UTX inhibits EMT-induced breast CSC properties by epigenetic repression of EMT genes in cooperation with LSD1 and HDAC1
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Abstract
The histone H3K27 demethylase, UTX, is a known component of the H3K4 methyltransferase MLL complex, but its functional association with H3K4 methylation in human cancers remains largely unknown. Here we demonstrate that UTX loss induces epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT)-mediated breast cancer stem cell (CSC) properties by increasing the expression of the SNAIL, ZEB1 and ZEB2 EMT transcription factors (EMT-TFs) and of the transcriptional repressor CDH1. UTX facilitates the epigenetic silencing of EMT-TFs by inducing competition between MLL4 and the H3K4 demethylase LSD1. EMT-TF promoters are occupied by c-Myc and MLL4, and UTX recognizes these proteins, interrupting their transcriptional activation function. UTX decreases H3K4me2 and H3 acetylation at these promoters by forming a transcriptional repressive complex with LSD1, HDAC1 and DNMT1. Taken together, our findings indicate that UTX is a prominent tumour suppressor that functions as a negative regulator of EMT-induced CSC-like properties by epigenetically repressing EMT-TFs.
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Introduction
A ubiquitously transcribed tetratricopeptide repeat X chromosome (UTX) functions as a histone demethylase towards di- and tri-methylated histone H3 on lysine 27 (H3K27me2/H3K27me3) [1]. This demethylase is also a known component of the mixed-lineage leukaemia (MLL) 2/3 H3K4 methyltransferase complex, although its catalytic effect on H3K4me remains unclear [2–4]. Polycomb group (PcG)-dependent H3K27 methylation has redundant functions in many biological processes, including stem cell regulation and tumour development [5–7], although less evidence supports the functional role of UTX in these cellular processes. Several studies suggest that UTX regulates somatic cell reprogramming and embryonic development [8,9], as well as tumour suppression in leukaemia [10,11]. Furthermore, genomewide analyses have identified various somatic mutations and deletions of UTX in several human cancers, including breast, bladder, and renal cancers and leukaemia [12–14]. However, the demethylation-dependent or demethylation-independent molecular function of UTX in human cancers has not been clearly explained, and it remains controversial whether UTX has tumour-suppressive activity [15–17].

Accumulating evidence shows that many histone methyltransferases and demethylases are involved in the regulation of cancer stem cells (CSCs), a small population of stem-like cancer cells possessing tumorigenic and metastatic capacities [18,19]. Moreover, recent evidence suggests that regulators of the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), which repress E-cadherin (encoded by CDH1) transcriptionally, such as SNAIL, SLUG, TWIST, ZEB1 and ZEB2, play a key role in inducing CSC self-renewal [20–23]. Notably, these EMT transcription factors (EMT-TFs) cooperate with various chromatin-modifying enzymes (including the histone methylases G9a and Set8 and the H3K4/K9 demethylase LSD1) as well as histone deacetylases and DNMTs to form a repressive complex for CDH1 [24–26]. UTX is also known to interact physically with transcriptional and epigenetic regulators, such as MLL 2/3 H3K4 methyltransferase [2–4], histone acetyltransferase and the SWI/SNF complex [27,28], during the assembly of multi-protein complexes. However, the molecular relationship between UTX and EMT-TFs in the epigenetic regulation of EMT-associated CSC properties remains unknown.

Herein, we found that UTX is critical for inhibiting EMT-induced breast CSC properties by suppressing the c-Myc-dependent...
transcription of SNAIL, ZEB1 and ZEB2 in cooperation with H3K4 demethylase LSD1, HDAC1 and DNMT1. These findings suggest a novel epigenetic mechanism for UTX during its inhibition of EMT-TFs as a tumour suppressor in human breast cancer.

**Results and Discussion**

**UTX loss enhances CSC-like properties and the EMT in human breast cancer**

Given the evidence that *Drosophila* and murine *Utx* antagonize Notch signalling and activate tumour suppressor Rb proteins, previous studies have suggested a putative tumour-suppressive function for UTX in human cancer [15,16]. Consistently, in human breast cancer, UTX somatic mutations have been discovered that might be associated with the loss of UTX function [12]. In contrast, a recent study suggested that UTX has oncogenic potential in human breast cancer cell lines [17]. To clearly determine the role of UTX in human cancer, we evaluated the expression of UTX in several cell lines derived from several stages of breast tumour development. UTX expression was downregulated in the cancer cell lines compared with normal or immortalized cells (Fig 1A). Notably, UTX mRNA was expressed at lower levels in CD44+/CD24-/ESA- cells with stem-cell-like characteristics (Fig 1B). Thus, we investigated whether UTX affects stem-like phenotypes in normal and malignant breast epithelial cells. The percentage of CD44+/CD24-/ESA- cells in the cell population was increased by UTX knockdown in the immortalized MCF10A cells and in breast cancer cell lines highly expressing UTX; however, this population declined in response to UTX overexpression in MDA-MB-231 cells having a low UTX expression (Figs 1C and EV1A and B). UTX also negatively regulates mammosphere formation and anchorage-independent UTX expression (Figs 1C and EV1A and B). UTX also negatively regulates mammosphere formation and anchorage-independent growth (Fig 1D and E). Consistently, in vivo breast tumour xenograft assays indicated that mice bearing UTX-overexpressing MDA-MB-231 tumours exhibited retarded tumour initiation and growth (Table EV1, Fig EV1C), whereas mice injected with UTX-deficient SK-BR-3 cells presented more rapid tumour formation and growth than control mice (Table EV1, Fig EV1D). Moreover, UTX knockdown induced the neoplastic transformation of the non-tumorigenic MCF10A cell lines in vivo (Fig EV1E). Considering the ability of CD44+/CD24-/ESA- cells to transform mammary epithelial cells [22,23], these data suggest that UTX loss might promote breast tumorigenesis by expanding stem-like cells and enhancing their self-renewal and tumour-initiating capacities.

Recent studies have shown that EMT is essential for generating CD44+/CD24low-/ stem-like cells that can convert breast epithelial cells into tumorigenic cells and can confer breast cancer aggressiveness [20–23]. Consistent with these findings, UTX knockdown in MCF10A cells resulted in a mesenchymal-like sporadic long spindle phenotype (Fig 2A). We also observed a reduced expression of epithelial markers including E-cadherin but an increased expression of mesenchymal markers in these cells (Fig 2B–D). Furthermore, UTX-overexpressing MDA-MB-231 cells exhibited epithelial-like morphology (Fig 2A), increased epithelial marker expression and decreased mesenchymal marker expression (Fig 2B–D). Contrary to a recent study showing decreased invasion after UTX knockdown in breast cancer [17], EMT promotion resulting from UTX loss conferred invasion and migration abilities on non-invasive MCF10A cells, whereas UTX overexpression inhibited these effects in invasive MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig 2E and F). Consistently, a meta-analysis of breast cancer patient survival performed using the Kaplan–Meier Plotter [29] showed that high UTX expression is associated with favourable overall survival ($P < 0.001$, log-rank test; $n = 741$), relapse-free survival ($P < 0.001$, log-rank test; $n = 3,364$) and distant metastasis-free ($P < 0.001$, log-rank test; $n = 1,537$) survival (Fig EV1F). Together, these findings indicate that UTX negatively regulates CSC-like features including EMT and tumorigenesis in vivo, the loss of which might be associated with breast cancer aggressiveness, thereby supporting evidence that suggests a tumour-suppressive function of UTX in human breast cancer.

**UTX regulates EMT-induced stemness by inhibiting EMT-TFs in an EZH2-independent manner**

To further investigate the molecular mechanism whereby UTX regulates E-cadherin transcription during the EMT, we examined the effect of UTX on *CDH1* promoter activity using a luciferase (luc) reporter assay. Wild-type *CDH1* promoter activity was repressed in UTX-deficient MCF10A cells but was increased in UTX-overexpressing MDA-MB-231 cells; however, an E-box mutation in the promoter abrogated these effects, implying that regulation of the *CDH1* promoter by UTX is E-box-dependent (Fig 3A). We also found that UTX negatively regulates the expression of SNAIL, ZEB1 and ZEB2, which are capable of repressing *CDH1* expression by binding to the E-box motifs within the *CDH1* promoter, at the transcriptional level (Fig 3B and C), thereby decreasing their nuclear accumulation (Fig 3D and E). Moreover, a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay showed that the binding of these TFs on the proximal *CDH1* promoter E-box was higher in UTX-knockdown cells (Figs 3F and EV2A). Epigenetic changes occur in the *CDH1* promoter during the EMT through the cooperation of various epigenetic regulators (such as histone methyltransferases, HDACs and DNMTs) with EMT-TFs [6,25,26,30]. Consistent with previous data supporting EZH2-dependent *CDH1* regulation [6], H3K27me3 (but not H3K4me2 or H3K9me2) was enriched at the *CDH1* promoter and gene body regions in UTX-knockdown cells (Figs 3F and EV2A). These findings indicate that UTX enhances *CDH1* transcription by inhibiting EMT-TF expression and activity towards the *CDH1* promoter, in addition to demethylating H3K27me3. These EMT-TFs have been identified as critical regulators of mammary stem cell activity [20]; therefore, we next explored whether UTX regulates breast stem-like cells via EMT-TF regulation. Flow cytometry of siRNA-treated MCF10A cells showed that the increase in the CD44+/CD24+/ESA- cell population that results from UTX deficiency was reversed by the transient knockdown of SNAIL, ZEB1 and ZEB2 (Fig 3G), implying that UTX controls EMT and stemness by modulating the expression and function of EMT-TFs.

We further examined whether the negative effect of UTX on EMT and stemness is dependent on H3K27 methyltransferase EZH2, a known critical regulator of CSC self-renewal and *CDH1* transcription [6,7]. Under EZH2-deficient conditions using tetracycline (Tet)-inducible shRNA, the CD44+/CD24+/ESA- population expansion and self-renewal properties induced by UTX-knockdown cells were also sustained (Fig EV2B–D). Moreover, E-cadherin was still repressed, and SNAIL, ZEB1 and ZEB2 were continuously upregulated under
Figure 1. Loss of UTX enhances CSC-like properties in human breast cancer.

A Screening of protein expression levels by immunoblotting in normal and cancerous breast cell lines.

B UTX mRNA expression in CD44+/CD24-/ESA+ cells (CSC) and other cells (non-CSC) was sorted using flow cytometry from the indicated cell lines and analysed using qRT-PCR. ***P < 0.001 versus Non-CSC; two-tailed unpaired t-test.

C Flow cytometry analysis was used to measure CD44+/CD24-/ESA+ cell populations in the indicated cell lines. The lower right quadrant indicates the CD44+/CD24-/ESA+ cells (x-axis, APC-conjugated CD44; y-axis, PE-conjugated CD24). CON si, non-targeting siRNA; UTX si, UTX siRNA; CON, pLVX-puro empty vector; UTX, pLVX-puro-UTX. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 versus controls; two-tailed unpaired t-test.

D, E Mammosphere formation (D) and soft agar colony formation (E) in cells that underexpress or overexpress UTX. After 21 days (D) or as indicated (E), the numbers of spheres (D) or colonies (E) (> 100 μm diameter) were counted. shCON, control shRNA; shUTX, UTX shRNA. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 versus controls; two-tailed unpaired t-test.

Data information: The data shown in (B–E) represent the means ± SD of n = 3 independent experiments. Source data are available online for this figure.
Figure 2. Loss of UTX induces the EMT and invasion of breast cancer cells.

A. Representative bright-field images of cells that underexpress or overexpress UTX. Scale bars: 100 μm.

B, C. The expression of epithelial and mesenchymal markers in the indicated cells was measured using immunoblotting (B) and qRT-PCR (C).

D. The immunofluorescence staining of E-cadherin (red) and vimentin (green) was detected using confocal microscopy and quantified. DAPI (blue) was used for nuclear staining. Scale bars: 10 μm.

E, F. Chamber transwell assays of cellular invasion or migration by the indicated cells. Invasion and migration of test cells are expressed relative to values for control cells. Scale bars: 100 μm.

Data information: Error bars in (C–F) indicate the SD (n = 3 independent experiments). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 versus controls; two-tailed unpaired t-test. Source data are available online for this figure.
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EMT-induced CSCs. The expression of EMT-TFs was measured in the indicated cells using immunoblotting (B) and qRT-PCR (C).

D Immunoblotting with cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions to analyse EMT-TF expression. SP and α-tubulin were used for loading controls for nuclear and cytoplasmic proteins, respectively.

E Immunofluorescence analysis showing the expression level and cellular localization of SNAIL, ZEB1 and ZEB2 in UTX-knockdown MCF10A cells. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Scale bar: 10 μm.

F ChIP analysis showing the indicated histone methylation status and gene recruitment to the CDH1 promoter in UTX-deficient MCF10A cells. IgG, control IgG for negative control.

G Flow cytometry analysis of the CD44+/CD24−/ESA+ population in MCF10A cells that were transfected with non-targeting siRNA (CON si) or with UTX-targeting siRNA (UTX si), together with the indicated EMT-TF siRNAs for 48 h.

Data information. The data shown in (A, C, E, F and G) represent the means ± SD of n = 3 independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 versus controls; ††P < 0.01 versus UTX si; ns, no significance (two-tailed unpaired t-test for A, C, E and F; one-way ANOVA and Scheffe’s post hoc test for G).

Source data are available online for this figure.

UTX transcriptionally represses EMT-TF expression by altering c-Myc-dependent and c-Myc-independent epigenetic modifications

Little is known about the epigenetic and transcriptional mechanisms that occur in the genomic regions of EMT-TFs; in contrast, the CDH1 regulatory mechanism has been well established. Therefore, we next investigated the molecular mechanism by which UTX transcriptionally regulates EMT-TFs to inhibit the properties of EMT-TFs to this region was maintained continuously in the UTX-knockdown cells (Fig EV2G). Therefore, these data imply that UTX regulates EMT and stemness in an EZH2 activity-independent manner; this finding supports UTX as a crucial inhibitor of EMT-associated breast tumorigenesis that might overcome the function of EZH2 in breast cancer.

UTX cooperates with LSD1 demethylase and inhibits MLL-mediated H3K4me2 to epigenetically repress EMT-TFs

To further explain how UTX negatively regulates the H3K4me2 level at the EMT-TF promoters in a c-Myc-independent manner, we investigated possible histone modification enzymes targeting H3K4me2 that might cooperate with UTX in regulating EMT-TFs. Consistent with previous findings [2,4,17], we observed that UTX physically interacts with the H3K4-specific methyltransferase MLL4 (Fig 5A).

Interestingly, UTX also bound H3K4 demethylase LSD1 and enhanced the association between LSD1 and MLL4, as confirmed by co-IP results. Furthermore, ChIP analysis revealed that MLL4 consistently bound to the EMT-TF promoters regardless of UTX expression, whereas LSD1 recruitment to these regions occurred only in the presence of UTX expression in both UTX-overexpressing and UTX-knockdown cells, thereby suggesting UTX-dependent LSD1 recruitment and H3K4 demethylation at the EMT-TF promoters (Fig 5B). These data also imply that UTX is not a binding partner of MLL4 but rather inhibits H3K4 acetylation by facilitating competition between MLL4 and LSD1.

LSD1 role as an oncogene has been well described, despite controversy regarding its role in breast cancer [33–35]. To define the potential role of LSD1 in the UTX-mediated repression of EMT-TFs, we inhibited LSD1 expression using lentiviral siRNA in
Figure 4. UTX suppresses EMT-TF expression by inducing c-Myc-dependent and c-Myc-independent epigenetic modification.

A. ChIP analysis showing the histone methylation status and recruitment of the indicated proteins within the EMT-TF promoters. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 versus controls (two-tailed unpaired t-test).

B, C. The interaction between UTX and the indicated proteins was confirmed by co-IP in 293 T cells transfected with the indicated constructs (B) and in UTX-overexpressing MDA-MB-231 cells (C).

C. MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with CON si or UTX si, together with c-Myc si. The CD44+/CD24−/ESA+ population was then evaluated using flow cytometry.

**P < 0.01 versus CON si; ††P < 0.01 versus UTX si (one-way ANOVA and Scheffe’s post hoc test).

Data information: The results shown in (A and D) represent the means ± SD of three independent experiments. Source data are available online for this figure.
Figure 5.
control or UTX-overexpressing MDA-MB-231 cells. Consistent with previous findings that LSD1 promotes EMT [35,36], we found that LSD1 knockdown decreased SNAIL and ZEB1 expression and increased E-cadherin expression in control MDA-MB-231 cells expressing low levels of UTX (Fig SC). In contrast, the loss of LSD1 recovered the expression of EMT-TFs that were inhibited by UTX and subsequently abolished E-cadherin expression (Fig SC), indicating that UTX overexpression causes LSD1 to function as a negative regulator of EMT-TFs. These paradoxical results support the critical role of UTX in determining the oncogenic potential of LSD1 in human breast cancer. Because LSD1 is a component of the CoREST and NuRD transcriptional repressive complexes, which contain HDACs [33,37], we assumed that UTX might recruit not only LSD1 but also LSD1-containing multiple repressive complexes to its target chromatin. Indeed, the ChIP analysis results showed that the repression of H3K4me2 and H3ac by the recruitment of LSD1 and HDAC1 was recovered in UTX-overexpressing cells by LSD1 knockdown (Figs 5D and EV4C and D), implying that LSD1 is required for the formation of a transcriptional repressive complex with UTX and HDAC1 at the EMT-TF promoters. However, LSD1 could not alter the inhibitory effect of UTX on c-Myc binding to these promoters. Collectively, our results indicate that UTX epigenetically inhibits the transcription of EMT-TFs by cooperating with LSD1/HDAC1 in competition with c-Myc/MLL4 in the regulation of EMT and CSCs. Contrary to the findings of Kim and colleagues [17], these data suggest that UTX might utilize MLL4 as a recognition signal for chromatin binding but inhibits MLL4 activity towards H3K4 by recruiting the LSD1 demethylase-containing transcriptional repressive complex to silence the expression of EMT-TFs in breast cancer.

In this study, we demonstrated that UTX plays an important role in breast tumour suppression as an epigenetic regulator of EMT-TFs. UTX negatively regulated EMT-induced breast CSC properties by transcriptionally suppressing SNAIL, ZEB1 and ZEB2 in an H3K27 demethylation activity-independent manner (Fig SE). In the absence of UTX, EMT-TFs are transcriptionally activated by recruiting c-Myc/p300 to the EMT-TF promoters. MLL4, the H3K4 methyltransferase, was occupied and methylated H3K4 in these regions. When UTX was present, the recruitment of c-Myc and p300 was disrupted, and LSD1/HDAC1/DNMT1 recognized the target region by promoting UTX binding to MLL4. The UTX-containing repressive complex inhibits the H3K4me and H3ac, resulting in gene silencing of the EMT-TFs. Collectively, these findings suggest that UTX is a novel epigenetic silencer of EMT-TFs that represses EMT-associated breast CSC-like properties in an H3K27 methylation-independent manner by cooperating with H3K4 demethylase LSD1 and HDAC1.

Materials and Methods

Flow cytometry

Breast CSC populations were isolated using flow cytometry as described previously [38], based on the expression of surface markers (CD44+/CD24−/ESA). To evaluate UTX expression in CSC and non-CSC populations from breast cell lines, cell populations were divided, stained using the appropriate antibodies and collected; the cells were then analysed using a FACS Aria flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).

Mammosphere formation

MCF10A cells (1 × 10⁴ cells/well), T-47D cells (5 × 10³ cells/well), SK-BR-3 cells (1 × 10⁴ cells/well) and MDA-MB-231 cells (5 × 10⁵ cells/well) were cultured under previously described conditions [38]. After 3, 5 and 7 days, mammosphere formation was analysed and quantified using an inverted microscope.

ChIP–qPCR assay

ChIP assays were performed using a ChIP assay kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY, USA). ChIP signal enrichment was determined using real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) (signal/input ratio) conducted using the 7300 Real-Time PCR System and the SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Statistical analysis

The statistical significance of differences between the control and experimental groups was analysed using the two-tailed unpaired t-test after confirming that data were normally distributed based on the Shapiro–Wilk test as implemented in the SPSS (version 12.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Levene’s test was used to verify equality of variances. For multiple comparisons, one-way ANOVA followed by Scheffe’s post hoc test (for equal variances) or Welch’s test with Dunnett’s T3 post hoc test (for unequal variances) was performed. To estimate the frequency of the tumour-initiating ability, limiting dilution assay results were calculated using L-Calc software. P-values < 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

For further methods, see Appendix Supplementary Methods.
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