Chunnel vision
Export and efflux through bacterial channel-tunnels

Christian Andersen, Colin Hughes and Vassilis Koronakis*

University of Cambridge Department of Pathology, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1QP, UK

Received August 1, 2000; revised August 27, 2000; accepted August 28, 2000

The *Escherichia coli* TolC protein is central to toxin export and drug efflux across the inner and outer cell membranes and the intervening periplasmic space. The crystal structure has revealed that TolC assembles into a remarkable α-helical trans-periplasmic cylinder (tunnel) embedded in the outer membrane by a contiguous β-barrel (channel), so providing a large duct open to the outside environment. The channel-tunnel structure is conserved in TolC homologues throughout Gram-negative bacteria, and it is envisaged that they are recruited and opened, through a common mechanism, by substrate-specific inner-membrane complexes.

Export and efflux across the periplasmic space
In Gram-negative bacteria, proteins destined for the cell surface or the surrounding medium must cross the cytoplasmic (inner) and outer membranes, and the periplasm between them. Several pathways achieve this by two-step mechanisms that employ periplasmic intermediates [the type II general secretion pathway (Russel, 1998) and adhesion pilus assembly (Hultgren et al., 1993)], or by using a large number of proteins in machineries spanning the envelope (the type III assembly of flagella and invasomes; Hueck, 1998). The type I export mechanism contrasts with these, as it requires only three proteins and does not generate periplasmic intermediates (Koronakis et al., 1989, 1991). It exports many large proteins, including acylated toxins like the 110 kDa *Escherichia coli* hemolysin and 170 kDa *Bordetella pertussis* cyclolysin, and the 60–80 kDa proteases of *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Glaser et al., 1988; Koronakis and Hughes, 1993; Paulsen et al., 1997). The three export components are a traffic ATPase and an accessory protein, which together form an energized substrate-specific complex in the inner membrane (IM), and a third protein, a member of the TolC family, in the outer membrane (OM) (Koronakis and Hughes, 1993; Paulsen et al., 1997). A closely related mechanism effects efflux of small noxious compounds such as detergents, organic solvents, ethidium bromide and antibacterial drugs such as nalidixic acid and antibiotics like tetracycline, erythromycin and chloramphenicol (Zgurskaya and Nakaido, 2000). Analogous to the type I protein export machineries, these ‘efflux pumps’ comprise an OM TolC-family protein cooperating with a substrate-specific IM complex. However, in this case the closely related accessory protein interacts in the IM complex with a protein providing energy from proton antiport, not ATP hydrolysis (Zgurskaya and Nakaido, 2000). TolC and its homologues clearly have an important role in bacterial survival, and notably confer virulence and clinically important multidrug resistance (MDR) during infection of human and animal hosts.

TolC family members are widespread among Gram-negative bacteria. Figure 1 depicts those with known or putative function, arranged as a phylogenetic tree based on amino acid sequence relatedness. It has been observed that the sequences of the N- and C-terminal halves of the proteins are similar to each other (Johnson and Church, 1999), indicating that the family has evolved by a gene duplication. We note that the strongest identity between the two halves (29.1%) is evident in *B. pertussis* CyaE, which is involved in cyclolysin export, and it is also nearest to the root of the family tree, suggesting that it is closest to the family progenitor. Sequence similarity among the TolC protein family correlates with exporter substrate specificity, indeed the proteins can be grouped into three subfamilies corresponding to their roles in protein export, cation efflux and drug efflux. While *E. coli* TolC exemplifies the protein export subfamily, combining with IM complexes energized by traffic ATPases, the homologues of the drug- and cation-efflux subfamilies typically cooperate with the RND (resistance/nodulation/division) family of IM transport complexes. TolC homologues and their IM partners are usually encoded within the same operons, e.g. the *B. pertussis* cyclolysin operon
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The underlying mechanism has been studied in the TolC-dependent hemolysin export by E. coli. TolC is clearly an integral part of the export machinery. When TolC is deleted there is no residual export to the periplasm or from spheroplasts (Koronakis et al., 1997), and cross-linking has shown that TolC is recruited by the IM translocase in response to engagement of the protein substrate at the cytoplasmic membrane (Thanabalu et al., 1998). Contact between the IM complex and TolC is mediated principally by the translocase accessory protein, and the active type I export complex contains the substrate and all three export proteins, each of which undergoes conformational change. This complex is transient; once the substrate passes out of the cell the IM and OM components disengage and revert to their resting states. However, it was still unclear how the IM complexes could connect with a TolC homologue to bridge the periplasm, believed to measure 130–140 Å across (Graham et al., 1991), especially if TolC had a simple OM porin-like structure. It had been widely thought that the bridging role would be fulfilled by the family of accessory proteins in the IM complexes that had been named the ‘membrane fusion protein’ family (Dinh et al., 1994) and variously proposed to span the periplasm or bring the inner and outer membranes into close apposition (Dinh et al., 1994; Paulsen et al., 1997; Johnson and Church, 1999; Zgurskaya and Nikaido, 2000). The first indication that TolC might be unconventional, and possibly contribute to the periplasmic bypass, was provided by electron microscopy of TolC reconstituted into lipid bilayers. This analysis of two-dimensional crystals showed that TolC was a trimeric structure, indeed reminiscent of porins. However, it also hinted at a single pore rather than three, and the possible existence of a periplasmic domain (Koronakis et al., 1997). While prescient, these observations proved scant preparation for what the high resolution three-dimensional structure would uncover.

A channel-tunnel spanning the periplasmic space

X-ray crystallography of the TolC protein has recently revealed a remarkable structure, described in detail by Koronakis et al. (2000). Seen at 2.1 Å resolution, a TolC homotrimer forms a hollow tapered cylinder 140 Å in length that comprises a 100 Å long α-helical barrel (the tunnel domain) anchored in the OM by a 40 Å long β-barrel (the channel domain). A mixed α/β structure, the equatorial domain, forms a ‘strap’ around the mid section of the helical barrel, facing outwards. The diameter of this conduit is 35 Å, forming a water-filled 43 000 Å³ duct open to the cell exterior. Towards the periplasmic end, the inner diameter decreases to a virtual close (Figure 2).

Although an embedded β-barrel is a feature of other OM proteins, the TolC β-barrel is quite distinct in its architecture. All other known OM proteins form one barrel per protein monomer (Figure 2). Of the monomeric proteins, OmpA forms the smallest β-barrel of eight β-strands, resulting in a diameter of 26 Å (Pautsch and Schulz, 1998), while the largest β-barrels, found in the iron siderophore transporters FhuA and FepA (Locher et al., 1998; Buchanan et al., 1999), comprise 22 β-strands and determine an elliptical diameter of 39–46 Å. The OM phospholipase A (OMPLA) is active as a dimer of 12 β-barrel strands (Sjønder et al., 1999). The widespread channel-forming porins are trimeric structures, in which each monomer forms a β-barrel of 16 (e.g. OmpF) or 18 (e.g. LamB) β-strands (Cowan et al., 1992; Schirmer et al., 1995). In TolC, the three molecules each contribute four β-strands to form a single 12 strand β-barrel. This architecture is not known in cell membrane proteins, but is seen in the pore-forming Staphylococcus aureus α-toxin, which has seven subunits forming a barrel of 14 β-strands (Song et al., 1996). The interior of the TolC channel is also different from those of reported proteins (Figure 2). The cross-sectional area of the channel is 960 Å², making it 15-fold larger than that of the general diffusion pore OmpF, which allows passage of molecules up to 600 Da (Cowan et al., 1992). It also contrasts markedly with the β-barrels of OmpA and OMPLA, the diameters of which are too small to allow the passage of ions. TolC lacks the common structural element of channel-forming proteins, an inward folded loop that constricts the internal diameter of the β-barrel and has a strong influence on channel properties (Jordy et al., 1996; Saint et al., 1996). It also differs
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Conservation of the channel-tunnel structure

The N- and C-terminal halves of the 471 residue TolC protein can be structurally superimposed (Koronakis et al., 2000), despite having only moderate sequence similarity (34.5%). This structural duplication is evident throughout the family. Sequences encoding the α-helices and β-strands of the channel-tunnel structure do not vary substantially in length among the homologues: the long α-helices are constant at 67 residues and the shorter helices are 23 and 34 residues. This suggests that the basic fold of the structure is conserved. While the 56 reported TolC homologues vary in length from 414 to 541 amino acids, this is due primarily to variable extensions at the periplasmic N- and C-termini [32–96 amino acids (unprocessed) and 1–99 amino acids, respectively], with the multidrug efflux subclass having a characteristic acylation site in the extended N-terminus. Significant sequence gaps or insertions occur only in the mixed α/β equatorial domain, which is not part of the α/β barrel structure, and in the extracellular loops. The loops are characteristically very small in homologues involved in cation efflux.

Only a small number of amino acids are common to all known homologues (Figure 3), and these and others that are well conserved correlate with features that determine the core structure. At or near the tunnel entrance, glycine residues facilitate a tight turn between the helices forming the entrance itself. Small residues, such as alanine and serine, at the interface of tunnel-forming helices allow a very dense packing that determines the tapering and closure, and aspartic acid residues maintain the electronegative inner surface near the entrance, which may influence the movement of substrate. Towards the other end of the structure, the transition from the left-handed α-helices of the periplasmic domain to the right-handed β-strands of the outer membrane domain is accommodated by proline-containing linkers (except that for one of the four strands there is divergence in the efflux subfamilies, with glycines mixed in with, or replacing prolines). At the bottom of the β-strands, aromatic residues face outwards to form a ring around the channel domain, delimiting the inner edge of the lipid bilayer. This is seen in all

**Fig. 2.** The structures of bacterial OM proteins. (i) Top view of the proteins down the OM channel. In the case of TolC this extends to –2/3 of the height, i.e. the top 100 Å. (ii) Side view, at right angles to the plane of the OM. (iii) Cross-section of TolC near the tunnel entrance, i.e. the bottom 40 Å. Red, green and blue indicate individual monomers.
crystallized OM proteins and possibly has an anchor function. Of less obvious functional significance is the leucine residue that is always found at the junction of the interrupted helix strands. Its importance may lie in the process or stabilization of folding. Overall, these comparisons between TolC family members confirm that the defining structural elements are conserved and strongly suggest that all homologues fold similarly and have comparable properties. One can reasonably conclude that the core functions of the channel-tunnel are common throughout Gram-negative bacteria.

A common mechanism of export and efflux via channel-tunnels

The elucidation of the structure of the TolC protein vividly answers the central question of how the periplasmic space is bypassed, and the conservation of the key structural amino acids among TolC homologues establishes a common mechanism for the export and efflux systems dependent upon this family of proteins. One can readily visualize that substrate-laden IM translocases recruit and open cognate channel-tunnels, providing gated exit of diverse molecules from the cell interior, through trans-periplasmic ducts to the external environment. Whether the TolC structure has relevance to other types of transport is an interesting question. The problem of protein import across two membranes into the mitochondrial matrix (Herrmann and Neupert, 2000) presents provocative parallels as it is mediated by reversible association between complexes in the outer and inner membranes, and does not involve intermediates in the intermembrane space. As mitochondria are generally believed to have evolved from bacteria, it is possible that mitochondrial import machines and the type I exporters of Gram-negative prokaryotes might have common ancestors. Tim23, a key component of the mitochondrial prepred proteins translocase, is anchored by its C-terminal domain to the inner mitochondrial membrane, spans the inter-membrane space and penetrates the outer membrane via predicted β-sheets in its N-terminus (Donzeau et al., 2000). Tim23 is at least a dimer comprised of 222 amino acid monomers. Overall, this structure is similar in size to half of TolC. Is it possible that Tim23 corresponds to the unit of gene duplication in the prokaryotic proteins?

The principles by which TolC operates seem evident. A view of TolC from above the OM (i.e. the exit) down to the equatorial domain shows a uniform cylinder with an inner diameter of 35 Å (Figure 2), through which even large proteins could readily pass. In contrast, the periplasmic entrance of TolC must undergo conformational change to allow passage of substrate. An allosteric mechanism has been proposed (Koronakis et al., 2000) whereby a realignment of the inner pair of helices of each monomer to that of the outer pair could open the entrance, like an iris, to a diameter of 30 Å. This opening would be triggered and stabilized by another component, evidently the recruiting IM complex in response to engagement by the export/efflux substrate. Cross-linking has shown that the principle contact between this substrate-bound IM complex and TolC is made by the accessory proteins, and that like TolC, this protein is trimeric (Thanabalu et al., 1998). A potential site for the key action of the accessory protein is the tunnel entrance itself. However, the accessory proteins are also highly likely to form coiled-coil structures of varying lengths (Johnson and Church, 1999), which could potentially repack against the coiled-coils of the tunnel α-barrel and/or reach to the equatorial domain to effect opening of the entrance (Figure 4). As the accessory protein interacts with both the channel-tunnel and the energy-providing protein, it might act as a dynamic adaptor. When the protein secretion system contains a mutant traffic ATPase, and ATP is bound but not hydrolysed, substrate is not exported but instead accumulates in the assembled IM–OM export complex (Thanabalu et al., 1998). This suggests that, whereas substrate entry to the IM translocase might be gated by the binding of ATP and substrate, release of substrate into the recruited TolC could occur in a distinct step that is dependent upon ATP hydrolysis.

Perspectives

The mechanistic details of tunnel opening and interaction with cognate adaptors during export and efflux are now accessible. Knowledge of the chunnel structure also opens the way to defining the site of interaction (the external loops?) with bactericidal colicins that require TolC to enter the cell (Pilsl and Braun, 1995; Lazdunski et al., 1998). There remains the question of how and when the trimeric structure is assembled. Also, how is
the peptidoglycan layer negotiated? Perhaps cellular enzymes that degrade the peptidoglycan might have a function in TolC assembly, analogous to the dedicated muraminidase needed for assembly of flagella on the cell surface (Nambu et al., 1999). Another question relates to how the channel-tunnels are located by substrate-laden IM complexes. Recruitment seems likely to be stochastic, but the resting state might already involve a loose interaction, too weak to be dedicated by cross-linking. Whatever the details, the startling and beautiful TolC structure is the latest in a stream of bacterial outer membrane protein structures that are setting a new agenda in our understanding of translocation processes.
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