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Abstract

Sexual dimorphism is one of the most pervasive and diverse features of animal morphology, physiology, and behavior. Despite the generality of the phenomenon itself, the mechanisms controlling how sex is determined differ considerably among various organismic groups, have evolved repeatedly and independently, and the underlying molecular pathways can change quickly during evolution. Even within closely related groups of organisms for which the development of gonads on the morphological, histological, and cell biological level is indistinguishable, the molecular control and the regulation of the factors involved in sex determination and gonad differentiation can be substantially different. The biological meaning of the high molecular plasticity of an otherwise common developmental program is unknown. While comparative studies suggest that the downstream effectors of sex-determining pathways tend to be more stable than the triggering mechanisms at the top, it is still unclear how conserved the downstream networks are and how all components work together. After many years of stasis, when the molecular basis of sex determination was amenable only in the few classical model organisms (fly, worm, mouse), recently, sex-determining genes from several animal species have been identified and new studies have elucidated some novel regulatory interactions and biological functions of the downstream network, particularly in vertebrates. These data have considerably changed our classical perception of a simple linear developmental cascade that makes the decision for the embryo to develop as male or female, and how it evolves.
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Introduction

Developmental cascades are generally headed by evolutionary conserved master regulators that determine the developmental fate of a cell lineage toward distinct tissues or organs during embryogenesis. In contrast, determination of the development of the reproductive organs does not follow this rule. Studies over the last decades have revealed that the gene-regulatory cascades triggering sexual differentiation from worms and flies to mammals are composed of substantially different factors. In particular, a remarkable diversity of master sex-determining genes that govern the genetic hierarchies has become apparent. On the other hand, the downstream components seemed to be evolutionarily more conserved and appear to converge on the regulation of a few central common effectors. A well-known example illustrating this paradigm is the master sex-determining gene of mammals, the SRY gene. A corresponding homolog has not been detected outside of therian mammals (Mammalia and Placentalia). Conversely, those genes that act downstream of SRY as transcription factors (SOX9, DMRT1) or signaling pathways (TGF-β/Amh, Wnt4/β-catenin, Hedgehog), and genes involved in SRY regulation (SF1, WT1) have homologs with a known or presumed role in gonadogenesis or gonadal differentiation in many vertebrate species, and some even in non-vertebrate deuterostomes and protostomes. These findings suggested that a central paradigm of sex determination is that “masters change, slaves remain”.

This appealing global rule was quickly commonly accepted, in particular as the diversity at the top was confirmed experimentally [1–3]. Remarkably, some master sex-determining genes were recurrently identified and became the “usual suspects” for future studies in the search for master regulators (Table 1). All of these are genes, or duplicates and paralogs of genes, which were previously known to act in the regulatory network of gonad development. Much progress has also been made in understanding some of the regulatory interactions of the networks or cascades governed by the long known master sex-determining genes as well as, although to a lower extent, for the newly detected ones. We review here the current knowledge about the different molecules that have been demonstrated...
**Glossary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amh</td>
<td>Anti-Müllerian hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autosomal chromosomes</td>
<td>are chromosomes that are not involved in primary sex determination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csd</td>
<td>Complementary sex determiner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>C-terminal domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKK1</td>
<td>Dickkopf-related protein 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmd3</td>
<td>Doublesex and Mab-3 domain family member 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMRT1 or 3</td>
<td>Doublesex and Mab-3 related transcription factor 1 or 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage sensitive gene</td>
<td>Gene where the amount of gene product that determines the phenotype is dependent on the number of copies. Two copies are usually sufficient to establish the phenotype, while one is not (haplonsufficiency). For example, in birds two copies of the Dmrt1 gene trigger male gonadal development, while one copy is not sufficient to make a male and then leads to female development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dsx</td>
<td>Doublesex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental sex determination (ESD)</td>
<td>When the sex of an individual is driven by different external factors including temperature, pH, social interactions (dominance, stress...)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esr1</td>
<td>Estrogen receptor 1 is the human estrogen receptor alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fem</td>
<td>Feminizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF9</td>
<td>Fibroblast growth factor 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox1b</td>
<td>Forkhead box transcription factor L2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>Frutless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fst</td>
<td>Follistatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene regulatory network</td>
<td>Set of interactions between different regulators (DNA, RNA, proteins) leading to their interdependent modulation of expression and regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotypic sex determination (GSD)</td>
<td>When the sex of an individual is triggered by its genotype only (can be mono or polygenic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonadal maintenance</td>
<td>Establishment of a genetic program in order to maintain the fate and differentiation state of the different cellular types composing the gonad, keeping either the male or female identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gsd1</td>
<td>Gonadal soma derived factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her-1</td>
<td>Hermaphrodization of XO-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hetero/-homo- gamety</td>
<td>When individuals produce gametes with either different sex chromosomes (hetero-) or similar sex chromosomes (homo-). It is referred to male heterogamety when males produce X and Y chromosome-containing gametes or female homogamety for females producing only X chromosome-containing gametes (XX-XY sex determination system; like in most mammals). For instance in birds, snakes and butterflies males are (ZZ) homogametic and females (ZW) heterogametic (ZZ-ZW sex determination system)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heteromorphic sex chromosomes</td>
<td>When sexual chromosomes are morphologically distinguishable (different degrees of heteromorphism exist, depending on the age of the sex chromosomes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hhip</td>
<td>Hedgehog-interacting protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMG</td>
<td>High mobility group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>irf9</td>
<td>Interferon regulatory factor 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mab-3</td>
<td>Male abnormal 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masc</td>
<td>Masculinizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master sex-determining gene</td>
<td>A gene (not necessarily coding for a protein) responsible for the initial trigger leading to sex determination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neofunctionalization</td>
<td>The process by which a gene changes its function or adds a new one by mutations that change the structure of its gene product and/or its expression pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nix</td>
<td>Male-determining factor in the mosquito Aedes aegypti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTD</td>
<td>N-terminal domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piRNA</td>
<td>PIWI-interacting RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primordial germ cells</td>
<td>In the embryo the precursors of the stem cells that will give rise to the germ cell lineage. During sex determination and gonadal differentiation they become committed to either produce male or female germ cells as spermatogonia or oogonia, which after meiosis will become the gametes. Primordial germ cells continuously express a certain set of genes in order to maintain their unique undifferentiated/pluriptotent state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptc</td>
<td>Patched</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rspo1</td>
<td>R-spondin 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sdc</td>
<td>Sex determination and dosage compensation defective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SdY</td>
<td>Sexual dimorphic on the Y chromosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex chromosome</td>
<td>Chromosome involved in the primary sex determination. They usually harbour a master sex determining gene/trigger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex determination</td>
<td>Primary mechanism leading to the expression of the phenotypic sex. Sex determination is mostly triggered either by the genome (genotypic sex determination) or by the environment (environmental sex determination)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual differentiation</td>
<td>Developmental consequence of the sex determination process. Regroups the events dealing with internal and external genitalia and secondary sex characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF1</td>
<td>Steroidogenic factor–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic gonad</td>
<td>The non-germ line component of the gonad. The somatic gonad consists of mainly two characteristic cell types in female: the granulosa and theca cells of the ovary and three specific cell types in the testis: Sertoli, Leydig and peritubular myoid cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX9</td>
<td>Sry-related HMG box 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to determine sex in a variety of animals and what has been learned about the maintenance of the sexual identity of ovary and testis.

Master sex-determining genes: case studies from Sox and DM domain factors to emerging "unusual" suspects

From Sry down to Sox3 across vertebrates

SRY belongs to a family of transcription factors, which are characterized by an evolutionary conserved high-mobility group (HMG box) DNA-binding domain flanked by weakly conserved N- and C-terminal sequences. In mice, both, gain- and loss-of-function studies have shown that SRY is not only sufficient but also necessary for triggering testis development [4,5]. With the exception of only two species (the mole vole *Eliobius* [6] and the spiny rat [7]) which have probably lost the gene), SRY is the universal master male sex regulator of all therian mammals [8]. Cytogenetic and comparative molecular studies of mammalian sex chromosomes provided evidence that SRY most probably arose after two major events: (i) a dominant mutation of the *SOX3* allele (giving rise to the proto-Y) as well as (ii) fusion of the gene with regulatory sequences from another gene already located on the X chromosome [9] (Fig 1). Necessarily occurring before the divergence of the therian lineage, these events could be estimated to have happened \( ~ \sim 146$–$166 \text{ million years ago} \) [10,11].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Master SD gene</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>SD system</th>
<th>SD gene ancestor</th>
<th>SD gene generated from ancestor by</th>
<th>Ancestor gene function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRY</td>
<td>Therian mammals</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Sox3</td>
<td>Allelic diversification</td>
<td>Transcription factor, required in formation of the hypothalamo–pituitary axis, functions in neuronal differentiation, expressed in developing gonads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmrt1</td>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>WZ</td>
<td>Dmrt1</td>
<td>Allelic diversification</td>
<td>Transcription factor, key role in male sex determination and differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM-Y</td>
<td><em>Xenopus laevis</em></td>
<td>WZ</td>
<td>Dmrt1</td>
<td>Gene duplication</td>
<td>Transcription factor, key role in male sex determination and differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmrt1bY</td>
<td>Medaka (<em>Oryzias latipes, O. curvinotus</em>)</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Dmrt1</td>
<td>Gene duplication</td>
<td>Transcription factor, key role in male sex determination and differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SdY</td>
<td>Rainbow trout (<em>Oncorhynchus mykiss</em>)</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Irf9</td>
<td>Gene duplication</td>
<td>Interferon response factor, no gonadal function known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GsdfY</td>
<td>Luzon ricefish (<em>Oryzias luzonensis</em>)</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Gsdf</td>
<td>Allelic diversification</td>
<td>TGF-( \beta )-factor, important role in fish gonad development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox3Y</td>
<td>Indian ricefish (<em>Oryzias dancena</em>)</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Sox3</td>
<td>Allelic diversification</td>
<td>Transcription factor, required in formation of the hypothalamo–pituitary axis, functions in neuronal differentiation, expressed in developing gonads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amhY</td>
<td>Perjerrey (<em>Odontesthes hatchen</em>)</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Amh</td>
<td>Gene duplication</td>
<td>Anti-Muellerian hormone, growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amhr2Y</td>
<td>Fugu (<em>Takifugu rubripes</em>)</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Amh receptor 2</td>
<td>Allelic diversification</td>
<td>Type II receptor for Amh, important function in gonad development, medaka mutant shows sex reversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmrt1</td>
<td>Chinese tongue sole (<em>Cynoglossus semilaevis</em>)</td>
<td>WZ</td>
<td>Dmrt1</td>
<td>Allelic diversification</td>
<td>Transcription factor, key role in male sex determination and differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GsdfY</td>
<td>Sablefish (<em>Anoplopoma fimbria</em>)</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Gsdf</td>
<td>Allelic diversification</td>
<td>TGF-( \beta )-factor, important role in fish gonad development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Master sex-determining genes in vertebrates.
in the developing marsupial gonad is not consistent with a conserved role in mammalian sex determination [16,17]. Although SOX3 has no obvious primary function in sex determination, as the Sox3 knockout mice have no gonadal phenotype [18], the clear evolutionary relationship between SOX3 and SRY raised the question whether gain-of-function point mutations may account for SOX3-induced XX male sex reversal in mice or humans. This has been shown only recently using a transgenic mouse model in which SOX3-induced XX male sex reversal in mice or humans. This has been shown only recently using a transgenic mouse model in which SOX3 has independently been recruited as a "precursor" of master sex-determining genes also outside mammals. Hence, although not a priori destined to have a direct function during sex determination, common mechanisms of evolution seem to be repeatedly employed. Given that SOX3 is not generally expressed during gonadal induction or during gonadal development, the first step toward a sex-determining function is a transcriptional rewiring in order to acquire a timed pattern of expression compatible with sex determination. Such transcriptional rewiring, although not unique to SOX3 [see Dmrt1BY in medaka fish for example [56]], generally involves either fusion of the gene to new promoters or insertions of transposable elements into their pre-existing promoter, bringing in cis-regulatory elements compatible with the timing of gonadal induction. Interestingly and surprisingly, it seems that at least in mice and rice fish, this step alone was sufficient to endow SOX genes toward a master sex-determining function in mice and Indian rice fish. While SRY appears to be restricted to the therian mammals, evidence accumulates that SOX3 has independently been recruited as a "precursor" of master sex-determining genes also outside mammals. Hence, although not a priori destined to have a direct function during sex determination, common mechanisms of evolution seem to be repeatedly employed. Given that SOX3 is not generally expressed during gonadal induction or during gonadal development, the first step toward a sex-determining function is a transcriptional rewiring in order to acquire a timed pattern of expression compatible with sex determination. Such transcriptional rewiring, although not unique to SOX3 [see Dmrt1BY in medaka fish for example [56]], generally involves either fusion of the gene to new promoters or insertions of transposable elements into their pre-existing promoter, bringing in cis-regulatory elements compatible with the timing of gonadal induction. Interestingly and surprisingly, it seems that at least in mice and rice fish, this step alone was sufficient to endow SOX3 with a sex-determining function. Usually, the transcriptional rewiring steps seem to be accompanied by neo-functionalization or functional specialization processes. These include specialization of the protein activity itself in therian mammals (adapted from reference [20]) or more surprisingly adaptation of the downstream gene-regulatory network (target genes) in the Indian rice fish.

Interestingly, the development of SOX3-triggered testes in XX animals was not possible in the absence of Sox9. In the same direction, patients displaying XX female to male sex reversal due to rearrangements of the genomic regions encompassing the regulatory sequences of SOX3 have been reported [19]. Together, these data suggest that gain of function of SOX3 during gonadal development can in principle substitute for SRY to trigger testis development. These findings provide functional evidence supporting the long-standing hypothesis that SOX3 is the evolutionary precursor of SRY (Fig 1). It is also reasonable to postulate that rearrangements of the SOX3 gene might be an underappreciated cause of XX female to male sex reversal in human patients [19].

While SRY appears to be specific to the therian mammals, there is accumulating evidence that SOX3 has spawned independently other sex chromosomes outside mammals. Though being expressed in the ovary of frogs [21] without any sex-determining function determined so far, sox3 might be involved in the switch responsible
for sex determination in the Japanese wrinkled frog (Rana rugosa). Members of this species are either ZW or XY depending on which side of the island they are located [22]. Curiously, the Z and X chromosomes are not only homologous but share many genes with the X chromosome of humans including the sox3 gene. Further molecular characterization and genetic mapping could disclose the presence of a Y-specific allele for sox3 [23,24]. So far, this is an intriguing finding, but further studies are needed to ascertain a function for sox3 in the sex developmental decision process of the embryonic gonad. If sox3 has such a function, then the next question would be how the different genetic systems (ZW or XY) impact on sox3 function.

Stronger evidence comes from the Indian ricefish (Oryzias dancena) (Fig 1), in which the XY sex chromosome pair also shares homology with the human X, including the presence of the sox3 gene [14]. Using positional cloning to identify the sex-determining locus, it was found that the male-specific region on the Y chromosome harbors a cis-regulatory DNA segment that up-regulates expression of the Y-chromosomal copy of sox3 during gonadal development (Fig 1). Sex reversal of XX fish transgenic for the regulatory segment linked to sox3 to become males, and fish with targeted deletion of the Y-chromosomal sox3 gene developing as females confirmed its major role during sex determination. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that Sox3 initiated testicular differentiation by up-regulating expression of gsdf, a gene highly conserved in fish male sex differentiation pathways [14]. Interestingly, a BAC clone carrying the sox3 gene of O. dancena was not able to induce male gonadal development in the closely related species O. latipes, which has a different male sex determination gene. This supports the hypothesis that the acquisition of Sox3 function as a master sex-determining gene has occurred with a concomitant change in the downstream gonadal gene-regulatory network (Fig 1). Taken together, the results provided strong evidence for the recruitment—even in distantly related species—of Sox3 into the pathway leading to male gonadal development.

SRY reveals plasticity of sex-determining mechanisms among mammals Despite substantial variations in expression profiles, structure, and amino acid sequences within mammals, the function of SRY to activate a conserved target gene—SOX9—during testis development appears to be conserved [20]. SRY directly binds to the TESCO sequence of the SOX9 gene [20]. Once activated, the SOX9 protein initiates the differentiation of somatic precursors into Sertoli cells that will then coordinate the gonadal development toward testes [25]. In the absence of SOX9 activation, the fetal gonad will develop toward ovaries. While the function of SRY as a regulator of SOX9 appears to be conserved, the molecular details underlying transcriptional regulation of SOX9 by SRY [26] are not fully known and their conservation among mammals has not been deeply investigated. Such information would be important to evaluate whether under a conserved master determiner, the subordinate network is strictly conserved as well or shows variation in its regulatory interactions.

In contrast to most known transcriptional activators, most SRY proteins that have been studied in different mammalian species do not exhibit a well-defined transactivation domain (TAD). For instance, the N- and C-terminal domains (NTD and CTD) flanking the evolutionary conserved DNA-binding domain of human SRY are poorly preserved and do not seem to display any intrinsic transactivation activity [27]. Hence, it is assumed that the transcriptional activation of the human SOX9 gene by SRY is possible only after the recruitment of a transactivating protein partner through its NTD and/or CTD sequences [28]. However, mouse SRY does not only lack the NTD but also displays an unusual CTD made of a bridge domain together with a poly-glutamine (polyQ) tract encoded by a CAG-repeat microsatellite [27]. It has recently been shown that this poly-glutamine domain does not only prevent mouse SRY from proteasomal degradation, but additionally functions as a bona fide TAD. Due to the fact that it allows the direct transcriptional induction of Sox9, this poly-Q domain plays a central role for the male-determining function of SRY in vivo [27]. Such data suggest that during evolution, mouse SRY has gained a functional unit, which is absent in other mammals [27]. Given such important transactivating properties for that poly-Q CTD in mice, it is puzzling that SRY proteins from either human or goat lacking a TAD are able to induce testicular development in transgenic XX mice embryos [29,30]. It appears reasonable to consider that both human and goat SRY proteins are able to bind to the highly conserved mouse TESCO target sequence using their respective DNA-binding HMG boxes. For the activation of SOX9 transcription, it is assumed that transactivation is then mediated after the recruitment of a third TAD-containing protein partner. It can be further hypothesized that acquisition of a poly-glutamine stretch after insertion of a CAG microsatellite in a rodent ancestor made the recruitment of a transactivating partner unnecessary. Consequently, it is assumed that mouse SRY’s ability to employ such a transactivating partner was lost during evolution. This assumption is supported by the observation that the acquisition of the poly-glutamine stretch is concomitant with an increase of variation in different parts of the SRY protein. These include the loss of the NTD as well as accumulation of deleterious amino acid substitutions in the HMG box [31]. Though no longer required, the third partner protein—probably a pleiotropic effector—may still be expressed at the sex determination stage. It would then potentially enable human and goat SRYs to trigger male gonadal development when expressed in transgenic mice. This reveals an unanticipated level of plasticity of the molecular mechanisms in the implementation of the primary sex-determining signal even among mammals. Identification of such putative partners of SRY may help in understanding human primary sex reversal pathologies, which are not explained by alterations in the known players of male sexual development [32].

Roles of DM domain factors in sex determination, differentiation, and gonadal maintenance

DMRT1, wherever you look Among the evolutionary conserved downstream effector genes of genetic sex-determining cascades, the DMRT1 gene family holds an outstanding position. This family is involved in sexual development of organisms as phylogenetically diverse as mammals, birds, fish, frogs, flies, worms, and corals [33–38] (Figs 2 and 3). Characterized by a highly conserved DNA-binding core motif—known as the DM (Doublesex and Mab-3) domain—, DMRT proteins act as transcription factors. Initially described to be involved in sex determination in worms and flies, they have been shown to regulate diverse aspects of somatic sexual dimorphism in these organisms. The ability to functionally...
Sex determination gene-regulatory networks

Sox3 and Dmrt1 are involved in gonadal differentiation of the male flatworm (*Schmidtea mediterranea*). Domain genes have also recently been described to be primarily involved in sexual development of many dimorphic structures [40]. Thus, this widespread gene family appears to be directly involved in sexual development in all major animal groups. Nevertheless, DM domain factors were long considered as one of the underdogs of sexual determination because of their recurrent subordinate role in the cascade. A deeper interest in the field of sex determination for this group of genes only came with the discovery of a *dmrt1* homolog located on the Y chromosome of the medaka fish (*Oryzias latipes*). Resulting from a gene duplication of the autosomal *dmrt1a* gene, it was designated *dmrt1bY* [41] or *dmy* [42]. It is the only functional gene in the Y-specific region of the sex chromosome, and it was shown to be not only necessary but also sufficient for triggering male development (see also Fig 2).

In humans, haploinsufficiency of the genomic region that includes *DMRT1* and its paralogs *DMRT2* and *DMRT3* leads to XY male to female sex reversal [43]. This suggested that the *DMRT1* gene is an important dosage-sensitive regulator of male development in vertebrates. In chicken and other avian species and in a fish, the smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*) [44]), *DMRT1* is located on the Z chromosome, but absent from W, and shows the expected expression pattern for a dosage-dependent male sex-determining gene of birds [45] and flatfish. In chicken, it was demonstrated through RNA interference experiments that *DMRT1* is indeed required for male gonad development [45]. While in these organisms *DMRT1* acts as a dosage-dependent male determiner, in *Xenopus laevis*, a duplicated copy of *dmrt1* on the W, which lacks the dimerization domain, appears to fulfill its function as a dominant-negative version. It is proposed to interfere with the transcriptional activation of the target genes of Dmrt1 and thus acts as a suppressor of male development [46].

Remarkably, all these *DMRT1* genes have acquired their new roles as master sex determination genes through different mechanisms: via gene duplication and translocation in medaka, duplication, translocation and truncation in *Xenopus*, or loss of function of the W allele in birds or tongue sole (Table 1).

In mice, it is apparent that Dmrt1 is not required for male primary sex determination since newborn Dmrt1 mutants are males with testes [36]. However, *Dmrt1* is required for male gonadal differentiation of somatic cells and germ cells [47–49]. This is a parallel situation to mammalian *Foxl2* [50], which plays a conserved role in ovarian development but in mouse (opposed to some other mammals, including human and goat [51]) is not required for initiation of female development (see [52] for review). Targeted deletion of mouse *Dmrt1* and also of the autosomal *dmrt1a* of medaka, which is not involved in primary male sex determination, have revealed a major role in male gonad maintenance: when *Dmrt1* is lost, even in adults, this triggers sexual cell-fate reprogramming, in which male Sertoli cells trans-differentiate into their female counterparts, the granulosa cells [49]. This is accompanied by testicular reorganization toward a more ovarian morphology [49]. Ectopic *DMRT1* expression in the ovary silenced the female sex-maintenance gene *Foxl2* and reprogrammed juvenile and adult granulosa cells into Sertoli-like cells, triggering formation of structures, which resemble male seminiferous tubules [53]. In the same direction, deletion of the *dmrt1* gene in medaka resulted in transition of the developing testis to ovary [54]. Hence, *DMRT1’s* range of action is not limited to function in initiating the male gonadal phenotype during early development but also accounts for the lifelong active repression of the two “anti-testis” pathways of *FOXL2* and *WNT4/β-catenin* [49], and can do so even in the absence of the testis-determining genes *SOX8* and *SOX9* (Fig 2). Additionally, mRNA profiling revealed that *DMRT1* activates many testicular genes and

---

**Figure 2.** Gene-regulatory network of gonadal sex induction and maintenance in vertebrates.
Schematic representation of main interactions within the regulatory network. In gonadal fate determination of mammals, Sry initiates activation of the male pathway (blue) through up-regulation of Sox9. Dmrt1 is not only important for keeping the male pathway on but also in suppressing the two female networks (red). These two female networks involve Foxl2 as well as the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathways. Maintenance of gonadal identity in the differentiated gonads is a result of the cross-inhibition activities of Dmrt1 and Foxl2. A critical equilibrium between these conflicting pathways underlies the bipotentiality of the gonadal somatic cells. Tipping the balance into one direction or the other will regulate the gonadal fate as a consequence of the activation of the male or female pathways. Solid lines define negative regulations. Dashed lines designate transcriptional rewiring. 

---
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down-regulates ovarian genes [53]. Interestingly, transient expression of DMRT1 has also been reported in the fetal gonad of both sexes. The involvement in the regulation of germ cell development in testes and ovaries indicates that DMRT1 has different functions in males and females [55].

DMRT1 is required in female germ cells for entry into meiotic prophase, and in male germ cells for the control of mitotic arrest until birth [55]. Control of the decision to enter meiosis versus mitotic arrest is mediated by the ability of DMRT1 to selectively modulate retinoic acid signaling through context-dependent regulation of STRA8. DMRT1, for example, directly represses STRA8 transcription during testicular differentiation [55]. Thus, a picture emerges where DMRT1 controls a regulatory network that on the one hand can drive sexual fate and on the other hand can maintain the program of sexually differentiated cells, depending on the cellular context.

**DMRT1, a jack-of-all-trade** From studies in mouse and medaka [49,53,54,56,57], it is emerging that DMRT1 holds a key position as the master switch or gatekeeper controlling the cell fate of the somatic cells of the gonads in female and male [33,34,53,58,59]. If this is so, then one could ask, why such a complex regulatory network upstream of DMRT1 would be necessary to flip the switch, because numerous examples indicate that DMRT1 can do it on its own as for instance in birds, *Xenopus* and medaka [41,42,45,46]. DMRT1 orthologs in these species appear to have undergone mutational events causing either loss or gain of function. Such altered DMRT1 activity may have favored evolutionary transitions leading to new genetic sex determination systems (see [59] for review). The ability of DMRT1 to toggle Sertoli/granulosa cell fate supports the hypothesis that loss- or gain-of-function mutations in DMRT1 can elevate it into a master sex-determining role. Such mutations would help to promote changes between genetic sex determination mechanisms that are commonly observed among vertebrates.

**DMRT1** is one of the sex determination network genes that appears more often also as master regulator (Table 1). It can be hypothesized that its strategic position at the interface of sex determination and the process of sex-specific gonadal differentiation, integrating a developmental fate decision with activation of organ differentiation programmes (Fig 2), made DMRT1 suitable to be selected either as new controller at the top or at least for being one of the few key genes to be regulated.

**Emerging suspects from gonadal TGF-β signaling**
The anti-Müllerian hormone (Amh) is a growth factor from the TGF-β family and plays a major role in mammals for the degradation of the Müllerian duct-forming part of the female reproductive tract in male embryos. It is not required for mouse testis development. However, in non-mammalian vertebrates, it appears to play a central role in testis formation. For instance, in chicken embryonic gonads, AMH is expressed much higher in males and is predicted to be involved in testis formation. For instance, in chicken embryonic gonads, AMH is expressed much higher in males and is predicted to be involved in testis formation. For instance, in chicken embryonic gonads, AMH is expressed much higher in males and is predicted to be involved in testis formation. For instance, in chicken embryonic gonads, AMH is expressed much higher in males and is predicted to be involved in testis formation. For instance, in chicken embryonic gonads, AMH is expressed much higher in males and is predicted to be involved in testis formation. For instance, in chicken embryonic gonads, AMH is expressed much higher in males and is predicted to be involved in testis formation. For instance, in chicken embryonic gonads, AMH is expressed much higher in males and is predicted to be involved in testis formation. For instance, in chicken embryonic gonads, AMH is expressed much higher in males and is predicted to be involved in testis formation. For instance, in chicken embryonic gonads, AMH is expressed much higher in males and is predicted to be involved in testis formation. For instance, in chicken embryonic gonads, AMH is expressed much higher in males and is predicted to be involved in testis formation. For instance, in chicken embryonic gonads, AMH is expressed much higher in males and is predicted to be involved in testis formation.

Although being clearly a subordinate member of the sex regulatory network in mammals and at least in those species that make use of DMRT1 as master regulator of male development, the Amh/Amh-receptor system has, like DMRT1, sometimes made it to the top (Table 1). In the pejerrey, a freshwater fish species from Patagonia, a duplicated version of the amh gene became the male sex-determining gene on the Y chromosome [62], reminiscent of the situation for *dmrt1* in medaka fish. In the pufferfish, *Fugu rubripes*, the receptor for Amh exists in two versions that differ by one amino acid (H384D) in the kinase domain [63]. The 384<sup>th</sup> allele is a Fugu-specific (conserved in several other pufferfishes) mutation that confers lower activity to the receptor and is encoded on the X chromosome [63]. Thus, a quantitative difference in Amh signal transduction in females, which are homozygous for the mutant, versus males, which have kept one allele of the wild-type receptor on their Y, is responsible for male development [63]. Like in the medaka *hotel* mutant [61], low signalling from the receptor is connected to feminization of the gonad.

Gonadal soma-derived factor (Gsdf) is another growth factor from the TGF-β family that is closely related to Amh. It is only found in fish, and its biochemical function is not well studied. It is assumed to have a role in male gonad development due to its exclusive expression in the early differentiating testis of all fish looked at so far [64–68]. Despite its proposed role in the downstream regulatory network, *gsdf* has made it up to the top in *Oryzias luzonensis* [69] a sister species to medaka, and most likely also in the sablefish [70].

Taken together, it appears that certain genes, which are members of the regulatory network, namely *sox8*, *dmrt1*, and TGF-β signaling components, can become the master sex-determining gene independently again and again, while other important components of the sex-determining pathways have not appeared as masters so far (Fig 2 and Table 1). Whether we just have to wait for the analyses of primary genes for sexual development in more species, in order to put genes like *foxl2*, *sox9*, *sox8*, *wnt4*, etc., on the list of usual suspects, or whether there is a biological reason that makes some genes more prone to become the top regulator, is currently unsolved. We could imagine that some genes remain “too difficult to recruit” as master regulators, for instance if they have also non-reproductive but vital functions in other organs. In such cases, interfaces between a duplicated new master gene and its homolog may not be tolerated, except for the case that the neo-gene would have an appropriate gonad-specific regulation as soon as the founder event occurs. Many of those genes that did not appear as master sex determiners so far indeed have important functions in other tissues and organs.

**Recurrent actors in invertebrate sex determination**

The invertebrate ancestors of DMRT1 DM domain-containing genes have been shown to be primarily involved in gonad differentiation in a flatworm [39] and to direct male versus female development of dimorphic structures in water flea [40]. Interestingly, this functional convergence is common among insects (see [3,71–73] for reviews). In *Drosophila*, the initial trigger of sex is dependent on the ratio of the number of X chromosomes versus the haploid autosomal complement (X:A). In the female situation, an X:A ratio of one will enable the transcription of the *Sex lethal* gene (*Sxl*), a splicing regulator. The SXL protein will then promote the female-specific splicing of *Transformer* (*Tra*), a direct downstream target, and lead to the production of functional TRA proteins. Similarly, a complex made of TRA and TRA-2 proteins will then favor the female-specific
splicing of the Doublesex (Dsx, the Dmrt1 homolog) gene transcripts. This results in the production of the female-type DSX protein DSXM, which initiates up-regulation of the downstream gene-regulatory network for female development. In males, an X:A ratio of 0.5 will prevent the production of the SSL protein and, by default, results in the production of the male-specific splice form of the Tra gene. This splice variant translates into a non-functional protein due to a premature stop codon. In the absence of TRA, by default the gene. This splice variant translates into a non-functional protein due to a premature stop codon. In the absence of TRA, by default the gene-regulatory network for female development. In males, an X:A ratio of 0.5 will prevent the production of the SXL protein and, by default, results in the production of the male-specific splice form of the DSXF, which initiates up-regulation of the downstream gene-regulatory network for male development [71,74] (Fig 3). Orthologs of Drosophila dsx have been identified and studied in a large number of insects [75–77]. Mediation of alternative sex-specific splicing of dsx by TRA and TRA2 is also widely conserved in insects although variations of the sex determination systems occur [3], suggesting that different molecular mechanisms involving splicing activators or repressors are employed to preferentially generate sex-specific variants of dsex mRNA [78].

Despite considerable efforts, similar sex-specific alternative splicing events in the molecular regulation of sex determination of vertebrates have not been shown. Conceptually similar is the fact that DSX translates the sexual determination process of a cascade of alternative splicing events into the transcriptional control of a large number of sex-specific effector genes. Similarly, DMRT1 in vertebrates appears to hold such a “translational” function at the interface where a fate-determining signal is put into effect at the level of sex-specific somatic cell differentiation (Figs 2 and 3).

In invertebrates, the homologs of vertebrate Dmrt1 (e.g., Dsx in Drosophila and Mab3 in C. elegans) are typical downstream factors of sex determination and so far, it is not reported that a DM domain

Figure 3. Sex-determining cascades in C. elegans and some insects.
Molecular and genetic pathways leading to the formation of the gonad in the worm C. elegans, the mosquito Aedes aegypti, the fly D. melanogaster, the honey bee A. mellifera, and the silkworm B. mori. Conservation of the Dsx, Mab-3 and Dmrt1, Tra-like, (Tra-2), or Fru homologs is designated with either pale brown, pale blue, pale green, or pale orange boxes, respectively. Tra-1 (X:A = 0.5) or Fru homologs are designated with either pale brown, pale blue, pale green, or pale orange boxes, respectively. Tra-3 (X:A = 1) or Fem homologs are designated with either pale brown, pale blue, pale green, or pale orange boxes, respectively. Tra-2 (X:A = 0.5) or Fru homologs are designated with either pale brown, pale blue, pale green, or pale orange boxes, respectively.
gene has made it up to the top in any invertebrate species [3]. But like in vertebrates, genes that are known as downstream members in one species can also usurpate a position as an initial genetic trigger in another species [3]. In insects, paralogs of the gene tra that is a well-studied component of the sex determination cascade in Drosophila, evolved as the master sex-determining switch gene in the housefly (Musca domestica), a wasp (Nasonia vitripennis), and the honeybee (Apis mellifera) [72,79,80]. In this regard, studies about complementary sex determination in the honeybee give interesting insights into how molecular diversity of regulatory pathways can evolve [81,82], as discussed in more detail below.

**Complementary sex determination in honeybees uses a conserved module from chromosomal sex determination** Genetic sex determination in the honeybee does not depend on the presence of hetero- or homomorphic sex chromosomes with different genetic compositions but rather follows a haplodiploid mode. Males develop from haploid unfertilized eggs, while diploid fertilized eggs develop into females. Hence, male or female sexual development occurs as the result of a signal originating from either a single or two different alleles from one gene, called complementary sex determinant (Csd) (Fig 3). Consequently, maleness or femaleness is determined by either homo-, hemi-, or heterozygosity of the Csd locus. The Csd gene products belong to an arginine-/serine-rich protein family. Interestingly, the C-terminal end of Csd also displays high similarity with the TRA protein, an essential downstream genetic factor of the sex-determining pathway in Drosophila ([81] and Fig 3).

Intriguingly and in contrast to the situation in Drosophila with Tra and other downstream genes (see Fig 3), neither transcriptional nor splicing variations of the Csd gene could be detected as sex-specific triggers. It is currently presumed that the regulation of the downstream regulatory network is mediated by the tendency of the CSD proteins to form heterodimers. Interestingly, the sex determination locus of the honeybee harbors a second gene also required for sex determination: feminizer (Fem) [82]. Further, phylogenetic studies revealed that Fem—as Csd—is also a close homolog of the Tra gene from Drosophila. It has been shown that Csd arose after duplication of the Fem gene 10-70 million years ago while the honeybee lineage was specifying. Knockdown experiments using RNA interference (RNAi) of either Csd or Fem resulted in female to male phenotypic sex reversions, implying that both factors are required for sex determination in the honeybee downstream of sex-specific splicing of the Fem gene by the CSD protein ([81,83] and [3] for review).

The situation in the honeybee resembles the roles of dmr1 in medaka and Xenopus and of amh in the pejerrey: A highly conserved downstream component of the network underwent a gene duplication, and then, one of the duplicates evolved a new function at the top of the cascade (Figs 2 and 3).

**Another usurpator in mosquito?** In the yellow fever mosquito, Aedes aegypti, like Drosophila a member of the order Diptera, sex is dependent on the presence or absence of a Y chromosome. Recent work has uncovered the molecular nature of the male-determining gene [84]. Intriguingly, this gene, called Nix, shows some sequence similarity to the Tra-2 gene. This gene in Drosophila melanogaster is a downstream member of the sex determination cascade. Further downstream in the fruitfly cascade are the Pru and Dsx genes, and also in Aedes aegypti, both genes are regulated by the Tra-2 homolog Nix (Fig 3). It is tempting to propose that in the mosquito, we have another example of a subordinate sex determination gene that has made it to the top.

**The "unusual" suspects** All the above discussed cases of turnovers and novel master sex determiners include genes that have been previously known as components of downstreams sex determination networks, for example, from mouse, human, Drosophila, and C. elegans. Unexpectedly, there are two recent reports on sex-determining genes which were neither known nor suspected to be involved in the molecular regulation of this process.

**An immune-related gene evolved into the master sex-determining gene in rainbow trout** In the rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss, a gene expressed only in the testis, predominantly during testicular differentiation, was recently characterized [85]. Localized at the sex-determining locus, this gene was named sdY for sexual dimorphic on the Y chromosome. Astonishingly and unlike other master sex-determining genes characterized so far, sdY has no homology with any known gene in sex determination pathways but with an immunity-related gene, the interferon regulatory factor irf9 [85]. SdY arose by duplication and truncation of the autosomal irf9 gene (Table 1). It lost the DNA-binding domain but preserved its protein–protein interaction domain. So far, the molecular mechanism through which SdY triggers male gonad development is unknown.

**A single female-specific piRNA is the primary determiner of sex in the silk worm** Sex in the silkworm Bombyx mori and all butterflies is determined by a ZW sex chromosome system. The W chromosome lacks any protein-coding genes but consists predominantly of transposons and non-coding RNAs. The only transcripts produced from the sex-determining region on the W are PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs). After deep sequencing and isolation of dimorphically expressed RNAs (piRNAs). The Fem piRNA (Fem standing for “feminizing factor”) was shown to be specifically expressed in females at all stages of development [86]. Furthermore, Fem piRNA targets and cleaves the Masculinizer (Masc) RNA molecule transcribed from a gene located on the Z chromosome. Interestingly, Masc, a CCCTC-type zinc finger protein, favors male-specific splicing of Bm-dsx, leading to male development [86]. Hence, in ZW embryos, Masc RNA level is down-regulated by fem piRNAs, inhibiting male development. By default, female-specific splicing of Bm-dsx then occurs, triggering female development [86] (Fig 3). Interestingly, genetic inhibition of Masc resulted in the premature death of ZW embryos before they hatched. In light of this observation, it was shown that the Masc protein is necessary for dosage compensation in order to lower Z gene transcription in ZW embryos to the same level as in ZW embryos [86]. Whether or not this sex determination pathway is conserved across all lepidopterans remains to be explored, but coupling two important mechanisms namely sex determination and dosage compensation within the same genetic pathway and additionally distributing their genes onto the sex chromosomes should strongly promote evolutionary conservation.

sdY from rainbow trout and Fem piRNA are paradigms showing that unrelated genes are able to acquire de novo sex-determining functions. It can, however, not be excluded that they are representing
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Plasticity of the downstream sex determination regulatory network

What happens when “masters change”? The slogan “slaves remain” could imply that not much happens downstream of the changing master sex determinant. However, the findings on the diversity of SRY structure and its way to act as a transcriptional activator (see above) indicate that even under the same master gene, the regulatory interactions of the network undergo changes and that biology is not that simple.

In Drosophila, it has been shown that at the very downstream end of the sex determination, cascade pathways diverge by cooption of new effector genes [73] explaining the divergence of secondary sex characters between species. In vertebrates, some transcription factors like DMRT1, FOXL2, SOX9, and components of pathways such as Rspo1/Wnt/Fst or Hedgehog of the gonadal gene-regulatory network are well conserved on the DNA sequence level; however, their specific functions, regulations, and interplays can be substantially different. In medaka, down-regulation of the Hedgehog pathway by Dmrt1bY was shown [87]: Transcription of the Hedgehog receptor Ptch-2 in medaka testis is down-regulated by Dmrt1bY/Dmrt1a, while the antagonist Hhip is up-regulated [87]. The Hedgehog pathway is usually up-regulated by DMRT1 in mammals. It appears that despite its necessity for mammalian testis induction and development and later on in regulating Leydig and myoid cell function [88–90], the Hedgehog pathway might not only be dispensable during medaka male gonadogenesis and maintenance, but needs to be suppressed by DMRT1 genes.

For R-spondin 1 (Rspo1), preferential ovarian expression is generally described. However, such strict female dimorphism was not observed in zebrafish [91], where the gene is also expressed in adult testes. Here, Rspo1 has a crucial role in testis cell proliferation [92] and it has further been shown to be involved in skin and mammary gland differentiation in mammals [93]. Follistatin (Fst) expression in the mouse co-localizes with Foxl2 in the ovary [94], but in rat, it is expressed very broadly in germ and somatic cells of the testis [95]. Sparse expression of fst was also noted in the interstitial cells of the medaka testis, together with an up-regulation of fst expression in vitro after transfection of dmrt1a [87].

SOX9 has been shown to be expressed in the developing testes of all vertebrate embryos examined so far (see [60] for review). However, whereas SOX9 is upstream of AMH in mammals, the reverse applies in birds, and in medaka, Sox9 even appears to be not involved in primary sex determination at all [96,97]. In mammals, the current understanding is that SRY acts together with SF1 to activate SOX9, while in return, SRY is turned off by SOX9. SOX9 further maintains its expression in an autoregulatory loop. SF1 is still required, but SRY becomes dispensable later during development [20]. In non-mammalian vertebrates, Sox9 activation must then rely on other factors than Sry. Intuitively, one could think that DM domain genes might have taken over. However, in chicken embryos, DMRT1 expression is occurring at least 2 days before that of SOX9 [60], implying that other genes mediating the DMRT1 signal to SOX9 are involved. In medaka sox9b, the homolog of tetrapod sox9 genes is rather involved in germ cell function than gonad determination although being expressed in the somatic part of the primordial gonads [96]. In addition, while in mammals, SOX9 activates the expression of FGF9 [98], the gene does not exhibit any sexually dimorphic expression in chicken [60] and has even been lost in fish [99]. It is obvious that the gonadal function of SOX9 underwent several changes during vertebrate evolution.

Genetic networks are indeed more complex than a straight top-down scenario. We have to add now that the differences in gene expression do not only reflect differences in cell biology and morphogenesis of the gonads but definitively are also the consequences of changes in the initial trigger for activating the network. That master sex-determining genes are prone to regulatory putsches in order to acquire an upstream position might only be possible because of the flexibility of the downstream gene-regulatory network. Hence, while Graham proposed a few years back that “Masters change, slaves remain” [1], it is now time to change this paradigm: “When masters change, some slaves remain, others are dismissed or acquire new tasks, and new ones can be hired”.

Conclusions and perspectives

The variability and plasticity of the mechanisms that govern the development of the gonads is not met by any other organ systems or tissues. While for instance the Pax6 gene that is a master regulator of mammalian eye development is highly conserved (ectopic expression of human PAX6 is able to induce eye development in Drosophila [100]), the downstream components of this cascade are not conserved (the induced eye is a typical composite insect eye). Surprisingly, it appears to be the other way round for sex determination genes. The evolution of genetic interactions in the sex-determining pathways and cascades is characterized by a relative conservation at the bottom and an apparent diversity at the top. This was explained in a classical hypothesis by A. Wilkins with an evolutionary scenario in which these hierarchies during evolution build up from a common downstream component (Sox or DM domain factors for instance), which acquires new upstream regulators. Those new additions would naturally vary in different evolutionary lineages [101]. Recent studies on the molecular identification of such upstream regulators and the downstream regulatory network, some of which provided the backbone for this review, brought new insights into how sexual development is regulated in different organisms, and how new sex determiners have evolved.

The “bottom-up hypothesis” formulated by Wilkins has to be revisited now taken into account the discoveries of the new master regulators. It seems that the master regulator/switch is not necessarily elected from the existing cascade usurping the top position but could be equally recruited from outside to accomplish a new sex-determining function after neo-functionalization. We also have to modify the hypothesis as we now know that in vertebrates, unlike in invertebrates, sex determination is not brought about by a simple linear cascade, but by a complex network of multiple regulatory interactions. Such a network might offer multiple opportunities where a newly added factor can trigger the outcome of the network signal toward male or female. There is also evidence accumulating
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that regulatory cascades can become shorter, rather than being topped up, when a new sex determiner appears, for example, in honeybees [72, 102].

Gonad development appears to cope well with such changes of primary triggers as the many examples of different master sex regulators show, which finally all guarantee the developmental switch to either a testis or ovary. An intriguing situation has been recently reported for zebrafish, where the laboratory strains used worldwide have all lost their original sex-determining chromosome, but still produce normal males or females [103]. New upstream sex determiners appear to evolve quickly in those domesticated strains—similar to a situation in the other small aquarium fish model, the medaka [104]—which might take care in the future of the current sex bias observed at present for many laboratory strains. These are instances of “evolution in action,” which offer prospects to observe in the laboratory how new sex determiners evolve and to obtain insights into the underlying molecular mechanisms. Certainly, we also need more information from different species about their master sex-determining gene and how it acts on the downstream regulatory network to obtain a reasonable understanding of the variety of sex-determining mechanisms.

Somehow unexpected are the accumulating findings that also the downstream network is not as strictly conserved as the “masters change, slaves remain” paradigm was imposing. Whether these differences in the expression pattern and function are related to specific adaptations of varying reproductive biology is a challenging question for the future. On the other hand, such changes may be due to the impact of the new upstream regulator. Intriguingly, even in a setting of the same master sex-determining genes, intricate differences downstream can be found, as seen for SRY in different mammals. It has also been argued that genetic networks, including sex determination, in general can change randomly without necessarily impacting on the final phenotype and thus evolve neutrally (see Sidebar A). Again, we need more details on the molecular biology of the sex-determining networks from different organisms; for instance, on a comparative basis from birds, Xenopus and those fish that all use dmrt1 as their common master sex-determining gene.

Unexpectedly, it turned out that sex determination is not only needed as the molecular switch for the undifferentiated gonad primordium to develop either as testis or ovary, but that the sexual identity of the gonadal soma needs to be maintained as long as the organ has to provide its function(s). In vertebrates, two genes that appear to have a more downstream function in the determination network of the embryo are the top players here: DMRT1 and FOXL2. The dichotomous developmental potency of the gonadal soma is apparently kept throughout the entire life. The reason for this is unknown. In particular among fishes, hermaphroditic species are common. Those fish can switch during their reproductive lifetime from one sex to the other. Whether these organisms have found a way to make a controlled use of the livelong plasticity of the gonad or whether the plasticity seen even in the mammalian gonads is a relic of an evolutionary past are just two questions that emerge from those new findings.

The recent progresses reviewed here have considerably increased our understanding of the diverse molecular mechanisms underlying the amazing variation and plasticity of sexual development, and we might so far just only see the tip of the iceberg.

### Sidebar A: Evolutionary concepts for the diversity of sex determination mechanisms

Sex determination is a very basal and ubiquitous developmental process, and the fact that it is so variable even between closely related organisms poses many fascinating questions. Molecular biologists are most interested to understand how these different mechanisms work, what factors are involved, upstream and downstream, and how they are regulated to bring about the amazing plasticity of the respective genetic cascades and networks. These are the so-called proximate causes of the observed variability. Organismic biologists focus more on the “ultimate” causes that lead to the changes from one to the other sex determination mechanism within and between certain lineages. A number of scenarios and hypotheses have been put forward to explain which evolutionary forces could favor such transitions and turnovers [105].

One explanation is that a mutation, which creates a new sex determination mechanism, gives a fitness advantage to its carriers. Then, by natural selection, this mutation will sweep through the population and take over, while the previous mechanism is lost [106]. Such new mutations could for instance alter the sex ratio, and if the ecological conditions favor such a bias, this mutation will be beneficial. As another example, a new sex determination mechanism might for instance be more efficient under certain ecological conditions, for example, works faster or is less or more susceptible to environmental influences.

If sex is determined through sex chromosomes, a common feature is the reduction of recombination around the sex-determining gene, which spreads out from there over almost the entire chromosome and finally fully arrests. As a consequence, deleterious loss-of-function mutations will accumulate in genes on the chromosomes carrying the sex locus [107]. Hence, such a chromosome will become less fit in evolutionary terms because of its mutational load, and once these disadvantages accumulate to a critical level, an emerging “younger” and less degenerated sex chromosome can take over [108].

Another hypothesis is based on linkage of sex-determining genes to other genes that favor one sex or are antagonistic to the other sex [109]. Many examples exist for such genes, which for instance are involved in gonad development or sexual dimorphism. If such a gene is closely linked to a gene that can influence the developmental decision toward male or female, the sex-determining gene will be co-selected as a hitchhiker and enjoy the fitness advantage that the linked sex beneficial or sexually antagonistic gene has under conditions of natural or sexual selection.

Rather than postulating a fitness advantage for the emerging novel sex determination mechanism, it is also considered that neutral or non-adaptive processes of genetic drift, mutation, and recombination can be instrumental. Such hypotheses are based on an analysis by M. Lynch how in general genetic networks can evolve [110]. He pointed out that only the final gene product of a genetic network or cascade produces a phenotype, which is exposed to selection. Thus, many changes in the upstream system can occur without necessarily altering the finally expressed phenotype. These changes can become fixed in a population by random genetic drift. As a result, the regulatory network has changed, but the phenotype will be constant. Such considerations were then applied to the genetic cascades and networks that govern sex determination [102]. Indeed, the final outcomes of the sex determination process are morphologically and functionally surprisingly similar in related groups of organisms, which have very different master sex regulators [111].

For all of these theoretical explanations, which appear to be to a certain extent opposing or even contradictory, examples to support them can be found. A single one obviously cannot explain all the different cases of sex determination systems and the multitude of turnovers and transitions. Rather than being alternatives, they may be complementary to explain the biodiversity of mechanisms that make the undifferentiated gonad anlage of an embryo to develop toward testis or ovary. To further our understanding of the trajectories that lead to the evolution of diverse mechanisms, we need not only detailed molecular knowledge about the proximate causes of such diversity but also more information about the ecology and population genetics under which they occur.
Sidebar B: In need of answers

(i) What are the protein partners of SRY in human and goat that directly activate Sox9 expression?

(ii) Are the differences in the expression pattern and function of the genes in the downstream cascades or networks related to specific adaptations of varying reproductive biology? Or are they the result of neutral evolution and genetic drift?

(iii) Have the naturally occurring hermaphroditic species of fish found a way to make a controlled use of the livelong plasticity of the gonad? Or is the plasticity seen in the mammalian gonads a relic of an evolutionary past?

(iv) What are the evolutionary forces driving the outstanding high variability of molecular and genetic mechanisms of sex determination? Is this all due to stochastic variation? Or is there a global (so far unknown?) reason? Or do all evolutionary mechanisms postulated so far cooperate, with differing importance depending on the species or phylogenetic lineage?

(v) Are Sox3 and Irf9 in vertebrates and Fem piRNA components of the downstream sex determination cascades or networks that have been overlooked so far?

(vi) Why do some members of the regulatory networks of sexual development frequently become master sex-determining genes while others never appear at the top position?
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