SOS1 and Ras regulate epithelial tight junction formation in the human airway through EMP1
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Abstract

The human airway is lined with respiratory epithelial cells, which create a critical barrier through the formation of apical tight junctions. To investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying this process, an RNAi screen for guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) was performed in human bronchial epithelial cells (16HBE). We report that SOS1, acting through the Ras/MEK/ERK pathway, is essential for tight junction formation. Global microarray analysis identifies epithelial membrane protein 1 (EMP1), an integral tetraspan membrane protein, as a major transcriptional target. EMP1 is indispensable for tight junction formation and function in 16HBE cells and in a human airway basal progenitor-like cell line (BCi-NS1.1). Furthermore, EMP1 is significantly downregulated in human lung cancers. Together, these data identify important roles for SOS1/Ras and EMP1 in tight junction assembly during airway morphogenesis.
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Introduction

The human airway is lined with epithelial cells that form the interface between the respiratory system and the outside environment. The airway epithelium acts as a conduit for air and creates a critical barrier against inhaled pathogens, allergens and other xenobiotics [1,2]. Proper barrier function depends upon the formation and maintenance of apical tight junctions, which confer selective permeability and segregate the apical and basolateral membrane domains [3–5]. Normal epithelial architecture is often disrupted in lung disorders, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma and lung cancer, and by environmental insults such as smoking [1,2,6,7].

Small GTPases of the Rho and Ras families are important regulators of epithelial morphogenesis, controlling polarity establishment and junction formation [8,9]. In bronchial epithelia, RhoA and Cdc42 mediate assembly of apical junctions, while in other epithelial cell types, Rac1 and Rap1 also play important roles [10–16]. In contrast, Ras is reported to disrupt epithelial polarity and morphogenesis in its activated, oncogenic form [17,18]. Spatio-temporal activation of Ras and Rho family GTPases is regulated primarily by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) [9,19]. Recent studies have identified several GEFs that control distinct aspects of epithelial morphogenesis, including ARHGEF18/p114RhoGEF, ARHGEF17/TEM4 and Tiam1 in junction assembly, ARHGEF36/Tuba in junctional tension, Dbl3 in junction positioning and ARHGEF2/GEF-H1 in junction permeability [20–27].

To investigate the molecular mechanisms controlling tight junction assembly in airway epithelia, we performed an RNAi screen of Rho family GEFs in the human bronchial epithelial cell line, 16HBE. SOS1 was identified and, unexpectedly, shown to act through Ras and the MEK/ERK pathway to control the transcription of several genes, including an integral tetraspan membrane protein, epithelial membrane protein 1 (EMP1). Here, we show that EMP1 is indispensable for tight junction assembly and function in both 16HBE and a human basal progenitor-like cell line, BCI-NS1.1. Furthermore, EMP1 is downregulated in lung tumours. We conclude that EMP1 is a critical component of airway epithelial morphogenesis, which is dysregulated in cancer.

Results and Discussion

To identify Rho family GEFs required for airway epithelial junction formation, an RNAi screen was performed in 16HBE, an immortalised human bronchial line that establishes apical junctions in a RhoA- and Cdc42-dependent manner [10,11,28]. Cells were stably infected with the retroviral vector pSUPER, harbouring shRNA
hairpins targeting each of 87 predicted human GEFs (3 pooled shRNAs/gene), or a Cdc42 control. Stable pools were seeded sparsely, incubated for 3 days to reach confluence and monolayers and then fixed and stained to visualise tight junctions (ZO-1) and DNA. 16HBE cells infected with control pSUPER vector form mature apical junctions, as visualised by a sharp, continuous ring of ZO-1 at cell–cell contacts (Fig 1A) [10,11,22]. In contrast, depletion of Cdc42 inhibits junctional assembly, inducing the formation of punctate, “primordial” junctions (Fig 1A). Similarly, we found that ARHGEF18/p114RhoGEF depletion disrupts junction formation.
that a SOS1/Ras/MEK/ERK cascade controls junction formation in bronchial epithelia. Interestingly, inhibition of this pathway has no obvious effect when added to an established monolayer with mature junctions (Supplementary Fig S2), indicating that while ERK activation is essential for the formation of bronchial junctions, it is dispensable for their maintenance.

To analyse the contribution of the MAPK cascade to tight junction-mediated paracellular permeability (gate function), 16HBE cells were seeded on filters, incubated with or without MEK inhibitors for 3 days and then assayed for transepithelial resistance (TER) (Fig 2E). Although cells remain confluent and viable throughout the assay, inhibition of MEK significantly reduces TER (e.g. DMSO: 715 ± 139 ohms/cm²; GSK: 48 ± 23 ohms/cm²), indicating a clear defect in barrier function. To analyse effects on the segregation of apical and basolateral membrane domains (fence function), the diffusion of an apically applied, lipophilic, fluorescent dye (FM 4–64) was monitored by live, confocal imaging. When applied to confluent control cells, FM 4–64 fluorescence localised exclusively along the apical surface (Fig 2F), but in MEK-inhibited cells, the dye rapidly incorporates into the basal and lateral membranes. The movement of the dye throughout the cell membrane indicates that the tight junction diffusion barrier is defective upon MEK inhibition. Together, these data demonstrate that MEK activity is required to establish both the gate and fence functionality of tight junctions in bronchial epithelia. Consistent with this role, both MEK and pERK localise to cell–cell contacts in 16HBE cells (Fig 2G), similar to what has been reported in keratinocytes [36].

ERK could control apical junction formation through direct phosphorylation of cytosolic substrates or through changes in gene expression [37]. To investigate the mechanism of ERK function further, the kinetics of pathway inhibition were manipulated. To explore the effects of acute MEK inhibition, a calcium switch assay was performed (Fig 3A, panels 1 and 2). 16HBE cells were cultured to confluence and then deprived of calcium to disrupt cell–cell contacts. Rapid, synchronous junction reformation was initiated by the re-addition of calcium for 4 h, with or without the MEK inhibitor (GSK1120212). Under these conditions, junctions form normally in both control and MEK-inhibited cells (Fig 3A). This contrasts with the chronic treatment of cells, seeded in the presence of MEK inhibitor and incubated for 4 days, which dramatically inhibits junction assembly both at the endpoint (Fig 2B) and following a subsequent calcium switch (Fig 3A, panels 3 and 4). We conclude that chronic inhibition of MEK is required to disrupt bronchial tight junction formation and reason that this likely reflects an effect on gene expression.

To investigate the contribution of the SOS/Ras/MEK/ERK pathway to bronchial epithelial gene expression, microarray analysis was performed using an illumina array to analyse 47,000 transcripts. To increase stringency, three distinct modes of pathway inhibition were compared: DN HRas expression and chronic treatment with either MEK (GSK1120212) or ERK (SCH772984) inhibitors. Control cells were compared to each experimental group to identify all genes downregulated, by 1.6-fold or more, after pathway inhibition (Fig 3B; Supplementary Fig S3). 33 genes were significantly downregulated by all three treatments (Fig 3C). Importantly, these include several known transcriptional targets of Ras/MEK/ERK, including DUSP5, EGR1 and PHLDA1 [38], thus validating the analysis. The list also identifies several other proteins of potential significance in the context of epithelial morphogenesis (see Supplementary Fig S3). Among these hits, epithelial membrane
Figure 2. MEK and ERK are required for tight junction formation and function.

16HBE cells were seeded sparsely, treated with DMSO, GSK1120212 (500 nM), PD0325901 (500 nM) or SCH772984 (1 μM) and incubated for 3 days.

A. Cell lysates were analysed by Western blotting for pERK, total ERK, p-p90RSK or total RSK1.

B. Cells were fixed and stained for ZO-1 and DNA. Scale bar, 20 μm.

C. Quantification of tight junction phenotype. > 500 cells were counted per sample/experiment, across n = 3 independent experiments (dots indicate individual data points). Error bars denote mean ± SEM. ***P = 0.0002; ****P < 0.0001.

D. 16HBE cells were transfected with control siRNA or siSOS1 and incubated for 4 days. Cell lysates were analysed by Western blotting for SOS1, pERK and total ERK.

E. 16HBE cells were seeded on transwell filters with DMSO, GSK1120212 (500 nM) or PD0325901 (500 nM). Transepithelial resistance (TER) was measured on day 4 and expressed as % of DMSO control. n = 3 independent experiments (dots indicate individual data points); error bars denote mean ± SEM. ***P = 0.0002; ****P < 0.0001.

F. 16HBE cells were seeded on glass-bottomed dishes with DMSO, GSK1120212 (500 nM) or PD0325901 (500 nM). On day 4, FM4-64 dye was applied to the media and confocal z-stacks acquired. Representative images are shown, and the red dotted lines indicate the basal surface.

G. 16HBE cells were fixed and stained for MEK or pERK. Scale bar, 20 μm.

Data information: All data are representative of three independent experiments.
Figure 3. Ras, MEK and ERK control bronchial epithelial gene expression.

A Acute versus chronic MEK inhibition. Acute (left panels): cells were seeded sparsely and incubated for 4 days in normal media and then subjected to a calcium switch and recovery, in the presence of DMSO (panel 1) or 500 nM GSK1120212 (panel 2). Chronic (right panels): cells were seeded sparsely and incubated for 4 days in DMSO (panel 3) or 500 nM GSK1120212 (panel 4). Cells were subjected to a calcium switch and recovery, in the presence of DMSO (panel 3) or 500 nM GSK1120212 (panel 4). Cells were fixed and stained for ZO-1 and DNA. Scale bar, 20 μm.

B–D Microarray analysis. 16HBE cells were stably infected with pQCXIP (control) or pQCXIP expressing DN HRas. Control cells were treated with DMSO, GSK1120212 (500 nM) or SCH772984 (1 μM) for 4 days. RNA was isolated and analysed using an illumina gene array; n = 3 independent samples were prepared for each condition. (B) Venn diagram representing genes downregulated by >1.6-fold versus control, with an unadjusted P-value < 0.05. (C) Relative expression levels of 33 genes downregulated by DN HRas, MEK and ERK inhibition, expressed as % of DMSO control. (D) Relative expression levels of EMP1. Error bars denote mean ± SEM, and dots indicate individual data points. **P < 0.002 (DN Ras = 0.0019, ERKi = 0.0016); ****P < 0.0001.
protein 1 (EMP1) represents an intriguing candidate. It is significantly downregulated by inhibition of Ras, MEK and ERK (Fig S3D), as well as by depletion of SOS1 (Fig 4A), consistent with a linear pathway signalling from exchange factor to transcriptional target. The EMP1 gene encodes a little-studied integral tetraspan membrane protein, belonging to the PMP-22/EMP/MP20/Claudin family [3]. Notably, EMP1 shares some sequence and structural homology with claudins, which are well-known components of the tight junction [4,5,39,40]. However, these relatives are evolutionarily distant (e.g. 27% identity between EMP1 and claudin 1) and whether they perform related or distinct functions is currently unresolved [39].

EMP1 has been localised to the region of cell–cell contacts in rat liver and can interact with ZO-1 and occludin in mouse brain endothelial cells [40,41]. However, the functional role of the EMP proteins (EMP1-3) has yet to be investigated directly.

We hypothesised that EMP1 may play a role in epithelial junction formation. To test this, 16HBE cells were infected with pLKO.1 lentiviral vectors harbouring EMP1-specific shRNAs; the extent of depletion was determined by qPCR (Fig 4A). Two distinct and non-overlapping shRNA hairpins were identified that knock-down EMP1 mRNA by 80–90%; this is similar to the level of depletion observed after chronic MEK inhibition (Fig 4A). Strikingly, both shRNA

**Figure 4. EMP1 is required for bronchial epithelial tight junction formation and function.**

A 16HBE cells were stably infected with lentiviral vector pLKO alone or expressing EMP1 shRNAs (1 or 2). Total RNA was isolated and analysed for EMP1 expression using TaqMan/qPCR with a GAPDH control. Wild-type cells treated with 500 nM GSK120212 or siSOS1 for 4 days were also analysed. Error bars denote mean ± SEM, and dots indicate individual data points. *** P < 0.0002 (GSK = 0.0002, siSOS1 = 0.0001); **** P < 0.0001.

B Cells as in (A) were fixed and stained for ZO-1, E-cadherin and DNA. Scale bar, 20 µm.

C Quantification of tight junction phenotype of cells as in (A). Error bars denote mean ± SEM, and dots indicate individual data points. * P = 0.0148; ** P = 0.006.

D Transepithelial resistance (TER) was measured in 16HBE cells as in (A) on day 4 post-seeding. Error bars denote mean ± SEM, and dots indicate individual data points. * P = 0.0036; ** P = 0.0005.

E Cells as in (A) were seeded on glass-bottomed dishes for 4 days. FM 4–64 dye was applied to the media and confocal z-stacks acquired.

F 16HBE cells were fixed and stained for endogenous EMP1 and DNA using two different commercial antibodies. Scale bar, 20 µm.

G 16HBE cells were seeded on glass coverslips for 10 days to form a mature, polarised monolayer. Cells were fixed and costained for EMP1 and ZO-1 and then analysed by confocal microscopy. A representative z-stack is presented; arrows indicate ZO-1-positive tight junctions.

Data information: All data are representative of n = 3 independent experiments.
hairpins severely disrupt both adherens and tight junction formation, as measured by E-cadherin and ZO-1 staining (Fig 4B and C). Furthermore, depletion of EMP1 significantly impairs barrier function, as judged by a decrease in TER (Fig 4D), and abrogates fence formation, as shown by diffusion of FM 4–64 through the basolateral membrane (Fig 4E). Consistent with a junctional role, EMP1 localises to cell–cell contacts (Fig 4F), as visualised using two different antibodies against the endogenous protein. Analysis of protein recruitment during *de novo* junction formation in a calcium switch assay indicates that EMP1 is not obviously recruited to E-cad/ZO-1-positive primordial puncta (Supplementary Fig S4). Instead, it gradually accumulates at cell–cell contacts with a more continuous, linear pattern, similar to its relative claudin-1. Confocal imaging reveals that EMP1 is apically enriched in polarised monolayers and colocalises with ZO-1 (Fig 4G), suggesting it resides at tight junctions. We conclude that EMP1 is a novel and essential regulator of bronchial apical junction formation and function. Similar to ZO-1, EMP1 influences both adherens and tight junction formation, but ultimately localises to the tight junction.

To confirm the wider importance of EMP1 in respiratory cells, a more physiologically relevant model of the human airway epithelium was exploited [42]. BCI-NS1.1 cells retain key characteristics of primary basal cells, including a multi-potent capacity to differentiate into various airway cell types and the ability to form intact tight junctions when cultured under air–liquid interface (ALI) conditions. Lentiviral shRNA-mediated depletion of EMP1 was performed in BCI-NS1.1 cells and confirmed using qPCR (Fig 5A). To assay tight junction formation, filter-grown cells were stained for ZO-1 and analysed by confocal microscopy. The BCI-NS1.1 epithelium is multi-layered, complicating a standard junctional assay, and so the number of intact ZO-1 rings was scored per field of view (Fig 5B and C). EMP1 depletion induces a significant decrease in tight junction formation and, importantly, also abrogates barrier function, as judged by TER (Fig 5D; pLKO: 1055 ± 416 ohms/cm²; shEMP1.1: 98 ± 37 ohms/cm²). Together, these data identify EMP1 as an important regulator of epithelial morphogenesis and function in the human respiratory airway.

Consistent with its role in airway morphogenesis, EMP1 is abundantly expressed in the lung and represents one of the signature genes identified in human airway basal cells [43,44]. To determine whether changes in EMP1 transcription may be associated with diseases of the airway, we investigated EMP1 expression in normal lung versus tumour samples. Oncomine analysis of the Hou Lung data set reveals that EMP1 is downregulated by 4- to 6-fold in three different classes of lung cancer (Fig 5E) [45]. Similarly, decreased EMP1 expression has been reported in oral squamous cell carcinoma and nasopharyngeal cancer [46,47]. We conclude that loss of EMP1 is associated with certain types of tumour.

![Figure 5. EMP1 in human airway basal progenitor-like cells and lung cancer.](image)

A BCI-NS1.1 cells were stably infected with pLKO control or shEMP1.1. Total RNA was isolated and analysed for EMP1 expression using TaqMan/qPCR with a GAPDH control. Error bars denote mean ± SEM; ***P = 0.0003.
B Filter-grown cells as in (A) were fixed and stained for ZO-1 and DNA and analysed by confocal imaging.
C Quantification of tight junction phenotype of cells as in (A). > 500 cells were counted per sample/experiment, across n = 3 independent experiments (dots indicate individual data points). Error bars denote mean ± SEM. *P = 0.0276.
D Cells as in (A) were cultured on filters under ALI conditions, and transepithelial resistance (TER) was measured on day 21 post-seeding. Error bars denote mean ± SEM. ****P < 0.0001.
E EMP2 mRNA expression in normal lung versus cancer tissue. The Hou Lung data set was analysed using Oncomine software and the 213895_at reporter [45]. Box-whisker plots are presented. The points represent the minimum/maximum values, bars denote the 25th–75th percentiles, boxes show the 25th–75th percentiles, and the horizontal line marks the median. The number of samples analysed (n), the fold change (bold) and the P-value are indicated.

Data information: Data are representative of n = 3 independent experiments.
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In the current study, we identify a role for the SOS/Ras/MEK/ERK cascade in airway morphogenesis. Unusually, inactivation of this pathway does not significantly impair proliferation in 16HBE cells, providing an opportunity to observe and interrogate this novel function under conditions of chronic ERK suppression. We find that pathway inhibition impairs both adherens and tight junction formation and disrupts the establishment of gate and fence function in bronchial epithelial cells. However, the effects of Ras/MEK/ERK signalling on epithelial morphogenesis are pleiotropic and likely to be cell type and stimulus specific. Oncogenic Ras, for example, can disrupt epithelial polarity, while the ERK MAP kinase pathway has been implicated in cytokine induced junction disassembly [17,48]. In the current study, we identify EMP1, a little-studied integral tetraspan membrane protein, as an important transcriptional target of the Ras/MAPK pathway in bronchial epithelia, with a critical role in airway morphogenesis. EMP1 is a member of the PMP-22/EMP/M20/Claudin superfamily and bears structural and sequence homology to known tight junction components. The claudins are thought to comprise the major components of tight junction strands, defining selective permeability by forming size- and charge-selective pores [3,39,49], while PMP-22 localises to epithelial junctions and modulates barrier function in MDCK cells [50,51]. Our current data reveal the distantly related EMP subgroup can also contribute to the formation and function of tight junctions, opening up a new avenue for investigation.

Materials and Methods

Cloning

DN HRas N17 was subcloned into pQCXIP using BamHI/EcoRI and fully sequenced.

RNAi

Rho family GEF and Cdc42 shRNAs were cloned in pSUPERpuro, EMP1 shRNAs in pLKO.1. siRNAs were obtained from Dharmacon. Sequences are shown in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Cell culture and treatment

16HBE cells were cultured as described previously [22,28]. BCI-NS1.1 cells were grown in Bronchial Epithelial Growth Media (Lonza, CA). For calcium switch experiments, confluent cells were washed in PBS and incubated in calcium-free medium for 4 h, and normal growth media was then replaced for a further 4 h. siRNA transfections were performed using Lipofectamine LTX. Inhibitor treatments were as follows: 500 nM GSK1120212 (Selleck), 500 nM PD0325901 (Selleck) or 1 μM Sch772984 (provided by Neal Rosen); DMSO (1:20,000) was used as a carrier control. More detail can be found in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Virus production and preparation of stable cells

Retroviral or lentiviral particles were prepared to deliver cDNA or shRNA for stable expression, as described previously [52]. 16HBE or BCI-NS1.1 cells were infected and selected with puromycin to yield stable pools. More detail can be found in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

qPCR

RNA was isolated using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesised using Superscript III First-Strand Synthesis Supermix (Invitrogen). qPCR was performed using Taqman Universal PCR mastermix, with probes for EMP1 and GAPDH (Applied Biosystems). Samples were analysed using a Bio-Rad iQ5 Multicolor RT-PCR Detection System. Further details can be found in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Western blotting

Total cell extracts were prepared, and Western blotting was performed as described previously [53]. Antibodies are listed in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Immunofluorescence and imaging

Fixation conditions, antibodies, microscopes, objectives, cameras and software are listed in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Tight junction formation assays

A detailed protocol can be found in the Supplementary Materials and Methods. Briefly, 16HBE were seeded on coverslips and imaged by epifluorescence, and BCI-NS1.1 cells were seeded on transwell inserts and imaged by confocal microscopy. All cells were fixed and stained for ZO-1/DNA. Multiple random, non-overlapping images were acquired. For 16HBE, cells with a continuous ring of ZO-1 at cell–cell contacts were scored as having intact apical junctions, cells with punctate, discontinuous or absent ZO-1 at cell–cell contacts were defined as not having apical junctions. For BCI-NS1.1, apical junction formation was quantified by counting continuous rings of ZO-1 staining/field of view.

Transepithelial resistance assay

5 × 10^4 16HBE cells were seeded on collagen-coated, 0.4-μm PTFE membrane filters in 6.5-mm inserts (Costar, 3495), on 24-well plates (Corning). Transepithelial resistance was measured using an EVOM voltohmmeter and STX2 electrode (World Precision Instruments). For BCI-NS1.1 cells, air–liquid interface (ALI) cultures were analysed on day 21 post-seeding, as described previously [42].

FM4-64 dye assay

Cells were seeded on glass-bottomed, 35-mm dishes (MatTek). FM 4–64 (5 μg/ml; Invitrogen) was added to the media for 10 min at 37°C. Confocal z-stacks were acquired from 10 fields of view; 3 x/z slices per image were analysed using ImageJ software. Line profiles were drawn over apical and basolateral surfaces to calculate average fluorescent intensities.
Microarray analysis

Microarray analysis was performed using an Illumina gene expression array (Human HT-12, 47,000 transcripts). The data were analyzed using Partek software. More detail can be found in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Statistics

Unpaired t-tests were performed in Prism, with two-tailed P-values and 95% confidence intervals.

Supplementary information for this article is available online: http://embor.embopress.org
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