the Orbitrap at 120,000 resolution. The top 20 most intense precursor ions were then selected for MS/MS CID fragmentation and the product ions were detected in the ion trap, with a dynamic exclusion of 60 s.

Spectra were searched against the UniProt human database (Itch, RNF126 and RNF168) and UniProt yeast database (Rsp5 and Psh1) along with decoy databases using SEQUEST HT (Proteome Discoverer 1.4, Thermo Scientific). Fully tryptic peptides with up to 2 missed cleavages were considered. Mass tolerance filters of 10 ppm (MS1) and 0.8 Da (MS2) were applied. PSMs were filtered using Percolator (implemented in Proteome Discoverer) to control false discovery rates (FDR) to < 1% as determined using a reverse sequence decoy database.

Proteins with two or more spectral counts from the WT UBAIT sample and greater than or equal to five-fold higher spectral counts in the WT UBAIT sample compared to the TAP control were considered “positive hits” and potential Rsp5-interacting proteins. Hits that were common to unrelated UBAITs in yeast (Cpr1, Ddi1, Ecm21, histone H2B, Met4, Pah1, Pgk1, Uba1, Ubc1, Ubi4, Yhr097c) and human (Amot, Fam115a, Spg20) cells were not further considered as potential interactors. Raw data files are available at ProteomeXchange, accession number PXD002791.

**NCP reconstitution**

Recombinant human histones H2B, H3, and H4 were expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3)/RIL cells and purified as described [69]. Histone H2AZ was extracted from the soluble fraction. Octamers were refolded from purified histones by mixing the four histones in equimolar ratios (10% more of H2AZ/H2B relative to H3/H4), followed by dialysis into 2 M NaCl, and then purified on a Superdex 200 (16/60) size exclusion column (GE Healthcare). Nucleosome core particles (NCPs) were reconstituted by salt deposition and confirmed as previously described [69].

**Western blot**

Western blots were detected using Li-cor Odyssey Detection System (Li-cor Biosciences). For RNF168 experiments, ubiquitylated products were analyzed using ECL Prime Western blotting detection reagent (GE Healthcare).

**Antibodies**


Primary antibody rabbit anti-H2AZ (2718, Cell Signaling Technologies) and secondary antibody HRP-linked goat anti-rabbit IgG (7074, Cell Signaling Technologies).

**Expanded View** for this article is available online: http://embor.embopress.org
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